

**TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION  
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**1. Project Number** (Assigned by federal unit): \_\_\_

<b>2. Project Name:</b> Road Rocking for Port-Orford-cedar	<b>3. County:</b> Douglas
<b>4. Project Sponsor:</b> Kevin Carson	<b>5. Date:</b> 7/6/01
<b>6. Sponsor's Phone Number:</b> (541) 464-3363	
<b>7. Sponsors E-mail:</b> k1carson@or.blm.gov	

<b>8. Project Location</b> (attach project area map)	
a. 4 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Coquille River HUC# 17100305	
b. 5 <sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Middle Fork Coquille River HUC# 1710030503	
c. Legal Location: Township <u>29S</u> Range <u>9W</u> Section(s) <u>15</u> (See maps for more details) Township <u>30S</u> Range <u>9W</u> Section(s) <u>2 &amp; 11</u> Township <u>30S</u> Range <u>7W</u> Section(s) <u>13 &amp; 25 (Gates only)</u> Township <u>30S</u> Range <u>6W</u> Section(s) <u>9 (Gate only)</u>	
Description:	
d. BLM District Roseburg	e. BLM Resource Area South River
f. National Forest	g. Forest Service District
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

**9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:**  
 Port-Orford-cedar (POC) is being impacted by a non-native pathogen. The pathogen, *Phytophthora lateralis* causes a root disease which is almost always fatal to Port-Orford-cedar. The disease affects all ages of Port-Orford-cedar and once infected, a tree will die within 2-3 years. The disease becomes a chronic disease of the site once established and there is no currently feasible method of eradicating the disease from a site.

The pathogen spreads via spores in water and through resting spores in soil organic matter. The largest threat to uninfested areas of Port-Orford-cedar is vehicles or equipment picking up spore-infested mud and dropping the spores in an uninfested area. The disease can spread rapidly if no prevention methods are undertaken to slow the spread.

Adding rock to natural surface roads limits the likelihood of vehicles picking up and transporting contaminated soil to uninfested areas. Road closures, through gating, would prevent vehicular access in high risk areas of healthy Port-Orford-cedar.

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)  
 This project would rock roads that are now natural surfaced in the vicinity of healthy Port-Orford-cedar. Vehicular access would be restricted by gating roads in three locations.



**TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION  
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?**

This project would limit the spread of Port-Orford-cedar root disease and improve watershed health.

<b>20. Status of Project Planning</b>			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion:			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

<b>21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?** [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

Yes       No

<b>23. Anticipated Project Costs</b> [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$263,600	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No    If yes, then display by fiscal year	
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request:
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Request:
e. FY04 Request:	

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000  
PUBLIC LAW 106-393

**TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION  
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**Table 1. Project Cost Analysis**

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		\$8,700		
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$4,900		
28. Contract Preparation				
29. Contract Administration		\$7,730		
30. Contract Cost		\$237,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multi-year projects)				
<b>37. Total Cost Estimate</b>		<b>\$258,330</b>		

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above** [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Only Federal Agency funding will be used in addition to the requested funds.

**TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION  
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

**39. Monitoring Plan** [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Healthy populations of Port-Orford-cedar would be monitored for any sign of the root disease.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The BLM Contract Administrator will monitor contract days and number of people on the job. In this type of project, there would be no opportunity to use summer youth jobs programs.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

No products are removed from federal lands in this project. Roads will be improved that could be used in future harvests or commercial thinnings.

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):**

Monitoring of the project would be accomplished through contract administration. Monitoring of Port-Orford-cedar would be done by agency personnel. No additional funding is required.