

Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed Analysis

Bureau of Land Management

Coos Bay District

Myrtlewood Resource Area

First Iteration: April 1996

Resource Area Interdisciplinary Team Members

Michael S. Kellett - Fisheries Biologist (Team Lead)

Steve Langenstein - Wildlife Biologist

Estella Morgan - Natural Resource Technician (Botany)

Dale Stewart - Soil Scientist

Earl Burke - Fuels Specialist

Dave Sherman - Silviculturist

Dan Carpenter - Hydrologist

Steve Fowler - Engineer

Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables	3
Introduction	4
Characterization of the Watershed	5
Issues and Key Questions Identified	21
Past and Present Conditions	27
Desired Conditions	90
Recommendations	95
Bibliography	108

<u>Figures:</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Location of Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed Analysis Area	6
2	Geology of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	7
3	Soils of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	10
4	Tributary Drainages within the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	12
5	Maximum Precipitation Estimates for the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	14
6	Fish-Bearing Streams of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	19
7	Distribution of Fish-Bearing and Non Fish-Bearing Streams	18
8	Distribution of Major Soil Types within the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed	28
9	Distribution of Major Soil Types by Ownership	28
10a&b	Turbidity in Rowland and Baker Creeks During the Winter of 1994/1995	32&33
11	Correlation of Rainfall and Turbidity in Rowland and Baker Creeks	32
12	Soil Compaction from Roads in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	35
13	Mean Monthly Flow of the South Fork Coquille River	36
14	Barriers to Upstream Fish Migration in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	50
15	Distribution of Noxious Weeds in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	58
16	Composition of Riparian Overstory in the Rowland and Baker Creek Drainages	61
17	Riparian Reserves Presently Meeting Desired Conditions	62
18	Age Classes of BLM Forest Lands in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	65
19	Timber Prices from BLM Timber Sales 1962-1989	66
20	Adult Spring Chinook Monitoring Data from the South Fork Coquille River	68
21	ODFW Fall Chinook Spawning Survey Data for Index Reach on Salmon Creek	70
22	BLM Fall Chinook Spawning Survey Data from Salmon Creek	70
23	ODFW Coho Spawning Survey Data for Index Reach on Salmon Creek	71
24	Coho Spawning Survey Data for Baker Creek	72
25	USFS Fish Population Inventory Data for Salmon Creek - 1991	72
26a&b	Steelhead Spawning Survey Data for Baker Creek	74&75
27	Soil Hazard Rating Map for the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	96
28	Proposed Harvest Units in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	106

<u>Tables:</u>		
1	Area of Tributary Drainages within the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	9
2	Drainage Density within the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed	13
3	Number of Landslides within the Key Watershed by Soil Type & Decade	29
4	Types of Landslides within the Key Watershed by Decade	30
5	Landslides Grouped by Associated Land Use and Soil Hazard Rating	31
6	Landslides Grouped by Associated Land Use and Decade	31
7	Contribution of Mean Monthly Flow to Annual Runoff	36
8	Miscellaneous Pre-1972 Maximum Water Temperatures	41
9	Summary of 1993 Temperature Monitoring Data from Selected Tributaries	42
10	Summary of 1992 Stream Habitat Inventory of Rowland Creek	47
11	Summary of 1992 Stream Habitat Inventory of Salmon Creek	47
12	Summary of 1992 Stream Habitat Inventory of Baker Creek	48
13	Summary of 1992 Stream Habitat Inventory of Woodward Creek	48
14	Summary of 1992 Stream Habitat Inventory of Dement Creek	49
15	ODFW Aquatic Inventory Project Habitat Benchmarks	49
16	Common Plant Associations in the Western Hemlock Vegetation Zone	52
17	Common Plant Associations in the Mixed-Evergreen Vegetation Zone	53
18	Summary of Riparian Inventory on Rowland Creek	60
19	Summary of Riparian Inventory on Baker Creek	60
20	Acreages of Plant Communities and Seral Stages in the Key Watershed	81

Introduction

Watershed analysis is a major component of the ecosystem-based management strategy mapped out in the Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl (USDI 1994a). The stated purpose of watershed analysis is to develop and document a scientifically-based understanding of the ecological structures, functions, processes, and interactions occurring within a watershed, and to identify desired trends, conditions, data gaps, and restoration opportunities. The information, recommendations and data gaps documented in a watershed analysis can be used to help plan land management activities that are appropriate for the analysis area, support the NEPA process, and direct future data collection efforts. Watershed analysis was designed as an iterative process, with reports being revised as additional information becomes available.

This is the first iteration of Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed Analysis. The interdisciplinary team members initially convened to identify issues pertinent to the analysis area, then worked independently to produce “specialist reports”, covering the issues identified in their respective fields of expertise. Upon completion of these specialist inputs, the team reconvened to critique and edit them, and synthesize the information into a cohesive watershed analysis report. The table of contents reflects the team’s consensus for the report format. The report is organized such that each successive chapter answers the question “so what?”, and presents a logical progression from a description of key physical and biological components, through discussions of past and present resource conditions and the processes affecting them, to desired future conditions and recommendations on how to achieve them. The team also agreed to preserve all of the specialist inputs in an appendix, to provide additional information, clarify certain portions of the report, and serve readers with a specific interest or focus.

Description

Location

The Lower South Fork Coquille watershed encompasses the area around Powers, Oregon, and includes most of T30S, R12W, T31S, R12W, and portions of adjacent townships (see location map Figure 1). The total size of this watershed is 65,669 acres. Federal land within this watershed is managed by the Myrtlewood Resource Area of the Coos Bay District - BLM (7,368 acres), and the Powers Ranger District of the Siskiyou National Forest - USFS (4,235 acres). The remainder of the watershed (54,066 acres) is privately owned. The Lower South Fork Coquille analysis area includes the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Tier 1 Key Watershed, which covers 24,055 acres. The Key Watershed acreage includes 5,750 acres managed by the BLM, 4,235 acres managed by the USFS, and 14,070 acres in private ownership.

Geology

The South Fork Coquille Analytical Watershed lies on the boundary between the Coast Range Physiographic Province and the Klamath Mountain Physiographic Province (see Figure 2). The Coast Range Province was part of a large, partially enclosed basin called a geosyncline. Vast amounts of submarine basalt flows, breccias, and tuffaceous sediments were deposited in this geosyncline during past volcanic activity. These flows and deep water sediments constitute the Roseburg Formation. The various sedimentary layers of Tyee Basin, which comprises most of the southern portion of the Coast Range Physiographic Province, sit atop this basaltic basement rock.

The Klamath Mountain Physiographic Province borders the Coast Range Province on the south, and extends into California as far south as San Francisco.

There are five geologic formations and thirteen various members of those formations and other deposits outcropping within the South Fork Coquille Watershed. From the oldest formation to the youngest they are: the Galice, the Humbug Mountain, the Otter Point, the Roseburg, and the Lookingglass Formations. Small deposits of quaternary terrace and alluvial material fill some of the larger stream valleys. Additional information on the geology of the analysis area is provided in Appendix 7.

Soils

The Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is composed of four major map units. Each unit is a unique feature in the watershed. Typically, the map unit consists of one or more major soils or included minor soils that share common associations. For this watershed the following map units are described within the Coos County Soil Survey of 1989: Rinearson - Etelka, Etelka - Whobrey, Digger - Preacher - Remote, and Serpentano - Digger classifications. Soil series for the basin are presented in Figure 3.

Figure 1. Location of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.





Figure 2. Geologic map of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed



Legend for Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed Geology Map

-  Quaternary Alluvial Deposit [Qal]: Consisting of varying proportions of unconsolidated clay, silt, sand and gravel.
-  Quaternary Terrace [Qt]: Elevated deposits of loosely compacted rudely bedded sand and minor gravel with subordinate organic matter found locally.
-  Tye Formation [Tet]: Thick sequence of rhythmically bedded micaceous sandstone and siltstone.
-  Lookingglass Formation [Telg]: Rhythmically bedded non-micaceous sandstone and siltstone; basal beds are coal-bearing and conglomeratic locally near the base of the section.
-  Roseburg Formation [Ter]: A thick sequence of sandstone and siltstone; rhythmically bedded locally containing minor conglomerate and massive sandstone.
-  Roseburg Formation [Terv]: As above with large sub-units composed of pillow and brecciated submarine basalts.
-  Humbug Mountain Conglomerate [Khm]: Conglomerate containing clasts of chert, schist, diorite, greenstone and sandstone.
-  Otter Point Formation [Jop]: Composed of primarily sheared sedimentary rock.
-  Otter Point Formation [Jov]: As above with subordinate volcanic strata.
-  Otter Point Formation [Js]: As above with areas of serpentine and bodies of blueschist, also known as the Colebrooke Schist.
-  Serpentinite [Jsp]: A greenish soft rock composed of minerals of the serpentine group; an alteration product of ultramafic rocks, such as peridotite, occurring as concordant sheets and tectonically emplaced dikes.
-  Galice Formation [Jgv]: Dark gray, fissile mudstone and siltstone interbedded with thin beds of fine-grained sandstone with subordinate volcanic rocks.

The Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is a unique basin with regard to the complexity of soil types. It has a spectrum of soils derived from sedimentary rock that are either coarse and well drained, or have a very fine silty clay component that are a barrier to infiltration and drainage. In addition, there are soils that come from a marine environment that are very old and weathered, with naturally reduced productivity.

Physiography

The Coquille River is the largest individual watershed in the South Coast River Basin, draining some 1058 square miles from the Coast Range and Siskiyou mountains, westward to the Pacific Ocean. The South Fork Coquille River is the largest of four tributaries of the Coquille River, having a drainage area of 245 square miles. This major tributary of the Coquille flows generally northward, originating in the Siskiyou mountains. The South Fork Coquille mainstem is 63 miles long, with an elevation change of 2930 feet, for an average gradient of 47 feet/mile.


The watershed analysis area involves 36% of the South Fork Coquille watershed from the confluence of the Middle Fork Coquille River to Mill Creek near Powers, Oregon. Major tributaries in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed analysis area are shown in Figure 4. Individual drainage areas are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Areas of Lower South Fork Coquille tributary drainages.

Watershed Drainage	Acres	Miles ²
Baker Creek	3784	5.9
Dement Creek	7661	12.0
East Powers	2110	3.3
Mill Creek	1440	2.3
Powers	22886	35.6
Rowland Creek	6070	9.5
Salmon Creek	4627	7.3
Woodward Creek	3424	5.4
Yellow Creek	4092	6.4
Total	56094	87.7

Upstream from the confluence with the North Fork Coquille, the river meanders through a wide, flat valley, at first tidally influenced, and is joined by the Middle Fork Coquille at river mile 4.5. In the next 20 miles the South Fork climbs 200 feet gradually, meandering past the communities of Broadbent and Gaylord. Agricultural uses predominate in this section of the river. The river is quite level (gradient less than 1%), and wide, with large gravel bar deposits, limited large wood and little shade. Continuing upstream, the river climbs at a steeper rate, passing Roland, Baker and Salmon Creeks. The sharpest transition is between river mile 45-50, the Coquille Falls reach, where the valley rises 1000 feet. Toward the upper South Fork above Rock Creek, the river channel heads eastward, and climbs

Figure 3. Soil Type Map for the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed




Legend for Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed Soil Map

- Coos County -

	9		17B		18E
	10A		24		19F
	10B		25		20C
	10C		33		20D
	13D		36C		20E
	13E		36D		21D
	13F		37C		22E
	57		47B		51D
	48		63B		51E
	14F		63C		53D
	15F		63D		53E
	49E		65		W
	49F		44D		
	50D		44E		
	50E		45D		
	58F		45E		
	No Data		46D		
			46E		

Figure 4. Map of surface water, drainages and ownership in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed.



through Eden ridge, and enters Eden valley. The basin headwaters are at the upstream terminus of this valley feature.

Streams in the analysis area show mostly a dendritic (branching) pattern. About 670 miles of streams are found in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed, for a drainage density of 7.62 mi/mi² (refer to Table 2). It is estimated that 80% of the total stream miles (generally 1st-2nd order) are intermittent; 20% are perennial. Based on this distribution, approximately 128 miles of stream channels within the analysis area contain some water throughout the year, in most years.

