

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000
PUBLIC LAW 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]	

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]	
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles:
c. No. Structures:	d. Est. People Reached
e. No. Laborer Days:	(for environmental education projects):
f. Other (specify): Approximately 12,000 cubic yards of rock	

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]
This project would be initiated in as soon as it was approved and completed in less than one month

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable)
This project would help maintain water quality, which would benefit all resident and anadromous fish in nearby streams.

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]
Timber companies that have rights of way on our roads will continue to be able to use them.
People who use these roads for recreation will be able to continue their activities.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities.**
Private timber harvest and forest recreation are both important economically and socially to local communities. Well-maintained roads are an essential part of the infrastructure that allows these activities to continue.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?
By maintaining these roads we minimize water quality degradation and the consequent harm to fish and other aquatic species.

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000
PUBLIC LAW 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

20. Status of Project Planning			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion:			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]
 Yes No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)] \$301,000.	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: 301,000	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then display by fiscal year	
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request:
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Request:
e. FY04 Request:	

**TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation				
29. Contract Administration		\$1000		
30. Contract Cost		\$300,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multi-year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate		\$301,000		

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

None

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Making a measure of ecological condition would not be practical. Therefore we would report that we completed the project and where we distributed the rock. We would also explain how we chose locations and what resource degradation we hoped to prevent.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The road maintenance staff would be responsible for reporting the number of contractor days worked and the amount of rock purchased from local quarries.

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

N/A

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):**

No extra funding is needed.