

**TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): _____
bb-02

2. Project Name: Bateman Lookout Resurface	3. County: Douglas
4. Project Sponsor: Tracy Likens/Bruce Sconce	5. Date: 06/11/01
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: (541) 459-2909 (541) 464-3470	
7. Sponsors E-mail: tracy_likens@or.blm.gov/bruce_sconce@or.blm.gov	

8. Project Location (attach project area map)	
a. 4 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):	
b. 5 th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):	
c. Legal Location: Township <u>24</u> Range <u>8</u> Section(s) _____ (See map for more details) Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____ Description: road # 24-8-26.4; 24-8-27.0; 24-8-35.1; 24-8-21.1	
d. BLM District Roseburg	e. BLM Resource Area Swiftwater
f. National Forest	g. Forest Service District
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:
 Resurface Roads in the Bateman Lookout area.
 Bateman Road is a high priority. For the past 5 years the District has put several thousand yards of crushed rock on the road, which is heavily used for both recreation and timber haul. Unfortunately this has only served as a temporary fix, and the road surface continues to degrade. It runs along a major tributary of the Umpqua River and therefore sediment running off of its surface has the potential to harm water quality and fish habitat.

This road provides access more miles of roads and associated lands belonging to the BLM and private companies. Our district is obliged to maintain access to these areas for both timber management and recreational activities.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)
 Haul, place and compact approximately 17,000 yds. of 1 1/2" minus rock to road system.

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000
PUBLIC LAW 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then describe Rock would be moved and applied to roads located throughout the federal checkerboard ownership, providing access to timber sales, restoration projects, and recreation.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]	<input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
<input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]	
a. Total Acres:	b. Total Miles:5
c. No. Structures:	d. Est. People Reached
e. No. Laborer Days:	(for environmental education projects):
f. Other (specify):	

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)] Three months, completed by September, 2002.

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable)

This project would help maintain water quality, which would benefit all resident and anadromous fish in nearby streams.

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Timber companies that have rights of way on this road will continue to be able to use it. People who use this road for recreation will be able to continue their activities.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities.**

Private timber harvest and forest recreation are both important economically and socially to local communities. Well-maintained roads are an essential part of the infrastructure that allows these activities to continue.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

By maintaining this road we minimize water quality degradation and the consequent harm to fish and other aquatic species.

20. Status of Project Planning			
a. NEPA Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
If no, give est. date of completion:			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable
i. Project Design(s) Completed:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer			

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce
<input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce	<input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify):	

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

Yes No

SECURE RURAL SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITY SELF-DETERMINATION ACT OF 2000
PUBLIC LAW 106-393

TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]	
. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 548,100	
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, then display by fiscal year	
c. FY02 Request:	f. FY05 Request:
d. FY03 Request:	g. FY06 Request:
e. FY04 Request:	

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec. 7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation		\$1,920.00		
29. Contract Administration		\$1,280.00		
30. Contract Cost		\$442,900.00		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies		\$102,000.00		
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Sub-Total				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multi-year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate		\$548,100.00		

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

**TITLE II PROJECT APPLICATION
ROSEBURG DISTRICT RESOURCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

39. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Making a measure of ecological condition would not be practical. Therefore we would report that we completed the project.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The Contracting Officer's Representative would be responsible for reporting the number of contractor days worked and the amount of rock purchased from local quarries.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

N/A

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33):**

No additional funds would be needed.