Table 2. Miles of stream by stream order and stream density for Lower South Fork Coquille drainages.

Drainages	Miles of Stream by Stream Order ¹							
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Total
Baker Creek	19.5	11.4	2.8	3.2	1.2			38.1
Dement Creek	64.8	22.7	8.5	3.8	5.3			105.1
East Powers	25.0	7.5	1.9	3.1	1.0			38.5
Mill1 Creek	14.2	2.8	1.5	1.9	0.6	0.1		21.1
Powers	142.0	66.0	25.4	6.3	1.8	17.1	4.7	263.3
Rowland Creek	36.6	12.1	6.4	4.0	0.9			60.0
Salmon Creek	25.9	14.9	4.4	3.1	4.9			53.2
Woodward1 Creek	24.0	9.9	3.5	2.5	1.5			41.4
Yellow Creek	30.6	11.0	3.4	1.9	2.3			49.2
Stream Density (mi/mi ²)	4.40	1.80	0.65	0.34	0.22	0.20	0.05	7.60
Total stream miles								669.90

¹Relative positions of streams, where all exterior links are order 1, and proceeding downstream, the confluence of two like orders result in existing stream order + 1. The junction of two different orders retain the higher order and the main stream always has the highest order (Strahler 1957).


Climate

Annual precipitation occurs mostly as rainfall, ranging from 55 inches in the low elevations and river valleys from the mouth to the Gaylord area, to over 120 inches on the divide between Johnson and Rock Creeks (OWRD 1963). Cool, moist air masses rising over the Siskiyou produce snow at times over 1800-2000' elevations. Annual snowfall averages 3 inches at Powers (elevation 300 feet), and 40+ inches in the higher portions of the watershed (OWRD 1963). These are intermittent snowpacks, usually persisting on the ground for only a few weeks, and

sometimes melting quickly with warm winds and rain.

Close to 80% of the average annual precipitation occurs between October and March, with 50% occurring during November-January. Precipitation during the summer months is only about 4% of the annual average. Average dry season precipitation, from May through September varies from 6 inches between Myrtle Point and Powers, to 12 inches on Eden Ridge (OSU 1982).

Figure 5. Maximum precipitation estimates for the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.



Maximum precipitation periods are responsible for high runoff, including flooding, watershed erosion, and slides and debris torrents - but occur on an infrequent basis. High precipitation with existing shallow snowpacks can worsen flooding. Maximum precipitation estimates are shown for the analysis area in Figure 5. Results are based on a frequency analysis taken from area NOAA Cooperative Weather Stations.

Differences in elevation strongly affect precipitation amounts. Generally, damaging storms have a return frequency of 5 years or more.

Botanical Resources

The vegetation of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed is a mosaic of early to late seral stage forests, oak woodlands, and agricultural lands. The watershed consists of two distinct vegetative zones with an additional vegetation type interspersed within both zones. The western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*) zone is the major vegetational zone in this watershed, encompassing all but Johnson Mountain, where the mixed-evergreen forest type dominates the serpentine soils. White oak (*Quercus garryana*) woodlands are an integral component of the vegetational zones throughout the entire watershed.

Riparian Areas

The riparian reserves are areas along all streams, wetlands, ponds, lakes and unstable areas where riparian-dependent resources receive primary emphasis. The main purpose of these reserves is to protect the health of the aquatic system and its dependent species, while also providing benefits

to upland species. General guidelines for interim riparian reserve widths are described in the Aquatic Conservation Strategy of the Standards and Guidelines (USDI 1994a).

Riparian reserves occupy approximately 3,825 acres (52%) of BLM land and 1,183 acres (28%) of USFS land within Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed, and approximately 3,019 acres (53%) of BLM land and 1,183 acres (28%) of USFS land within the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed. Additionally, according to standards in the Oregon State Forest Practices Act, riparian reserves comprise approximately 1,565 acres (3%) of the private land in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed. (Note: The riparian reserve acreage given for USFS land is probably an underestimate resulting from incomplete GIS data on the stream network in the Salmon Creek drainage.)

Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat

Generally, the western Oregon forests are an unquantified mosaic of dynamic vegetation types affected over time by disturbances such as human activities, fire, logging, wind storms, disease, and more. Natural conditions, such as slope, aspect, elevation, soils, temperature and precipitation, also add influences to the development of these forests. These influences directly affect the types, qualities, quantities, sizes, spacial and temporal relationships of the various wildlife habitats found within the vegetation types of these forests. For the wildlife resources in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed, "vegetation type" and "timber type" are not equal to "habitat type". For example, a particular timber type within the forest, may or may not provide habitat with coarse woody debris. If there are logs present, they may be in an undesirable condition (fire hardened or other) and may not provide good wildlife (herptile or small mammal) habitat.

Riparian areas are among the most heavily used habitats for all wildlife species occurring in the forest lands of western Oregon, because they provide most of the requirements vital to these animals for some aspect of their lives; i.e., food, water and shelter. It was shown that 132 wildlife species use riparian areas for breeding and 193 species used riparian areas for feeding (Brown 1985). Riparian areas are sometimes used as travel corridors, and may be used for species dispersal. These sites also provide nesting and perching sites, particularly for those species which utilize the aquatic invertebrate populations as a prey base.

Some wildlife species are quite mobile, but still need habitats which are complete, and linked. These linkages are on a landscape as well as a local scale. On the landscape scale, diverse habitat types, representing the early, mid and late ecological seral stages are needed (FEMAT 1993). One decision of the Record of Decision (ROD) for the Northwest Forest Plan was to retain a minimum of 15 % of all federal forest lands in all watersheds in late seral condition (USDI 1994a). Forests representing multiple age classes, each with a variety of plant species (including shrubs) are assumed to be more healthy (Raphael 1988).

At the local scale, links between the various landscape components (uplands, riparian areas, ridges and draws) are examples of habitat connectivity. Many species traverse their ranges by traveling through several habitats. For example, deer, coyotes, skunks and others use many habitats for foraging. Some animals, such as salamanders, do not always travel parallel routes with streams, and often will take random perpendicular routes through upland habitats. Other groups of species, such as perching birds, use fewer habitats, and habitats similar to each other. These birds often travel from tree crown to tree crown, and avoid open areas or breaks in the habitat. These are reasons to maintain links between the terrestrial habitats and riparian habitats, and to retain micro-sites of suitable or imminently suitable habitats. Relatively small and immobile species are often susceptible to management actions when management units create barriers to the species' movements. Examples of barriers may include clear-cuts with insufficient reserve trees or down logs, and roads. Even when the riparian habitats connect the lowlands to the ridges, it does not necessarily ensure that the mid-slope habitats are retained.

The Key Watershed within this analysis area is so designated partly because of its value as a "Connectivity" area between the forests in the Middle Fork Coquille watershed to the north, and the Siskiyou National Forest to the south. The aquatic portion of this area is important, but may not have the significance of the terrestrial values for species like the Northern Spotted Owl and the Marbled Murrelet. The Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed is strategically located to include habitat for other special status species like the Del Norte Salamander, Red-Legged Frog, and others. This area is likely to be a critical physical/biological link for some species occurring in both the Coast Range and Klamath Mountains ecological provinces. Furthermore, some species have their ranges and distribution boundaries defined by where these two ecological provinces meet. With these significant values, the role this watershed plays in implementing the Northwest Forest Plan strategies is significant. If these linkages were lost or reduced, it may affect the loss or recovery of a species, and the level of success of the Forest Plan.

There is little available information about existing local wildlife populations, habitat quantities or qualities for non-game or non-listed (endangered or threatened) species within the analysis area, because there have been few specific inventories of wildlife or their habitats. Cooperative efforts with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW), the U.S. Forest Service - Powers Ranger District (USFS) and some of the local landowners have provided some insight into types, relative numbers and qualities of some wildlife and their habitats in the area. There are continuing Northern Spotted Owl and Marbled Murrelet surveys occurring in the area. The analysis area is primarily in private ownership, but existing survey data is exclusively from federally-administered land. ODFW general information about wildlife is from both public and private lands.

There are at least four significant categories of wildlife identified within the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed. These groups are presented without inference of priority, because from an ecological perspective, the loss or reduction of any of these groups is equally significant to the function of the forest community. The first is Birds, which includes neotropical migratory birds and resident birds of many scientific families. There are 151 species of birds which have been observed in the Siskiyou National Forest, Powers Ranger District, including 52 neotropical

migrants (Webb & Shea 1991). It is likely that these species also occurred in the Lower South Fork Coquille Analytical Watershed historically (USDI 1994b, ODFW 1993b, National Geographic Society 1993). Second is Herptiles, including the amphibians and reptiles. According to literature, there should be approximately 15 species of amphibians (14 native, 1 exotic) and 14 (all native) species of reptiles occupying the habitats within the watershed (Nussbaum et. al. 1983 and Webb & Shea 1990a). Third are the Mammals, represented by large animals (Roosevelt Elk, Black Bear, Black-Tailed Deer, etc.), and small mammals, including furbearers (Mink, Otter, Raccoon, Bats etc.), small predators (Coyote, Fox etc.), and rodents (Squirrels, Chipmunks, Beaver, etc). It is estimated that at least 64 species of mammals historically (Maser et.al 1981 and USDA 1995) occurred within this watershed analysis area. No inventory has been conducted in the Lower South Fork Coquille to determine the current estimate of species numbers. However, the US Forest Service - Powers Ranger District lists 57 mammal species (Webb & Shea 1990b). Fourth, there are the special status species. Although there have been few true inventories, the following 13 special status species are known to occur within this management area (USDI 1994d):

American Peregrine Falcon	Pileated Woodpecker
Marbled Murrelet	Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog
N. Goshawk	N. Red-legged Frog
Bald Eagle	Tailed Frog
Mountain Quail	W. Pond Turtle
N. Spotted Owl	S. Torrent Salamander
	Del Norte Salamander

Other special status species are also likely to occur. All total, at least twenty-five special status wildlife species probably occur, or historically occurred, within the analytical watershed (Guetterman 1993, Peterson & Powers 1952, and VanDyke 1995). This list of federal threatened, endangered, federal candidate, BLM sensitive, BLM assessment, and State of Oregon listed (threatened/endangered) species includes birds, reptiles, amphibians, and mammals (USDI 1994c and USDI 1994d). Two known Northern spotted owl nest sites occur in this analytical watershed. Some suitable habitat for most or all of the species listed above and below, likely still occurs within the watershed. However, at this time there is no accurate way to describe the amount, quality, or location of these habitats. The following 12 additional special status species should occur, or have historically occurred, in the watershed, although many of these species are unconfirmed for lack of inventory (USDI 1994d and Maser 1981):

Arctic Peregrine Falcon	W. Big-eared Bat
Merlin	White-footed Vole
Loggerhead Shrike	Purple Martin
Common Loon	Pacific Fisher
American Marten	Grizzly bear
Wolves	Lynx

An entire species list for all animals in the District can be found in the Coos Bay District RMP. This list is not specific to the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed, and no specific list can be accurately produced without a concentrated and intensive inventory.

Fisheries

There are approximately 56 miles of anadromous fish-bearing streams in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed; 19 miles (33%) lie within the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed. These stream miles include spawning and rearing habitat, as well as migration routes. Approximately 36 additional stream miles are inhabited only by resident fish (primarily cutthroat trout), which brings the total to approximately 91 miles of fish-bearing streams in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed; 32 miles (35%) of which lie within the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed. Fish-bearing streams are shown in Figure 6. The fish distribution map (Figure 6) is a composite of maps and records obtained from BLM, ODFW (Forsberg 1992), and the Oregon State Forestry Dept. (ODF), and indicates the furthest upstream endpoint noted among the source materials for resident and anadromous fish in each stream. It should be noted that although the majority of the stream miles in the analysis area are classified as non-fish-bearing, they do provide habitat for a wide variety of mammals, birds, herptiles, and invertebrates, which are equally important parts of the aquatic ecosystem. The distribution of anadromous, resident, and non-fish-bearing stream miles within the analysis area are shown in Figure 7. Populations of introduced fish species may exist in ponds within the watershed, but were not evaluated in this analysis.

