

**Roseburg Resource Advisory Committee (RAC)**  
June 16, 2003 (2<sup>nd</sup> meeting)  
**(Secure Rural Schools & Community Self Determination Act of 2000)**

Members in attendance: Jake Winn (BLM), Bob Kinyon (Environmental Organization), Mike Piehl (Commercial Recreation), Shirley Cairns (School Official), Dave Lorenz (Dispersed Recreation), Jay Carlson (BLM), Judith Bacon (Public at Large), Cary Osterhaus (Designated Federal Official), Donald Ollivant (Environmental Organization), Gary Schroeder (Commercial Timber Industry), Michael Estes (Developed Recreation), Maryjane Snocker (Environmental Organization) Leonard Schussel (Teacher)

Zane and Elaine Cornett facilitated the meeting.

1) Cary Osterhaus read a letter of resignation from Thomas Van Epps. David Elder (alternate) will be contacted to replace Mr. Van Epps.

Discussion of the group concerning the members and balance of categories for representation.

Don Ollivant praised the field tour given on May 19. Kudos to all involved in setting up the tour. Jake Winn informed the group of the availability of information materials available to the group.

Zane Cornett reviewed the RAC meeting guidelines with the group as a reminder of process that was previously agreed upon for meeting process.

The Roseburg District RAC was recognized by the Secretary of the Interior for acknowledgement of leadership and responsibility. This was the only award given out by the Secretary. The award was presented to Cary Osterhaus and Gary Schroeder in Phoenix. Don Ollivant gave kudos to BLM personnel for their hard work.

Leonard Schussel commented on the strength of the committee due to coordination and cooperation of the members.

Maryjane Snocker commented on the selection of the alternates and future selection be done so individual fits the category and will be a good representative to and of the RAC.

Committee reviewed minutes from the last meeting. They were approved by the committee.

Jake Winn handed out the binders to the committee. He explained the layout of the binder and gave a brief explanation of each project.

Two pages were handed out to the committee reflecting additions and changes to the Science Zone project submitted through Wildlife Safari.

Gary Schroeder questioned the funding of three projects and how it would be dispersed to ongoing projects.

Question: Is it legal to make decisions this year on next year's cycle of funds for ongoing projects?

Answer: Funds can be committed this year for use in the future, but it is not legal to commit funds from future years for ongoing projects.

Question: How close is BLM in estimating costs?

Answer: Within 10%

Jake Winn covered how Title II funds were spent in FY 2002. 89% spent on projects, 7% added projects, 4% carried over for ongoing projects

Additional agenda requests – how to make selections? How to schedule outside presentations?

Two applications were received that were not forwarded to committee. These applications could not be legally approved or funded.

Formosa Mine cleanup - \$7.5MM – BLM and DEQ is in the middle of an analysis. This is a DEQ project.

Road hardener/tackifier product – \$500M – procurement issue problem – competitive sourcing

BLM priorities –

Swiftwater Field Office – Jay Carlson presented –

Smith River Restoration

Nickerson Creek

Tom Folley Stream Habitat Improvement

Taylor Creek Culvert Replacement

Recreation Site Restoration

South River Field Office – Jake Winn presented –

Buckfork Creek

Holmes Creek

Rice Creek Road Improvement

Little Muley

Martin Creek

These are field office priorities only, not any outside project prioritization.

There was a motion to invite any submitter that has not previously submitted to make a presentation – to fit a face with a name. Amendment – Rock Creek be added – motion second – reread – approved. Need to set a time limit for presentations – no more than 15 minutes.

Nancy Sutherland – new submission

Nancy Geyer – commercials

Rock Creek

Recreation Site Restoration

Presentations will be limited to no more than 15 minutes.

UBFAT accomplishments

Gorse Control

Forest Health Improvement

Gary Schroeder brought up the process for scheduling and will contact Jake Winn directly.

Don Ollivant suggested that in the absence of Walt Gayner, have someone to make the presentations.

There was a question on the Cox Creek Culvert – will there be ground disturbance enough to encourage the spread of the Portuguese Broom?

Pete Howe explained the consequences – there will not be any soil disturbance outside the maintenance area – will put in the contract that the contractor will clean the equipment before and after the project work. This process takes approximately 8 hours to wash an excavator.

Process –

Last year, members read the notebooks and sent priorities to Zane and Elaine. They then took the small projects off the table – the low cost projects- and continued to prioritize the larger projects.

Prioritize the little projects first then the big ones.

Asked for top 7 priorities – handed out to members for information, questions, conversation.

Motion to look at prioritizing small projects to start, then prioritize top seven.

After information is read, see if projects can be immediately agreed upon for funding – when a consensus is reached, prioritize top seven.

Seconded – discussion – disagreement

Expectation that prioritization will be done before next meeting.

Concern that opportunities will be lost due to small dollar value.

No one from the public to address group, so continued with previous discussion.

Group is trusted to make concerted decisions on prioritization.

Motion and second withdrawn from table.

Recommendation – by June 27 – email to Zane and Elaine \$2.2 MM list of projects. They will then bring in on the 30<sup>th</sup> the raw list and priority ranking of projects.

Email address is: [integrations@integr8.com](mailto:integrations@integr8.com) – Fax # (541) 344-0368

Proposal – prioritization before presentations – 6

Motion – rate projects, send to facilitator. Next meeting hear presentations, give members opportunity to change priorities, then make decisions. Amendment – period to reevaluate decisions before priorities are thanked, then make decisions.

Second

Opposition – Don Ollivant – opposes process

Rating will not be handed out until after presentations have been made and adjustments have been made.

Withdrew objection

Passed with consensus

Rate up to 20 projects to reach \$2.2 MM

Jake will create a form and send it out electronic and hard copy to members and facilitator for rating.

Jake sent a sheet around to members for update of email addresses.

Meeting adjourned.

DRAFT