

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000**  
**Public Law 106-393**  
**Title II Project Application**  
**Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee**

**1. Project Number** (Assigned by federal unit):

<b>2. Project Name:</b> Woodstock Creek Culvert Replacement	<b>3. County:</b> Douglas
<b>4. Project Sponsor:</b> Roseburg BLM – Pete Howe	<b>5. Date:</b> 06/12/01
<b>6. Sponsor's Phone Number:</b> (541) 464-3356	
<b>7. Sponsors E-mail:</b>	

<b>8. Project Location</b> (attach project area map)	
a. <b>4<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):</b>	
b. <b>5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):</b> Rock Creek	
c. <b>Legal Location:</b> Township <u>25S</u> Range <u>02W</u> Section(s) <u>15</u> (See map for more details)	
Description: NE1/4SW1/4	
d. BLM District Roseburg	e. BLM Resource Area Swiftwater
f. National Forest	g. Forest Service District
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

a.	<p><b>9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:</b>  Restore Fish passage for both juvenile and adult fish to Trapper Creek.</p> <p>Meet Oregon water quality standards for sediment due high risk of existing culvert failure.</p> <p>Replace existing damaged old culvert with new open bottom structure.</p>
----	---

b.	<p><b>10. Project Description:</b> (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)  Project would replace the existing 96" culvert with new 12' x 6' low profile open bottomed structure, which would restore a natural stream bottom and allow both upstream and downstream passage for juvenile and adult fish to Woodstock Creek.</p>
----	---

<b>11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then describe	

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000**  
**Public Law 106-393**  
**Title II Project Application**  
**Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee**

<b>12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation?</b> [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure.</b> [Sec. 2(b)]
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems.</b> [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Restores and improves land health.</b> [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Restores water quality.</b> [Sec. 2(b)]

<b>13. Project Type (check one)</b> [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Road Maintenance</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Trail Maintenance</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Road Decommission/Obliteration</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Trail Obliteration</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify):</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Soil Productivity Improvement</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Forest Health Improvement</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Watershed Restoration &amp; Mntc.</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Wildlife Habitat Restoration</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Fish Habitat Restoration</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Control of Noxious Weeds</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Reestablish Native Species</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other Project Type (specify)</b> [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	

<b>14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes</b> [Sec. 203(b)(5)]	
<b>a. Total Acres:</b>	<b>b. Total Miles:</b> Restores 2.1 miles of fish habitat.
<b>c. No. Structures:</b> 1	<b>d. Est. People Reached (for environmental education projects):</b>
<b>e. No. Laborer Days:</b>	
<b>f. Other (specify):</b>	

a. **15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date:** [Sec. 203(b)(2)]  
06/01 – 01/02: Planning; 07/02 – 09/02: Implementation

b. **16. Target Species Benefitted:** (if applicable)  
Coho Salmon Chinook, Steelhead and Cutthroat Trout are present during all or part of the year. Coho Salmon is currently listed under ESA as a threatened species and Steel head are a candidate species for listing.

**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?** [Sec. 2(b)(3)]  
This culvert is located under Miller Creek Road No. 25-2-16.0, which allows access for BLM and Private Timber companies from Rock Creek Access Road to State Highway 138.

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000**  
**Public Law 106-393**  
**Title II Project Application**  
**Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee**

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000  
Public Law 106-393**

**Title II Project Application  
Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee**

**18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities.**

Culvert replacement will restore fish spawning gravels and rearing habitat, which has been blocked due to the old improperly designed and installed culvert. This project could also benefit the local communities by providing jobs since local contractors may successfully bid on the construction work.

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?**

This project will help enable BLM to meet Oregon State water quality standards as required by federal law by preventing a major culvert failure which would put large amounts of sedimentation into the Rock Creek drainage. A secondary benefit is vehicular access for Public, and for BLM, and Private Timber Companies for land management activities.

<b>20. Status of Project Planning</b>			
<b>a. NEPA Complete:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion:			
<b>c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
<b>e. Survey &amp; Manage Complete:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
<b>f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
<b>g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
<b>h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
<b>i. Project Design(s) Completed:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

<b>21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]**

Yes       No

<b>23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]</b>
---

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000  
Public Law 106-393**

**Title II Project Application  
Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee**

<b>a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$117,640.00</b>	
<b>b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</b> If yes, then display by fiscal year	
<b>c. FY02 Request: \$117,640.00</b>	<b>f. FY05 Request:</b>
<b>d. FY03 Request:</b>	<b>g. FY06 Request:</b>
<b>e. FY04 Request:</b>	

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis**

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis Table 1. Project Cost Analysis Table 1. Project Cost Analysis Table 1. Project Cost Analysis**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Column A Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]</b>	<b>Column B Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]</b>	<b>Column C Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]</b>	<b>Column D Total Available Funds</b>
<b>24. Field Work &amp; Site Surveys</b>	\$1,000.00			
<b>25. NEPA &amp; Sec. 7 ESA Consultation</b>				
<b>26. Permit Acquisition</b>				
<b>27. Project Design &amp; Engineering</b>	\$1,500.00	\$4,640.00		
<b>28. Contract Preparation</b>		\$2,880.00		
<b>29. Contract Administration</b>		\$3,520.00		
<b>30. Contract Cost</b>		\$105,600.00		
<b>31. Workforce Cost</b>				
<b>32. Materials &amp; Supplies</b>				
<b>33. Monitoring</b>		\$1,000.00		
<b>34. Other</b>				
<b>35. Project Sub-Total</b>				
<b>36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multi-year projects)</b>				
<b>37. Total Cost Estimate</b>	\$2,200.00	\$117,640.00		

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]**

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000**  
**Public Law 106-393**  
**Title II Project Application**  
**Roseburg District Resource Advisory Committee**

**39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]**

- a. **What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

All new structures and improvements in Riparian Reserve will be monitored during and after construction to ensure that it was constructed to: minimize the diversion of natural hydrologic flow paths, reduce the amount of sediment delivery into stream, protect fish and wildlife populations, and accommodate a 100 year flood. The Contracting Officers Representative and Project Inspector will be responsible to monitor sedimentation during contract period and BLM Hydrologist will do monitoring the first year after the contract is completed.

- b. **How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Project implementation will be completed via contracts with companies that may be local. No further evaluation is planned. The Contracting Officers Representative will report the number of person days used to complete this project. No further evaluation is planned.

- c. **What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

This project will not remove any merchantable materials.

- d. **Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):**

Monitoring cost will be negligible, estimated to be a one time cost of approximately \$1,000.00 for BLM employee labor.