Figure 7. The distribution of anadromous, resident, and non-fish-bearing stream miles in the Lower South Fork Coquille River watershed.





Figure 6. Fish-bearing streams in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.



The following fish species occupy the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed during all or part of their respective life cycles (as verified during spawning-ground/habitat surveys, electro-fishing, or anecdotal accounts):

Chinook salmon (fall)	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>
Coho salmon	<i>Oncorhynchus kisutch</i>
Cutthroat trout	<i>Oncorhynchus clarkii</i>
Largescale sucker	<i>Catostomus macrocheilus</i>
Pacific lamprey	<i>Lampetra tridentata</i>
Prickly sculpin	<i>Cottus asper</i>
Rainbow trout	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>
Reticulate sculpin	<i>Cottus perplexus</i>
Speckled dace	<i>Rhinichthys osculus</i>
Steelhead (winter)	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>

In addition to those listed above, the remainder of the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed supports the following:

Chinook salmon (spring)	<i>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</i>
Threespine stickleback	<i>Gasterosteus aculeatus</i>

Issues and Key Questions

The interdisciplinary team identified the following issues and key questions for analysis:

Issue #1: Soil Productivity - The current productivity of the soil needs to be maintained so as not to reduce the resource by erosional processes, nutrient losses or growth potential.

- ❖ What is the natural rate of landsliding within the Tier 1 Watershed?
- ❖ What types of landslide processes are active in the watershed?
- ❖ Have management activities played a major role in producing landslides above the natural rate within the Tier 1 watershed.
- ❖ What remedial action could be taken in the watershed to improve conditions that may result in landslides?
- ❖ Is culvert placement and drainage adequate to prevent landsliding in the Tier 1 watershed?
- ❖ What is the current status of the site productivity within the watershed?
- ❖ Has soil productivity suffered a loss through past management practices?
- ❖ What soil components are most prone to degradation and result in lower site productivity?
- ❖ Is compaction of the watershed hindering soil or plant functions in the watershed?
- ❖ What level of compaction due to roads and other management activities exists within the watershed?
- ❖ What is the response of the watershed to storm events in regard to producing sediment?
- ❖ How quickly can the watershed recover from the affects of sedimentation after a major storm event?

Issue #2: Some water quality characteristics in the Lower South Fork Coquille and tributaries, including temperature and dissolved oxygen, do not fully support beneficial uses or meet Oregon DEQ Water Quality Standards for the South Coast Basin (OAR 340-41.325).

- ❖ What factors are affecting water quality that can be influenced by BLM or federal land management?

- ❖ What are the processes delivering sediment to tributary streams and along the main river?
- ❖ Where is stream turbidity or stream sedimentation been identified as a problem, or is suspected to interfere with beneficial uses?
- ❖ What are the processes that are increasing summer stream water temperatures above State DEQ Water Quality Standards? Which stream segments have frequent exceedances?
- ❖ What are the processes, including human activities, that are causing dissolved oxygen in the mainstem to fall below State DEQ Water Quality Standards? Are tributary streams affected?
- ❖ What are the processes, including human activities, that are causing fecal coliform in the mainstem to exceed State DEQ Water Quality Standards? Are tributary streams affected?
- ❖ Has water quality been adversely affected by changes in water quantity or timing as a result of settlement and human activities in the watershed?

Issue #3: Water quantity is insufficient during summer through early fall periods to fully support beneficial uses.

- ❖ Have summer low flows changed as a result of settlement and human activities in the watershed?
- ❖ How much surface water is being used for out of stream uses, and where are points of diversion? What affect does this have on available summer flow?
- ❖ What effect have changes in channel morphology and riparian vegetation had on summer low flows?
- ❖ What is the cause of summer channel drying in Rowland Creek?
- ❖ What factors are affecting lack of sufficient summer flow that can be influenced by BLM or federal land management?
- ❖ Where are the domestic water sources?

Issue #4: Upland vegetation - Changes have occurred in native plants, plant communities and natural landscape pattern since the inception of large scale use and management of the land for the production of various commodities used by a growing society.

- ❖ What activities have contributed to changes in natural plant distribution, composition, abundance, and landscape patterns?
- ❖ Where and how abundant are the various natural plant communities, including old growth communities, and are any missing or represented by only small remnant populations?
- ❖ What potential management options and/or projects could be used to maintain and/or restore any degraded plants or plant communities?

Issue #5: Aquatic habitat condition

- ❖ What is the role of this watershed in the region?
- ❖ Is there sufficient large woody debris, adequately distributed throughout the system?
- ❖ Is there adequate spawning and rearing habitat?
- ❖ Are there obstructions to fish migration?
- ❖ Is the Key Watershed functioning as intended; i.e., providing refugia for the rest of the watershed?
- ❖ Given current conditions within this basin and given reasonably foreseeable events, will the Key Watershed continue to function as a refuge and a "seed source" over the time period required for the recovery of adjacent basins - that is, until it is no longer needed as a refuge?
- ❖ Where are the key habitats (hot spots); and how are they maintained?
- ❖ What forces have the potential to reduce or limit the viability of key habitats; i.e., what is the vulnerability of the aquatic habitat resource?
- ❖ What can be done about the threats to the integrity and productivity of the aquatic habitat within and outside the Key Watershed portion of this sub-basin?

Issue #6: Fish population status

- ❖ What fish species occupied this watershed historically?
- ❖ What species are there now, and how are they distributed?
- ❖ What are their population trends?

- ❖ Are the available spawning and rearing habitats fully seeded?
- ❖ What are the sensitive aquatic organisms in the sub-basin; and how are they doing?
- ❖ What are the social values of this resource?
- ❖ What is the role of the Key Watershed in this watershed?
- ❖ Given current conditions within this basin and given reasonably foreseeable events, will this basin function as a "seed source" over the time period required for the recovery of adjacent basins?
- ❖ What forces have the potential to reduce or limit the viability of existing fish populations; i.e., what is the vulnerability of this resource?
- ❖ What management activities would be necessary to reverse the [downward] trends or reduce the threats?

Issue #7: Riparian habitat condition

- ❖ How much of the riparian overstory is presently dominated by (a) shrubs, (b) hardwoods, (c) conifers, (d) mixed hardwoods & conifers?
- ❖ How were site factors and riparian overstory vegetation historically correlated?
- ❖ Where are the most intact riparian areas located?
- ❖ Is there adequate riparian canopy closure?
- ❖ Is there adequate potential for recruitment of large woody debris?

Issue #8: Wildlife populations and habitat condition

- ❖ What wildlife species were in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed historically?
- ❖ What wildlife species (including special status species) are there today?
- ❖ What are the population trends: stable, increasing, decreasing?
- ❖ What kinds/how much wildlife habitat occurred in the past (by land ownership)?
- ❖ What kinds/how much wildlife habitat is currently available?

- ❖ What kinds of wildlife habitats should be managed for today?
- ❖ How much of each habitat is needed?
- ❖ How should habitat types be dispersed/arranged (spatially and temporally)?
- ❖ What is the understood health and function of this analysis area, related to wildlife?
- ❖ How are the habitats distributed between land owners?
- ❖ What is an acceptable level of habitat fragmentation for wildlife?
- ❖ What level of public access is appropriate for all of the wildlife resources?
- ❖ How are wildlife issues linked to other resource values?

Issue #9: Fire and disturbance

- ❖ What naturally-caused disturbances occurred in the watershed prior to 1924 (period of record); how big were they; and in what way did they alter vegetative characteristics?
- ❖ What and where were the human-caused disturbances prior to 1924, and what impact did they have on the character and composition of watershed?
- ❖ How and to what extent do we want to utilize disturbance to re-establish watershed characteristics?

Issue #10: The introduction and spread of Port-Orford-cedar root rot.

- ❖ What is the current distribution and level of infestation of POC root-rot in the watershed?
- ❖ What is the potential for the continued introduction and spread of the disease?
- ❖ What ecological processes would be altered should POC be lost, or populations greatly reduced in the ecosystem?
- ❖ What management opportunities are there for reducing the spread or helping to prevent the introduction of the disease into new areas?

Issue #11: Forest productivity

- ❖ What are the various economically and socially valuable plants and plant communities and their abundance and location?
- ❖ What is the potential supply of forest products?
- ❖ What are the past, present and future trends in value and demand for commercial products?
- ❖ What activities have contributed to changes in "natural" plant distribution, composition, abundance and landscape patterns?
- ❖ How could various harvest patterns and harvest levels of forest products influence other plants, animals, and ecological processes and functions?
- ❖ What are the past, present, and expected future demands on commercially valuable plants or plant communities in terms of their intrinsic, aesthetic, cultural and/or ecological restoration values?

Issue #12: Noxious weed spread

- ❖ What is the potential of noxious weeds to impact and spread within the watershed?
- ❖ What is the current status of the spread of the noxious weeds in the watershed?
- ❖ What is the current management for reducing the spread of noxious weeds within the watershed?

Issue #13: Status and condition of the current transportation system.

- ❖ What is the current use of roads within the watershed?
- ❖ What is the current road density, and how does it comply with the RMP?
- ❖ What is the future management of the road system to reduce sedimentation and other potential problems?
- ❖ Where are the roads that are contributing sediment to streams?

Past and Present Conditions

Soils

In the past, prior to European settlement, the soils in the basin were being built up by weathering, vegetational and animal processes. The vegetational communities covering the landscape at that time will be considered natural and unimpaired with regard to the soil building processes for comparison purposes. Soil structure with respect to the processes affecting soil aeration would have been in balance or unimpeded. The state of compaction within the soil at that time may have been limited both in scope and scale.

Since the arrival of European settlers the landscape has been altered by removal of vegetation of one form (large trees) and replaced by another (grasses, brush and small trees). The processes that build soil and cycle nutrients and water changed in the basin as a result of human influence on the landscape. The removal of forest products compacted the soil resource and the grazing of the resulting grasslands added to that level of compaction. The removal of vegetation allowed the process of erosion to be accelerated over that which would have occurred in the forested state.

Erosional processes in the past have been largely surface and gully erosion, earth flow, followed by slumping or landsliding. In this basin, there is evidence that soil creep or earth flow is the dominant process of mass movement and has been occurring for a long time.

The clays of the dominant soil types in the basin are classified as silty clays and are the major source of sediment within the stream network of the lower watershed. They have a high susceptibility to erosion and are added to the system quickly after a major storm event. Once in the stream network, the sediment remains in suspension until the stream velocity reduces sufficiently to allow the sediment to settle out. Past land clearing activities, especially pasture development, allowed the soil to be exposed to the weathering elements, yielding more sediment than under the forested or vegetated condition that exists today.

Past removal of timber resources did not adequately protect the soil from compaction. During the review of aerial photos spanning the 1943 through 1992 period of time, it was evident that on the lower grade slopes (those less than 40%) harvesting with track or wheeled vehicles was prominent. Minimizing compaction during log transport was not a consideration. Prior to the development of a transportation system in the watershed, the use of cable systems did not provide for suspension of logs. Most logs were dragged over the ground, sometimes for long distances, using the same skid trails repeatedly. The use of these systems compacted the soil in those areas where multiple passes of logs occurred.

A major soil resource impacted in the past was the organic matter component on the soil surface. The function and physical placement of organic matter on the land surface ultimately defines the soil productivity. Fire has impacted a majority of the watershed, and thus reduced the woody debris and organic matter concentrations in the basin. Fire occurrences in the Baker, Rowland

and Salmon drainages have been extensive enough to reduce the amount of organic matter. See Fire and Disturbance section for a full discussion. The 1932 and 1936 fires were stand replacing fires, and may have been intense enough to consume all the organic matter and volatilize nitrogen from the soil. The organic matter component within the watershed has also been reduced by activities that compact the land surface such as harvesting or grazing.

Grazing within the watershed eliminated a major source of organic matter additions to the soil. The annual production of grasses would have provided a considerable accumulation of organic matter to the soil in the last 60 to 70 years in localized areas (meadows). Burning to encourage grasses to grow and reduce encroachment of conifers does not appear to have been a standard practice. Repeated agricultural burning has been largely confined to a five section area within the watershed, based on agricultural burning permits from 1985 to 1994. The watershed appears to have a natural ability to produce grasslands after disturbances and thus may have only needed occasional burning to produce forage for grazing.

EROSION

The Lower South Fork Coquille River basin is largely covered (52% of the total area) with the Etelka, Remote and Whobrey soil types (see Figure 3). Water tables at depths between 24 and 36 inches are created between the months of December and March on these soils. The soil types of 18E, 19F, 21D and 22E dominate this basin, except near the southern portion of the watershed in the Salmon Creek compartment (see Figures 3, 8, and 9). This accumulation of water between soil types (or between the soil and the underlying parent material) creates a slippage plane at saturation point. If the plane is steepened to a point above which the weight of the soil and water can remain stable, a landslide or mass movement of soil results. Soil creep is the major mass movement process in the basin. No inventory of the moving area is available at this time. Since this process can not be readily identified from aerial photos, but requires ground truthing - a data gap exists for this process.

Figure 8. Soil types within Tier 1 Watershed by compartments and entire watershed





Figure 9. Soil types in the Lower S. F. Coquille Watershed by ownership in Tier 1 and entire watershed



The Salmon Creek drainage is composed of soils that are very rocky and steep. The 14F and 15F soil series contain the Digger, Umpcoos, and rock outcrop types within the watershed. These soils are subject to sudden, rapid and large, persistent landslides that deliver a sandy type of sediment mixed with larger rocks to the stream network. Table 3 presents the number of slides by decade and particular soil type for the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed. Table 4 points out the large number of slides in the 1950 decade, most of which occurred in the Salmon Creek drainage.

Table 3. Landslides within the Tier 1 Watershed by decade and soil type.

Soil Type	Decades					Total # (%)
	1950	1960	1970	1980	1990+	
14F	29	0	1	0	2	32 (16)
15F	21	2	0	0	0	23 (12)
19F	0	2	0	0	0	2 (1)
21D	8	5	0	0	0	13 (6)
22E	46	6	7	4	8	71 (36)
25	1	0	0	0	0	1 ()
49 E,F	1	0	0	0	1	2 (1)
50 D,E	16	0	0	0	0	16 (8)
65	9	0	0	0	0	9 (4)
Total # (%)	161 (81)	14 (7)	8 (4)	4 (2)	11 (6)	199 (100)

A landsliding rate was determined for the Key Watershed for the decades from 1950 to 1990. A total of 199 slides were identified. The results are presented in Tables 3 through 5. The landslide rate depends on the type of slide identified and the decade in which it occurred. The bulk of the landslides in the Key Watershed were identified from the 1955 aerial photographs. Eighty one percent of the slides occurred by that decade, with the remaining nineteen percent occurring in the remaining three decades. The majority of the occurrences (54%) were the shallow rapid type landslide during the 1950's. During the 1960 - 1990's, that rate decreased to 15% of the total. The other types that were identified were debris flows, large persistent deep-seated failures, and sidecast. Again, the 1950 decade produced the largest number of these events, with a drastic decline in the remaining three decades.

Table 4. Landslide types in the Tier 1 Watershed by decade.

Decade	Shallow Rapid	Debris Flow	Large Persistent Deep-Seated Failure	Side Cast	Sediment Delivered to Stream Channel
1950	108	13	31	9	130
1960	11	3	1	0	13
1970	7	1	0	0	5
1980	4	0	0	0	3
1990+	8	2	1	0	4
Total #	138	19	33	9	155
(%)	(69)	(10)	(16)	(5)	(78)

Natural slides accounted for 76% of the total slides identified (see Table 6); 69% of these slides occurred in the 1950's. Land management activities such as sidecasting, road building, yarding and skidding accounted for the remaining 24% of the total landslides. Since the 1950's, only 12% of the total number of landslides can be attributed to management activities. However, it should be noted that the photo coverage of the Key Watershed was incomplete, and the most notable area for sliding in the 1950s (Salmon Creek) was not available for analysis in the later decades. Over all, there does not appear to be a problem with landslides in the Rowland and Baker Creek drainages. In the absence complete photographic coverage of the Salmon Creek drainage, the extent to which landsliding is a reoccurring event, and thus a concern, has not been fully evaluated.

The types and rates of landsliding within the entire Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed are probably similar to those of the Key Watershed. The soil types are similar, with the exception of soil types 18E and 19F. These two soil types are found more often in the larger watershed and are more prone to failure due to their slope. Thus, a slightly higher rate could be expected in the larger watershed.

Table 4 presents the number of slides that delivered sediment to the stream. In the 1950 decade, large quantities of sediment were added to the streams as a result of the identified slides. Nearly a ten-fold reduction occurred in the 1960's. In the 1970-1990 decades, the same number of slides delivered sediment, but it was over a 22 year span of time. Therefore, not only were the total number of slides reduced, but the sediment delivery was reduced as well.

Table 5. Landslide events by land use association and hazard classification.

Soil Hazard Class	Soil Types Defining Rating	Portion of Basin Acres (%)	Number of Events (% Total)	Land Use				
				Natural	Side Cast	Yarding	Skidding	Road
High	15F	3481 (14.5)	23 (11)	17	0	4	1	1
High-Mod	14F, 21D 19F, 22E	15,224 (63.2)	148 (74)	109	8	19	3	9
Moderate	49E, 49F	531 (2.2)	2 (1)	2	0	0	0	0
Mod-Low	50E	2,102 (8.8)	14 (7)	12	1	0	0	1
Low	50D, 25 65	722 (3)	12 (6)	11	0	0	0	1
Totals		91.7	199 (100)	151	9	23	4	12

Table 6. Landslide Event by Land Use Association and Decade.

Decade	Number of Events/ Decade (% Total)	Land Use				
		Natural	Side Cast	Yarding	Skidding	Road
1950	161 (81)	138	4	10	4	5
1960	15 (7)	6	4	3	0	2
1970	8 (4)	2	1	2	0	3
1980	4 (2)	1	0	2	0	1
1990+	11 (6)	4	0	6	0	1
Total (%)	199 (100)	151 (76)	9 (4)	23 (12)	4 (2)	12 (6)

SEDIMENTATION

Turbidity sampling data during the winter of 1994-95 in the Rowland and Baker Creek drainages is presented in Figures 10a and 10b. The turbidity of these streams appears to be caused by the suspension of clay particles within the water column (personal observation). No testing or sampling for suspended sediment was associated with the collection of turbidity samples. The

Dement, Rowland and Baker Creek drainages are extensively covered with Etelka, Whobrey, and Remote soils and influence the water quality of the Lower South Fork Coquille River directly. These soils have apparently always produced high amounts of silty clay to the stream network and may affect the fish habitat and water quality accordingly. Adequate filtration of sediment carried in surface runoff can be accomplished by 25 to 75-foot (depending on slope) strips of vegetation in key locations, such as riparian buffer areas or road-side ditches adjacent to stream crossing culverts.

Some of the fine sediment in these streams is small enough that it does not readily settle out. The turbulent nature of the streams allows particles to be suspended with little increase in stream velocity. Thus, once a major storm event fills the system with sediment it takes a drastic reduction in velocity to allow the stream to clear. This happened toward the end of April or May in 1995, when constant input from precipitation stopped for a week or more. It may also occur during the winter if rainfall stops for a week or more. Overall, Rowland and Baker Creeks are high in natural sediment load and stay in that condition in the winter. Baker and Rowland Creeks respond similarly to precipitation. However, high turbidities in Baker Creek recover more slowly than in Rowland Creek. Notice the trend of turbidity in Figure 10a resembles that of the 3-day rainfall event presented in Figure 11. Turbidity appears to be under the influence of the amount of rainfall received in a 3-day period.

Figure 10a. Turbidity of Rowland Creek




Figure 11. Rowland Rainfall Amounts





Figure 10b. Comparison of turbidities in Rowland and Baker Creeks.



SOIL PRODUCTIVITY

Soil productivity is a combination of the nutrient status, biological functions, and interactions with plants growing in the soil. Soil productivity is tied to site index in the District Resource Management Plan (RMP), Appendix X. At this time, site index is our best measure for site productivity. It is limited in scope in that it relates tree height, at 50 or 100 years of age, as the measure of site productivity. Basing productivity on this measure is narrow in scope, because while all soils have some inherent level of productivity, all may not grow trees. Within the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed, the site indexes for the predominant soil types range from a high class 2 to a low class 5. The average index for the watershed is mid class 2 or mid class 3. This level of productivity is capable of producing high volumes of timber in short rotations.

The nutrient base of the soil is heavily influenced by the type of disturbance that occurs on the surface. Compaction limits water infiltration and soil aeration processes, microbial activity, and root growth of plants. Burning or harvest (including salvage) can deplete the nutrient capital from the soil, or retard those processes that cycle the nutrient base. The combined nature of these activities in a short span of time (less than 80 years) may have lowered the site index in certain areas. Silvicultural activities that manage the resulting plantations may be able to overcome any impact to the soil resources (by fertilizing), but no inventory of the replacement stands has been conducted to date to reevaluate the site indexes.

COMPACTION

The level of compaction of the soil influences the ability of the soil surface to infiltrate water to the groundwater table. A high level of compaction allows more surface runoff to occur, and may result in higher peakflows in the streams. This watershed is naturally prone to perched high water tables in the winter months. Increasing the runoff to localized areas (such as road drainages) results in water infiltrating into an already saturated subsoil. This will increase the likelihood of road prism failure, and is reflected in the high rate of culvert failures in the recent years.

Within the Key Watershed, the transportation system is undergoing a large number of culvert failures. The major roads in this area were constructed or rebuilt between 1960 and 1970. The culverts placed in the subgrade at that time were not backfilled with suitable materials or techniques. A casual inventory suggests that culvert placement and alignment within the subgrade was often done without regard for the original stream channel orientation. It is possible that since installation the land has exerted forces on the pipes and moved them within the subgrade causing misalignment. Additionally, many of these culverts have been in place past their thirty-year design life. As a result, many culverts are deformed and have reduced capacities. They are also rusted through or undermined causing erosion within the subgrade around the pipe. Subsurface drainage was not provided during installation and many of the culverts are failing downhill due to earthflow movement. Within the remainder of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed, culvert failures are less common. Maintenance is provided by the county and other private land holders, and may keep culverts in better repair. Therefore, road-associated slides and culvert repairs are not required as frequently as in the Key Watershed. This may also be due to the relatively low gradient slopes in the lower portion of the watershed, where the hillsides are not poised for movement under saturated conditions, as they seem to be in the Key Watershed.


Based on the information extracted from the Transportation Information and Management System (TIMS), there is a one percent (1%) level of compaction within the entire Lower South Fork Coquille watershed due to inventoried and non-inventoried road surfaces. The number of acres of the ten major soil types and the percent of compaction both within the individual soil type and over the entire watershed can be found in Figure 12. Eighty percent of the total land surface is covered by these ten soil types. An assumption of 16 feet for road subgrade width was made for all roads (including cat trails). Since 1960, 19 acres within the Key watershed and 29 acres outside of the Key watershed have been compacted by road construction.

A change in both building technique and subgrade width have taken place since the early 1960's. In the beginning, mainline transportation systems with wide subgrades were developed using crawler tractors as the dominate construction tool. In the 70's and 80's, the subgrade width was reduced, largely because the roads were secondary tributaries or single purpose spur roads. The use of excavators replaced the crawler tractor as the primary construction tool and sidecast was reduced.

The non-inventoried trails, such as those made by ground-based harvesting activities or compaction from the grazing of animals, cannot be determined. Based on decadal photo series, the common harvest technique in the past on most private lands was ground based. These lands have been impacted by this type of activity. Grazing continues along the Lower South Fork Coquille River from the town of Powers to the main stem of the Coquille River, on the lower foothills and flood plains. The extent and recentness of these activities suggests that there is a much higher level of compaction within the entire watershed than indicated by the TIMS data; possibly as much as 10 to 15 percent of the total land base. Land in federal management is at a lower amount of compaction due to the use of cable systems instead of ground based systems during harvest activities. A level of 5 to 6% is expected on harvested areas on these lands. The higher level of compaction is approaching a threshold where the ability of the soil surface to infiltrate water, soil aeration, and the processes of root growth and microbial activity may be

adversely affected. In addition, increased runoff and changes in timing (e.g., peak flow increase) may occur.

Figure 12. Percent of the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed Compacted by road surfaces.



The components that are most vulnerable to impact within the watershed and influence site productivity are: 1) the aeration of the soil and the organic matter layer on the surface; 2) the stability of the land surface, especially under saturated or super-saturated conditions; and 3) the nutrient base of the soil and the nutrient cycling processes.

Currently, an estimated 10-15% of the watershed is in a compacted state, thus limiting many of the soil processes, increasing the rate of runoff and changing the timing and duration of peak flows in the basin. The inherent instability of the soils in the watershed is compounded by road construction and management, which concentrate or store water in the soil on slopes that approach 35 to 40%. The reduction of organic matter from the surface of the soil to the point that large areas are exposed, or replacement of vegetation does not occur within 2-3 growing seasons, will reduce the nutrient base and produce sediment from long term erosion. Additional information on the soils within the analysis area is provided in Appendix 4.

Water Quality/Quantity

FLOW, DISTRIBUTION and USES


Mean monthly flow for the South Fork Coquille River is shown in Figure 13. Values represented in this graph were calculated by grouping each monthly period of mean daily discharge for the period of record. Monthly maximum and minimum flows can be substantially different from the mean in any month, but usually do not persist over long time periods. Contribution of mean monthly flow to percent of annual runoff is depicted in Table 7.

Table 7. Mean Monthly Contribution of Annual Runoff (Percent)

Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
2.4	11	19	19	17	14	9.6	4.9	1.7	0.7	0.4	0.5

The following brief history of flood events is presented, and shows where a few significant

Figure 13. South Fork Coquille River mean monthly discharge for the period of record.



events left the channel in a poorer functioning condition:

During November of 1861, there was a significant rain-on-snow event accompanied by strong, warm, southerly winds, which raised the water in Dement Creek at least eight feet and caused heavy windthrow (Wooldridge 1971).

In February of 1890, a large rain and major flood occurred in southwest Oregon. There are various observations of this event on area coastal streams in the historic literature. The flood magnitude and return interval is unknown. Much slide activity was reported. During heavy rains, a massive slide dammed Salmon Creek, four miles above its confluence with the South Fork Coquille. A high volume of water was impounded to an estimated depth of 75 feet behind the slide deposits, and several days later a dam-break flood occurred. A mass of trees, debris, rocks, mud and water swept down Salmon Creek, into the South Fork Coquille, and all the way to Myrtle Point. This torrent covered a distance of more than 25 miles, and was without a doubt responsible for major channel rearrangement and widening. South Fork Coquille raised 25 feet, and the flood wave attenuated to 12 feet at the confluence with the Middle Fork Coquille (Dodge 1969). The debris torrent delivered enough sediment to the confluence of the South and Middle Forks of the Coquille River to displace the head of tide six miles downstream (Wooldridge 1971). Tremendous mile-long log jams were formed in several different locations on the river with 200-300' lengths of timber (Dodge 1969). This landslide into Salmon Creek, and dam break flood, was probably the single most damaging event ever recorded on Salmon Creek and the South Fork Coquille River. The effects of channel scour, widening and bank failure probably greatly exceeded effects of log drives, the 1964 flood, or other recorded floods. Landslides also entered the South Fork from both sides of the stream from just below Rowland prairie during this event (Wooldridge 1971).

On November 1, 1924, there was a mean daily high flow of 20300 cfs, at the USGS gage near Powers, OR. The return period probability for this flood, based on the record is near 20 years.

On December 26, 1955, there was a mean daily high flow of 18600 cfs, at the USGS gage near Powers, OR. The return period probability for this flood, based on the record is near 10 years. However, a six-day period from December 21-26 had similar high flow.

On December 22, 1964, a maximum discharge of 48900 cfs (from floodmarks) was observed at the USGS gage near Powers, OR. The return period probability for this flood, based on the record is in excess of 100 years. This equals 289 cfs/mi², which is close to three times higher than the maximum equivalent area runoff for coastal watersheds to the north, but similar to coastal watersheds arising in the Siskiyou to the south. This was a rain-on-snow event. The flood of 1964 raised the water in Baker Creek up over the top of the large (10' diameter) culvert near its confluence with the South Fork Coquille River (BLM 1995a).

On January 17, 1971, there was a mean daily high flow of 20600 cfs, at the USGS gage near Powers, OR. The return period probability for this flood, based on the record is near 20 years.

On January 15, 1974, there was a mean daily high flow of 22100 cfs, at the USGS gage near

Powers, OR. The return period probability for this flood, based on the record is near 25 years.

Two sources supply water for the city of Powers; Bingham Creek (winter source), and the South Fork Coquille River at Mill Creek (summer source). Water from the South Fork is pumped to a concrete reservoir, and gravity flows to the distribution system. The water receives chlorination treatment. These surface supplies serve about 736 persons through 355 unmetered connections. The city of Powers has water rights for 1.23 cfs on the South Fork, and 1.21 cfs on Bingham Creek (CH2MHill 1993). Additionally, there are 32 permitted or certificated domestic water rights users on the South Fork Coquille and tributaries, with a total consumptive use of 0.16 cfs. Surface water is used for irrigation from 89 sources with a total permitted amount of 26 cfs (OWRD 1994).

For protection of fisheries and aquatic life, ODFW has established instream flow recommendations for the South Fork and many tributaries, including Baker and Salmon Creeks, and filed for water rights with OWRD. Certificates have been issued in some cases, but many remain as pending applications. Some of the certificates require that 15 cfs remain in the South Fork Coquille River for the July to September period. In some drought years, as occurred in 1987, 1991, 1992 and 1994, when the streamflow fell below this amount for protracted periods, additional irrigation and surface withdrawals may need to be curtailed.

LOW FLOW

With decreasing precipitation in the summer, flows become extremely low in the South Fork Coquille. Minimum flow generally comes in mid August-October. Average two year recurrence interval low flow is about 0.06 cfs/mi² in mainstem streams, and <0.08 cfs/mi² for consecutive periods of up to 30 days. Low flows are mostly a result of natural conditions, although they are being exacerbated by poor channel condition, lack of large wood complexity, and out-of-stream uses. Few natural water storage features (lakes and ponds) exist in the watershed.

Significant recorded 7-consecutive-day low-flows occurred between September-October in 1939, 1974, 1987, 1991, 1992 and 1994. The return period for these 7-day low-flows are 20 years or greater. The low flows in 1991, 1992 and 1994 were 100 year events (Wellman et al. 1993). During these periods there were less than 12 cfs in the South Fork Coquille, measured at the USGS gage 14325000, near Powers, Oregon.

Rowland Creek has been observed to go dry in the lower portions (BLM 1984). Although causes have not been fully determined, poor channel condition is suspected to be a factor. Instream and near-stream floodplain water storage is minimal. The stream channel is wide and overfit from past floods. Rowland Creek's base level is lowered and disassociated from its floodplain. In addition, large wood to form pools is scarce, beaver dams are absent, and other storage elements that would detain water appear to be missing.

WATER QUALITY

Pollution sources on the South Fork Coquille include both point and nonpoint source discharges. Point sources come from a discrete source, such as a pipe, and are regulated by DEQ under the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System.

Nonpoint sources of pollution arise from diffuse sources in the watershed, and are much more difficult to assess. Water quality conditions are listed as severe for the South Fork Coquille, from Middle Fork upstream to Salmon Creek, including Dement Creek (DEQ 1988). Turbidity, sediment, erosion, low-flow, and lack of structure are noted as concerns.

The South Fork Coquille has been listed as “water quality limited”, from river mile 0-30, in Oregon's 1994 Water Quality Status Assessment (305b) Report. A Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) has also been established by DEQ. “Water quality limited” means that treatment techniques are being used, but frequent exceedances of DEQ water quality standards still occur. TMDL is the total amount of pollutant from all sources that can enter a waterbody without exceeding water quality standards. The primary water quality parameter of concern, and the one for which the TMDL was established on the South Fork Coquille, is very low levels of dissolved oxygen during the summer months (DEQ 1994). Fecal coliform also exceeds standards in some river segments below Powers, but as yet has not been designated for a TDML. Additional concerns include temperature, nutrients, and sedimentation.

DISSOLVED OXYGEN

The amount of oxygen dissolved in water can affect water quality and aquatic habitat. The solubility of oxygen in water is inversely proportional to temperature and directly proportional to atmospheric pressure. Most tributary streams are at saturation for their given elevation and temperature, because of stream tumbling and aeration, except for low streamflow periods. Dissolved oxygen levels may be reduced due to microbial decomposition of organic matter, known as biochemical oxygen demand. During late summer/fall, when flows are low, dissolved oxygen may fall below saturation due to the addition and decomposition of leaf litter from riparian forests (Taylor and Adams 1986). No information is available to know if oxygen depletion is a problem in the Rowland-Salmon-Baker Key Watershed, but it is suspected to have short autumn periods where oxygen levels are below saturation.

A point source of pollution is the sewage treatment plant at Powers, Oregon, which discharges into the South Fork Coquille mainstem at river mile 28.3. The average dry weather flow to the river is 0.3 million gallons/day of treated domestic sewage effluent. To limit dissolved oxygen depletion in the river, this facility has been required to meet a standard of 20 mg/l of five-day bio-chemical oxygen demand. Applying current technology could reasonably achieve an even lower level of 10 mg/l (DEQ 1994).

TURBIDITY/SEDIMENT

Turbidity (or water clarity) varies throughout the year in the South Fork Coquille, and is flow dependant. Generally, during fair weather, the river's clarity is excellent. During winter rains, prolonged storms, and rapid melt of shallow snowpacks, the water can be clouded for periods of up to a week or more. High turbidities generally correlate with high sediment loads in the stream. Sources can include erosion from roads, landings and other compacted areas, slides, debris torrents, and stream bank-cutting.

DEQ did a recent source search assessment at 30 different stream locations, identifying sources of turbidity along the South Fork Coquille and tributaries during the winter of 1992-3. An overall ranking and geometric mean were developed from synthesis of sample data. The data generally showed that upper river and tributary streams have lower turbidities than elsewhere, with some exceptions (DEQ 1993). Salmon Creek, near its mouth, ranked sixth with an average winter stormflow turbidity of 7.6 NTU, and Baker Creek, near its mouth, ranked fifteenth at 22.2 NTU. Rowland Creek was not evaluated in this study.


Turbidity grab samples were taken at three sites in Rowland Creek during 1994-1995, and are depicted in Figure 10b. Turbidity ranged from essentially clear (0.1 NTU) during low flows in September to muddy (1000+ NTU) on January 9, 1995 (BLM 1995b).

Turbidity measurements have only been taken in the past few years; evidence of how water clarity may have changed can be found in anecdotal reports. Following are summaries of historical accounts:

Curt Townsend: From 1934 to the 1940's the river stayed clear during storms. There was only the Agness Road and the Pepper Road over Sand Rock Saddle down to Johnson Creek. The rest of the drainages had no roads. Logging and road building increased in the 1940's for the war effort, and continued afterwards. The areas were widely clearcut right down and across the streams. The Forest Service used the same practices with timber sales in the 1960's and 1970's. After the increase in logging and roads, the river started running muddy during high water and lots of debris was in it (USDA 1995).

René Stinson: In the past it has taken up to eight days for the clarity in the stream (South Fork) to return to normal. Today it takes only two or three (USDA 1995).

Figure 10b. Turbidity of Rowland Creek



Recreational mining at various locations in the South Fork Coquille River and tributaries during summer low-flow conditions can cloud the water for short distances downstream. As river gravels are suctioned from the streambed, fine sediments are released and show a plume. DEQ has operating requirements to mitigate potential effects on water quality. Recent water quality problems associated with this type of activity have not been observed in the Salmon-Baker-Rowland Key Watershed.

STREAM TEMPERATURE

Streams in southwestern Oregon are known for their relatively high summertime temperatures, but it is not clear whether this is related to a latitudinal gradient, high solar radiation loads, low flows, or other related factors (Beschta et. al. 1987). Monitoring of stream temperatures during the drought of 1992 did not show a strong correlation between maximum stream temperature and elevation (Oregon Forest Industries Council 1993).

Elevated water temperatures have been noted throughout the South Fork Coquille Watershed. High temperatures are attributed to loss of riparian vegetation and streamside shade, low flows and poor functioning of stream channels.

Recorded miscellaneous maximum water temperatures for the South Fork Coquille and tributaries prior to 1972 are shown in Table 8 (Thompson et. al. 1972).

Table 8. Miscellaneous maximum water temperatures before 1972.

Stream	Location	Temperature (F ⁰)
South Fork Coquille	River mile 20	76
South Fork Coquille	Above Panther Cr.	77
South Fork Coquille	At Powers.	84
South Fork Coquille	Banner Cr.	74
Dement Cr.	Mouth	79
Salmon Cr	Mouth	79

Temperature generally increases in a downstream direction on the South Fork Coquille River. Many tributaries provide cooler water that may reduce temperatures in the river immediately downstream by as much as 1 °F (Bakke 1993). The summer temperatures in the South Fork are still characteristically high and exceed the State South Coast Basin maximum allowable temperature of 64 °F for more than two months in some years. The wide condition of the river, low flows and lack of shade are primary causes. Past road construction and timber harvest, log drives below Powers, and the 1964 flood largely diminished riparian vegetation.

Summer temperatures have been recorded by continuous electronic data recorders since 1993 in about 20 tributaries to the South Fork Coquille below Powers. Cooperators include BLM, USFS,

DEQ, and Georgia Pacific. Although data has not been fully evaluated, summary information is shown in Table 9 for selected South Fork Coquille streams.

Table 9. DEQ 1993 temperature monitoring summary for selected South Fork Coquille streams.

Streams	Seasonal Max.	Date	Seasonal Min.	Date	DeltaT	Date	7 Day Max.	7 Day Min.	7 Day Delta T	Days > 64	Seasonal Max. 64
Baker Creek	66.3	08/03/93	40.3	11/08/93	6.7	06/25/93	64.9	60.2	4.7	9	2.4
Dement Creek	75.4	08/03/93	41.4	11/14/93	11.9	06/25/93	72.8	63.8	9	80	11.4
Roland Creek	67.1	08/03/93	51.4	09/20/93	6.5	06/25/93	65.5	61.3	4.2	14	3.1
Salmon Creek	69.1	08/03/93	46.4	11/08/93	7.9	06/25/93	68.2	61.2	7	65	5.1
Definitions:											
Delta T	-Highest value of daily difference between max. and min. for the season										
7 Day Max.	-Average value of daily maximums for the highest consecutive 7 days										
7 Day Min.	-Average value of daily minimums for the same 7 days										
7 Day Delta T	-Average of the daily difference between max. and min. for the same 7 days										
Seasonal Max. 64	-Number of degrees seasonal max. is above 64°F										
Note: Station location is near the confluence with the South Fork Coquille.											

Variations in temperature have been noted, depending on sample locations within each drainage. Temperature data as shown in Table 9 were collected near the mouths of the drainages above their confluence with the South Fork Coquille River. These summer temperatures may not reflect conditions in higher-gradient stream reaches in middle to upper portions of these drainages. For example, stream temperatures were continuously measured at four sites in Baker Creek from June-September 1994 (BLM 1994a). Stream temperatures ranged between 50-63 °F, and were cooler at upstream sites. Cooler stream temperatures higher in the drainage may be due to adequate conifer/hardwood stream shade, which limits direct solar heating.

STREAM and CHANNEL CONDITION

The Salmon-Baker-Rowland Key Watershed is in a poor, but recovering watershed condition. Early century downhill yarding of logs to rail sidings, and continued conventional logging and road construction from the 1940's-1980's have left much compaction in the Key Watershed. Increases in streamflow have been documented when compaction exceeds 8% of a watershed (Harr 1976). Compaction in the Key Watershed is approximately 10 - 15% (see Soils section). There is undoubtedly turbidity and sedimentation loading into channels from runoff coming from these compacted areas.

Salmon Creek has a stable bed, moderately stable banks and is well vegetated - but is wide, entrenched and lacks coarse woody debris. Old flood terraces are evident. Grazing occurs in the upper areas of the drainage. This stream appears to be in a fair and improving condition. Stream stability of Baker Creek is fair. The stream flows within a narrow confined channel with stable bed and banks, is well vegetated, but lacks coarse woody debris. There is high level of disturbance from roads and skid trails in this drainage that may be affecting flow regime and stream sedimentation. Rowland Creek stream stability is currently in poor condition. Although the stream is well vegetated with hardwoods and conifers, the channel is overfit and very wide, and has very low coarse organic debris loading. The stream has excessive bankcutting. Furthermore, the channel appears to have lost connectivity with its former floodplain. These field evidences suggest that Rowland Creek has not fully recovered from past episodic flood events; probably the 1964 storm.

Additional information on the hydrology and water quality within the analysis area is presented in Appendix 16.

Aquatic Habitat

The streams in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed support resident and anadromous fish, amphibians, and a host of invertebrates through all or part of their varied life histories, and provide migration corridors for anadromous fish to and from this and adjacent watersheds. This watershed's capacity to sustain the indigenous aquatic biota is a function of the condition of its aquatic habitats. Relationships between stream habitat condition and salmonid population density and production are especially well documented (Marcus et al. 1990). The condition of the aquatic habitat also influences water quality, through interactions with numerous physical and biological processes. Furthermore, the Lower South Fork Coquille River and its tributaries are conveyors in the nutrient cycling process between the ocean and the uplands, and provide substrates for primary and secondary production; as such they are crucial to the maintenance of the entire ecosystem. In addition, streams of this watershed can provide drinking water, transportation, recreational opportunities, and add to the aesthetic quality of the landscape when properly managed.

The most recent and comprehensive stream habitat surveys within the Key Watershed were conducted by ODFW in 1992, and included 4.6 miles of mainstem Rowland Creek, 1.6 miles of Baker Creek (plus 0.4 miles of a tributary), and 7.1 miles of mainstem Salmon Creek. The 1992 stream habitat survey data (summarized in Tables 10 through 12) indicates the following:

- There are adequate numbers of pools well dispersed throughout most of the fish-bearing reaches on mainstem Rowland and Baker Creeks. However, there appears to be insufficient numbers of pools in lower Salmon Creek and the Baker Creek tributary. This shortcoming is largely due to the scarcity of large woody debris (which facilitates scour), but heavy sediment loads are also causing pool filling to occur in some areas.

- The stream channel immediately above the Baker Creek culvert is highly aggraded.
- There is an overabundance of fine sediments (silt, sand, and organic material) in the mainstem of Baker Creek.
- There is an inadequate amount of large woody debris throughout the Key Watershed.

Taken together, this data indicates that the streams in the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed are functioning below their full potential, with respect to over-winter rearing of juvenile salmonids and providing habitat for resident fish, due to a deficiency in large woody debris and complex pool habitats. Furthermore, there is concern with respect to Baker Creek's capacity to successfully incubate salmonid eggs due to a high percentage (18-22%) of fine sediments in spawning areas. Excessive fine sediment delivery to Baker and Rowland Creeks is further evidenced by the extremely high turbidities (approximately 1000 NTU's) measured there during the winter of 1995 (see Water Quality section). It should be noted that the stream survey data cited here is not comprehensive, particularly with respect to Salmon Creek (none of the Salmon Creek tributaries were surveyed). Additional stream survey work is needed for a more complete evaluation (see recommendations).

BLM spawning survey data (provided in Fish Population section) indicate at least two highly productive areas or "hot spots" in the Key Watershed: one on Baker Creek extending upstream 0.25 mile from the large culvert near the confluence with the South Fork Coquille River (which is used by both coho and steelhead), and another on Salmon Creek extending 0.75 mile through the south half of T31S-R12W-23 (which is used primarily by fall chinook). Data reported in Chen (1991) indicates that the unconstrained section of Salmon Creek between the confluences of Waterpipe Creek and Fuzzy Gulch is an important juvenile coho rearing area, and the section of Salmon Creek extending 0.75 mile through the south half of T31S-R12W-23 is an important steelhead rearing area.

The large (10' diameter) culvert near the mouth of Baker Creek was installed in about 1950, when the wooden railroad trestle was replaced with fill material. This culvert presented a barrier to upstream migration of all fish, due to the 10-12' drop at the discharge end (except during extreme high flow events such as the floods of 1964 and 1995, when water from the South Fork Coquille backed up over the top of the outlet of the culvert). At the time the large culvert was installed, a smaller (24") culvert was placed beside it, which discharged into the pool at the base of the fill - below the waterline. During some flows, fish were reportedly able to swim upstream through this smaller culvert (BLM 1995a). However, the smaller culvert has subsequently plugged or been crushed and is no longer functional. Anecdotal accounts (BLM 1995a) indicate that anadromous fish (probably steelhead) utilized Baker Creek prior to the installation of the culvert. During the summer of 1994, Georgia Pacific Corp. modified the large culvert with a fish ladder and baffles. Spawning surveys conducted during the winter of 1994-1995 verified that coho and steelhead were able to successfully migrate through the ladder and culvert and spawn upstream. It should be noted that it became necessary for Georgia Pacific Corp. to close and de-

water the ladder in April, 1995, when slope failures in the remaining fill material at the culvert outlet threatened the ladder's structural integrity. Thus, it appears that additional engineering and regular maintenance of the Baker Creek ladder will be required to ensure continued anadromous fish access to Baker Creek.

A 1984 BLM survey of Rowland Creek noted that during summer and fall, the lower two miles of the streambed were dry except for isolated, shallow pools, and described the area as "...a deathtrap to steelhead and coho fry." Similar conditions were noted in the summer and fall of 1994, during visits to BLM water temperature monitoring stations on Rowland Creek. These conditions were reportedly first noted 40-50 years ago, in conjunction with logging in the headwaters of Rowland Creek (BLM 1984). Furthermore, the steep cascade 500' upstream from the mouth of Rowland Creek is a barrier to upstream migration, at least during most flow conditions. There is reason to suspect that rocks deposited in the channel from blasting during construction of the railroad trestle (which sits directly over the cascade) created or compounded this barrier (Shorb 1995). Spawning ground surveys conducted by ODFW and BLM indicate that no coho spawned in Rowland Creek during the 1994/1995 or 1995/1996 seasons. The culvert and aggraded channel at the mouth of the Ash Flat Creek (tributary to Salmon Creek at Powers Ranch) is the only known man-made barrier to upstream fish migration in the Salmon Creek drainage at this time.

Stream survey data on the remainder of the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is scarce. The lower 0.35 mile of Woodward Creek, and the lower 5.3 miles of Dement Creek were surveyed by ODFW in 1992. An analysis of data from these surveys is presented in Tables 13 and 14, respectively. In summary, the analyses indicate the following:

- There is an insufficient number of pools in lower Woodward Creek. This shortcoming is largely due to the scarcity of large woody debris (which facilitates scour).
- Portions of the Dement Creek stream channel are highly aggraded.
- There is inadequate riparian canopy along the lower portions of Woodward and Dement Creeks.
- There is an inadequate amount of large woody debris throughout the surveyed portions of the Woodward and Dement Creek drainages.

Although not noted in the 1992 survey data, a 1977 BLM habitat survey indicated that the lower portion of Dement Creek was heavily inundated with fine sediments. Erosion control structures, riparian planting, and fencing were implemented in the Dement Creek drainage during the fall and winter of 1995/1996 through the efforts of the Coquille Watershed Association to address this problem.

No recent stream survey data is available for Warner Creek, Rhoda Creek, Yellow Creek, Beaver Creek, Long Tom Creek, Mill Creek, or the Lower South Fork Coquille River; all of which are fish-bearing streams. The Oregon Game Commission conducted stream surveys during the 1940's and 1950's on most of these streams. However, the reports are brief and entirely anecdotal, and as such are not conducive to analysis.

The fish distribution map (Figure 6) was compiled from maps and records obtained from BLM, ODFW (Forsberg 1992), and the Oregon State Forestry Dept. (ODF), and indicates the furthest upstream endpoint noted among the source materials for resident and anadromous fish in each stream. The best information available (stream surveys and maps) indicates that the upstream limits of resident fish habitat shown in Figure 6 are defined by naturally-occurring high-gradient barriers. As indicated in Figure 6, resident fish (especially cutthroat trout) are found in nearly every tributary to the lower South Fork Coquille River. In contrast, anadromous fish are completely excluded from several streams in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed by natural or man-made barriers. The waterfalls at the mouths of Yellow Creek and Bingham Creek are natural barriers to all upstream fish migration; thus these creeks support only resident fish. The waterfall at the mouth of Mill Creek is also a barrier to all upstream fish migration. However, a comparison of aerial photography from the 1940's to present shows that Mill Creek was diverted near its mouth to construct a mill pond. This diversion resulted in a 30-foot vertical falls at Mill Creek's confluence with the South Fork Coquille River. A 1994 inventory of culverts under County roads in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed indicated that culverts presented barriers to upstream migration on Long Tom Creek and six unnamed tributaries to the Lower South Fork Coquille River (Figure 14).

Additional information on the condition of the aquatic habitat within the analysis area is provided in Appendix 1.

Table 10. Summary of 1992 stream habitat survey of mainstem **Rowland Creek** using criteria from the Aquatic Habitat Project Benchmarks (ODFW 1994).

Benchmark Criteria	REACH #			
	1	2	3	4
Pool Area (%)	49.6	53.5	46.2	16.6
Pool Frequency (channel widths)	4.7	5.5	4.7	15.8
Residual Pool Depth (m)	0.68	0.62	0.54	0.45
Width-to-depth Ratio	22.0	21.0	13.8	11.0
Silt, Sand & Organic material (% area)	9	10	4	2
Gravel (% area)	30	33	29	31
Shade (reach average %)	81	87	92	91
LWD (pieces/100m)	2.5	4.2	8.2	9.6
LWD (volume/100m)	3.7	3.2	8.1	13.6

Table 11. Summary of 1992 stream habitat survey of mainstem **Salmon Creek** using criteria from the Aquatic Habitat Project Benchmarks (ODFW 1994).

Benchmark Criteria	REACH #		
	1	2	3
Pool Area (%)	5.2	16.5	20.7
Pool Frequency (channel widths)	51.4	20.7	13.8
Residual Pool Depth (m)	1.21	0.70	0.75
Width-to-depth Ratio	23.7	20.0	29.2
Silt, Sand & Organic material (% area)	3	4	5
Gravel (% area)	39	41	34
Shade (reach average %)	75	83	90
LWD (pieces/100m)	1.5	11.0	8.3
LWD (volume/100m)	4.4	14.0	21.4



Table 12. Summary of 1992 stream habitat survey of mainstem **Baker Creek** using criteria from the Aquatic Habitat Project Benchmarks (ODFW 1994).

Benchmark Criteria	REACH #					
	1	2	3	4	5	Trib
Pool Area (%)	69	56.7	28	28.6	18	4.6
Pool Frequency (channel widths)	4.8	4.5	5.6	9.2	1.8	19.4
Residual Pool Depth (m)	0.88	0.56	0.41	0.67	0.27	0.3
Width-to-depth Ratio	22	78	8.6	22	27	19.7
Silt, Sand & Organic material (% area)	19	18	22	22	13	15
Gravel (% area)	28	18	22	25	29	29
Shade (reach average %)	76	86	93	94	90	86
LWD (pieces/100m)	4.2	11.3	1.2	3.8	9.22	10.5
LWD (volume/100m)	1.9	6.9	2.0	2.5	8.6	5.8

Table 13. Summary of 1992 stream habitat survey of mainstem **Woodward Creek** using criteria from the Aquatic Habitat Project Benchmarks (ODFW 1994).

Benchmark Criteria	REACH #
	1
Pool Area (%)	12.8
Pool Frequency (channel widths)	26
Residual Pool Depth (m)	0.6
Width-to-depth Ratio	23.0
Silt, Sand & Organic material (% area)	10
Gravel (% area)	17
Shade (reach average %)	63
LWD (pieces/100m)	2.1
LWD (volume/100m)	0.7



Table 14. Summary of 1992 stream habitat survey of mainstem **Dement Creek** using criteria from the Aquatic Habitat Project Benchmarks (ODFW 1994).

Benchmark Criteria	REACH #			
	1	2	3	4
Pool Area (%)	60.9	53.4	33.9	38.3
Pool Frequency (channel widths)	4.2	3.8	6.7	7.7
Residual Pool Depth (m)	0.62	0.80	0.72	
Width-to-depth Ratio	26.4	72.8	36	
Silt, Sand & Organic material (% area)	10	9	9	
Gravel (% area)	35	40	45	
Shade (reach average %)	56	68	79	91
LWD (pieces/100m)	6.0	7.3	2.9	3.1
LWD (volume/100m)	1.0	4.4	3.2	1.8




Figure 14. Barriers to fish migration.

Table 15. ODFW Aquatic Inventory Project Habitat Benchmarks (January 1994)

Benchmark Criteria	RATING	
	Poor	Good
Pool Area (%)	<10	>35
Pool Frequency (channel widths)	>20	<8
Residual Pool Depth (m) high gradient-small streams low gradient-large streams	<0.2 <0.5	>0.5 >1.0
Width-to-depth Ratio	>30	<15
Silt, Sand & Organic material (% area)	>15	<5
Gravel (% area)	<15	>35
Shade (reach average %)	<40	>50
LWD (pieces/100m)	<10	>20
LWD (volume/100m)	<20	>30

Figure 14. Barriers to fish migration in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.



Botanical Resources

The basis for much of the ecological process and function of the forest ecosystem is the vegetation of the area. Many conditions within macrohabitats (produced by biotic and abiotic conditions) influence the microhabitats utilized by small vegetative forms. Air quality, water quality, soil conservation, and all biota are dependent in part, or entirely, on the botanical resources. Forests are solar and moisture collectors which affect the function and health of a watershed, cycling massive amounts of water back into the atmosphere. Botanical resources are crucial in the processing and cleaning of water entering the streams in the watershed. Lichens within the forest play a significant role in the nutrient and mineral cycling of the ecosystem (Pike 1978).

Wildlife depends upon the myriad of seral stages and types of forest vegetation for food and shelter. Oak woodlands are an important source of food for many mammals and birds that feast on the fallen acorns. The microhabitats produced by bryophytes provide a home to many arthropods, which are included in the diet of many birds. Vegetation is fundamental to many of the aesthetic, economic, and recreational benefits humans receive from the forest.

THE WESTERN HEMLOCK VEGETATION ZONE

Most of the Lower South Fork Coquille is comprised of the Port-Orford-cedar (*Chaemacyparis lawsoniana*) variant of the western hemlock zone. Early to late seral forests are dominated by Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and Port-Orford-cedar with an understory of western hemlock, western redcedar (*Thuja plicata*), and grand fir (*Abies grandis*). Hardwoods are infrequent in most of the hemlock zone; but here, at the southern end of the zone, they become more plentiful. Bigleaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), tanoak (*Lithocarpus densiflorus*), myrtle (*Umbellularia californica*), and madrone (*Arbutus menziesii*) are common species in this area (Franklin and Dyrness 1988.). The mature forests in T. 31 S., R. 12 W. Sec. 15, 21, 22, 23 and 27 are good reference examples of this forest type. Common plant associations of the western hemlock vegetation zone are shown in Table 16.

The mature western hemlock zone forests of the Key Watershed are dominated by Douglas-fir, with an understory of western hemlock, western redcedar, grand fir, and Port-Orford-cedar. The hardwood understory in these forests consists of tanoak, myrtle, and bigleaf maple. Most of this mature forest has a dense layer of sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*), interspersed with brush. Shrubs include salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), salmonberry (*Rubus spectabilis*), gooseberry (*Ribes* sp.), rhododendron (*Rhododendron macrophyllum*), Oregon grape (*Berberis nervosa*), red huckleberry (*Vaccinium parvifolium*), and evergreen huckleberry (*V. ovatum*). The herbaceous layer includes northern inside-out flower (*Vancouveria hexandra*), wood sorrel (*Oxalis oregana*), yerba de selva (*Whipplea modesta*), and wood violet (*Viola semprevirens*).

Early seral plantations are dominated by Douglas-fir and interspersed with the same tree species components of the mature forest. Shrubs and herbs are also similar. Some young plantations

have a significant iris (*Iris* sp.) element which will be suppressed as the canopy closes. Although the early seral species component resembles a mature forest, the size and function of these species differ significantly.

Table 16. Common plant associations for moisture variants in the western hemlock vegetation zone.

SITE	CONIFERS	HARDWOODS	SHRUBS	HERBS
DRY	Douglas-fir Port-Orford-cedar	tanoak myrtle madrone	oceanspray salal rhododendron Oregon-grape	yerba de selva vanilla leaf
MESIC	Douglas-fir Port-Orford-cedar western hemlock grand fir western redcedar	tanoak myrtle bigleaf maple	sword fern red huckleberry black huckleberry vine maple	wood sorrel starflower
MOIST	Douglas-fir Port-Orford-cedar western hemlock grand fir western redcedar	tanoak myrtle bigleaf maple	sword fern lady fern deer fern maidenhair fern salmonberry	wood sorrel miners lettuce

THE MIXED-EVERGREEN VEGETATION ZONE

The serpentine soils of Johnson Mountain differentiates the vegetation of this area from the rest of the watershed. The mixed evergreen (*Pseudotsuga-Sclerophyll*) zone generally has an overstory of mixed conifer in the upper level, and evergreen broad-leaved trees in the lower level. This is a species-rich forest by western standards, with three to five conifers mixed with three to five hardwoods (Agee 1993). The most important trees, which are also the climax species, in this forest zone are Douglas-fir and tanoak. Other broad-leaved trees which may be present include madrone, golden chinquapin (*Castanopsis chrysophylla*), and canyon live oak (*Quercus chrysolepis*) (Franklin and Dyrness 1988). As moisture decreases, the dominant hardwood shifts from tanoak to madrone and canyon live oak, with chinquapin occurring on the cooler sites (Agee 1993). The vegetative communities of the mixed-evergreen zone vary depending upon the substrate on which they grow. The mature forests in T. 32 S., R. 12 W., Sec. 10, on the east side of road # 5560, are good reference examples of this forest type. Common plant associations of the mixed-evergreen vegetation zone are shown in Table 17.

Table 17. Common plant associations for moisture variants in the mixed-evergreen vegetation zone.

SITE	CONIFERS	HARDWOODS	SHRUBS	HERBS
XERIC	Douglas-fir <50% coverage	tanoak madrone canyon live oak	little wood rose poison oak trailing blackberry	bracken fern
DRY	Douglas-fir Port-Orford-cedar	tanoak madrone canyon live oak chinquapin	canyon live oak Oregon-grape little wood rose poison oak trailing blackberry	yerba de selva vanilla leaf star flower rattlesnake orchid bracken fern
MESIC	Douglas-fir Port-Orford-cedar	Pacific yew vine maple California hazel dogwood	salal Oregon-grape trailing blackberry sword fern	twinflower vanilla leaf

THE OAK WOODLAND VEGETATION TYPE

The analysis area also contains several oak woodlands (prairies) that appear to be oak/Douglas-fir/wild rye type (*Quercus/Pseudotsuga/Elymus*). Large oak woodlands that are/were used for sheep and cattle grazing comprise a considerable portion of the analysis area outside the Key Watershed. The presence of oak trees is a defining factor in determining whether a prairie is naturally occurring, or merely land that has been cleared of forest. About 20% of the land outside the Key Watershed is grazed prairie, not including agricultural land, and 5% of the land within the Key Watershed is grazed prairie.

These oak woodlands and prairies are inhabited by a variety of species that do not occur in forests. Some of these species are brodiaea (*Brodiaea congesta*, *B. elegans*), Salt and Pepper (*Nemophila menziesii*), blue-eyed grass (*Sisyrinchium angustifolium*), and clarkia (*Clarkia* spp.). Additionally, a large native prairie in the Rowland Creek drainage (T31S R12W Sec. 6 S1/2 S1/2) contains meadow foam (*Limnanthes douglasii*) and burgundy hound's tongue (*Cynoglossum grande*), neither of which are common in this area. This prairie is a good reference example of this vegetation type.

Approximately 36 small native oak woodlands, comprising a total of about 120 acres, occur in the Key Watershed. These native prairies (0.5% of the Key Watershed) are thought to contain mostly native species due to their size and remoteness. It is unlikely extensive grazing has occurred on these prairies. Native prairies that are relatively unadulterated by introduced species are absent outside of the Key Watershed.

KNOWN SPECIAL HABITAT AREAS

The oak woodland in the Rowland Creek drainage is the only native prairie in the Key Watershed on BLM land. This prairie is important as refugia for prairie-associated species. There are many large rock outcrops and rock bluffs in the watershed, most of which occur on private land. Several of these rocks are surrounded by grazed prairies. Although the conditions around them may have been altered, it is unlikely that the vegetation inhabiting the rocks have changed much from the condition before disturbance by settlers. Many of the rocks on public land have not been surveyed for special status plants due to the remoteness of their locations. An exception is a large rock outcrop, known locally as Virginia Rock, in T31S, R12W Sec. 11, S1/2 N1/2, along the old Powers Railroad grade. It was surveyed in 1993, and a special status plant was discovered. California sword fern (*Polystichum californicum*), an assessment species, was found growing in a small crevice midway up the rock.

Four ponds were identified on the aerial photos of the watershed. Two ponds are on private property and have not been surveyed. The pond (1/4 acre) in T30S, R13W, Sec. 25 SE 1/4 NE 1/4 looks as if it may have been created for watering livestock. A pond (1/4 acre) in T31S, R12W, Sec. 5 SW 1/4 SE 1/4 appears to be naturally occurring. Both of the ponds on BLM land have been visited. The largest (1/2 acre) is in the Baker Creek drainage, T31S, R12W Sec. 9 SW 1/4 NW 1/4. It may have been created by road construction and doesn't appear to have any aquatic vegetation, although some may eventually seed in. A very small pond (100 ft²) occurs on the edge of a meadow, close to Rowland Creek in T31S, R12W, Sec. 7 SW 1/4 NE 1/4. An aquatic plant (*Ranunculus aquatilis*) was found growing in this pond.

There are a couple of wet meadows (1/2 acre each) near the section line between T32S R12W Sec. 9 & 10. There are no known special status plants in these meadows. The largest wet meadow is partially in the National Forest, and partially on private land. The other wet meadow is on private land.

There is a population of Oregon bensonia (*Bensoniella oregana*) on the National Forest in the west half of T32S, R12 W Sec. 15, according to Dave Shea (USFS, Powers Ranger Dist.). This population probably occurs in a wet meadow. Bensonia is a Federal Candidate species (Category 2).

A population of an assessment species, California globe mallow (*Iliamna latibracteata*), exists along the 31-12-17.1 road in Section 17. This has been a known population for many years and doesn't seem to have suffered any ill effects due to the proximity of the road.

Additional information on the botanical diversity of the analysis area is provided in Appendix 3.

PORT-ORFORD-CEDAR ROOT-ROT

Port-Orford-cedar (POC), *Chamaecyparis lawsoniana*, is an economically and ecologically valuable component of the forests of southwest Oregon and northern California. A fungal root rot, *Phytophthora lateralis*, was introduced into the northwest in 1923 and was first discovered in southwest Oregon in 1952. This pathogen appears to be 100% fatal to infected trees, no immune trees have yet been identified, although some levels of resistance has been observed (USDA 1994). The pathogen has been unintentionally introduced into uninfested areas and spread to uninfested trees through various means within the watershed. Unchecked, the disease could significantly alter the quantity and distribution of POC. Pacific yew, *Taxus brevifolia*, has also shown susceptibility to the disease and could be similarly affected, although it appears to be more resistant. Further details on POC and *P. lateralis* can be found in the Port-Orford- Cedar Management Guidelines (POCMG), pages 1-3.

POC is found throughout the watershed from riparian areas to ridge tops on all aspects and elevations. It is a prolific seed producer and disturbed sites often contain abundant numbers of seedlings. It grows well in open sunlight, but tolerates shade and grows, albeit slower, in the shade of other conifers. POC snags are readily used as foraging, resting and nesting sites for cavity-dwelling species. Hollow snags provide shelter for flying squirrels. Charred logs and snags are extremely rot resistant and can persist either standing or down for centuries. In riparian areas, POC's large size and long life provides the same functions as other conifers. It is a component of both the understory and overstory of nearly all mature forests in the watershed. It reaches heights averaging 200 feet and diameters of two-six feet. POC can live in excess of 500 years and, being shade tolerant, is a climax species along with western hemlock (Fowells 1965).

POC brings the highest price per thousand board foot (MBF) of any tree species in the region. It is in high demand by the Japanese, with current appraised stumpage values averaging \$700/MBF. Volume per acre varies widely, but in a typical sale in the Baker Creek watershed, POC averaged approximately 4 MBF/acre, or about 6% of the total volume. POC boughs are in demand by the floral-greens industry and many floral arrangements and wreaths contain the feathery-appearing branch tips. Fire-charred POC logs are harvested for arrow manufacturing.

The root rot is known to occur in the watershed; however, no systematic survey has ever been done on BLM land to identify the location or severity of the disease. The most serious pocket of infestation observed on BLM land is located near the center of section 16, T31S-R12W, along the downhill side of road number 31-12-16.0 in the Baker Creek watershed. The disease has killed nearly all the POC on approximately 30 to 40 acres. The trees were large and growing in a stand that is probably 300 years old. The infestation started apparently as a result of the use of contaminated road building equipment during the construction of the road in 1984. This site represents the potential loss that could occur on a wider scale if the disease is not managed properly.

In one study on the Powers Ranger District, POC mortality losses ranged from 14% to 20% along roadsides (Hansen et. al. 1994). The study indicated that although the disease has been spreading in the study area for at least seventeen years, it was still found in close association with roads, streams, wet areas and elk trails near wet areas, and had not significantly spread from tree to tree away from these areas.

During the 1980's and early 1990's, permits for harvesting both boughs and arrow bolts were routinely issued. Currently there is a moratorium on bough permits and some measures are being instituted on other small sales to reduce the chance of *P. lateralis* introduction.

Additional information on *P. lateralis* is provided in Appendix 9.

NOXIOUS WEEDS

Noxious weeds are a special class of weeds. They threaten human activities or the native flora or fauna. Noxious weeds have been found to be undesirable, and laws have been enacted pertaining to their elimination (Cheadle 1957). There are several species of weeds recognized as "noxious" by the state of Oregon that should be eliminated or controlled within the watershed:

Tansy ragwort (*Senecio jacobaeae*)
Gorse (*Ulex europaeus*)
Scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius*)
French broom (*Cytisus monosperulana*)

Noxious weeds are introduced plant species. They are present largely due to accidental introduction into the ecosystem. They have no endemic natural enemies, and threaten both human activities and the native flora in the ecosystem. Noxious weeds reduce and eliminate desirable vegetation from public lands by competing with native plants for water, sunlight, soil nutrients, and space. Secondary effects of this competition include reduced livestock production and diminished wildlife populations and diversity, due to the presence of less desirable forage (USDI 1987). Noxious weeds also result in less efficient use of public and private lands, and incur costs. Money must be spent to control and eradicate the undesired plants. Land values decrease, and lands infested by noxious weeds cost more to manage. Furthermore, noxious weeds may limit crop choices (USDI 1987).

In its current state, the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed presents a highly favorable condition for the infestation and spread of noxious weeds. Four noxious weed species are known to be present in the watershed: Tansy ragwort, Gorse, Scotch broom, and French broom.

The known distribution of these weeds is as varied as their control. Tansy ragwort was at one time widespread throughout the watershed. Once a biological control program was initiated in

1975 by the Oregon Department of Agriculture, the spread was confined. The status of this plant is "under control", meaning there are plants scattered throughout the watershed, but a natural agent (cinnabar moth) is present to keep the spread of new plants to a minimum.

Gorse is not known to occur on BLM lands in the watershed. The introduction of new plants is a distinct possibility, due to the large amount of traffic using the roads and absence of effective weed control. Some progress in gorse control is being made in nearby watersheds. The gorse seed weevil, *Exapion ulicis*, was introduced into Oregon in 1956 near Bandon, Oregon. It will not reduce existing infestations, but probably is successful in slowing the rate of spread into new areas (Isaacson 1992). The first release of the gorse spider mite, *Tetranychus lintearius*, occurred in the fall of 1994 on some coastal sites. In the long term, these efforts may serve to reduce the probability of spreading gorse to new locations.

Scotch and French brooms are a major threat to the watershed at this time. According to ODA information, Scotch broom can be found infesting every township in western Oregon, with the exception of the southernmost counties (Miller 1991). Scotch broom originally came from ballast materials dumped on tidal flats in the Columbia River by the lumber trade. Given the history of lumber trade on the Coquille River, it is obvious why broom species are found throughout the basin. French broom was introduced to Oregon as a garden shrub. As the planting of this species to the interior of the state increased, so did the escapement of the seed produced.


These two broom species are able to colonize disturbed ground, waste areas, roadways, and gravel operations. In the last 50 years, contaminated gravel and road construction activities have contributed to the spread of Scotch broom more than all other factors combined (Miller 1991).

Currently, Oregon only has a good distribution of *Apion* seed weevil for biological control for Scotch broom. This insect only attacks 11-69% of the massive quantities of seed produced by Scotch broom (Miller 1992). *Sitona regensteinensis* is a potential agent that would attack root nodules and reduce brooms' capacity for establishment and growth (Isaacson 1992). It is touted as a reason European broom is so stunted.

In 1991-94, the Myrtlewood Resource Area surveyed and noted locations of brooms and gorse on BLM lands. Five Scotch broom, two French broom, and two gorse locations were found in the analysis area (see Fig. 15). Private lands were not inventoried, but observation of the distribution indicates more extensive infestation of Scotch and French brooms than on BLM lands.

Most locations on BLM land are at landings, along roadsides, in quarries, and some forest plantations. There seems to be a correlation between heavy equipment use and the presence of broom species. Unloading and use of equipment with crawler tracks, especially dozers, appears to provide the seed initially.

Figure 15. Distribution of noxious weeds on public lands in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.



Containment of the spread of these noxious weeds is in doubt at this time. The BLM has developed a Noxious Weed Plan, but funding is inadequate for implementation. No organized effort within the private sector is presently underway. There is an effort by the ODA to start a biological control effort with new insects against Scotch broom in the next several years, depending on funding and level of interest.

Additional information on noxious weeds within the analysis area is provided in Appendix 12.

Riparian Condition

Riparian zone vegetation plays several key roles in the health and functioning of a watershed, including: 1) thermal regulation of surface water through shading and boundary layer effects, 2) control of excessive bank erosion and channel migration through bank stabilization and dissipation of flood energy, 3) trapping mobile large woody debris which, in turn, traps alluvium, 4) providing nesting, roosting and foraging habitat and travel corridors for some animals, 5) nutrient enrichment of aquatic and terrestrial systems from leaf and needle litter, and the supply of large woody debris.

The floodplains and terraces in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed were historically dominated by mixed stands of myrtle, maple, ash, and widely dispersed cedar. Periodic flooding, caused by heavy rainfall events or water backed up by large debris jams, frequently raised the water level up out of the stream channels, and onto the floodplains. The structural complexity of the floodplains provided excellent overwintering habitat for salmonids and many other animals.

Currently, almost all of the floodplain areas along the lower South Fork Coquille River and its tributaries are owned by private individuals, and all have undergone clearing at some time. Most of this land is being used for grazing, or is covered with shrub thickets. Nearly all large woody debris has been removed, and as a result, the stream channels have become moderately entrenched, so that the floodplains are rarely inundated. This has also resulted in the lowering of the water table in the floodplains.

The upland riparian vegetation community is, in general, associated with higher-gradient, more constrained stream channels, and higher-gradient side slopes. However, within the uplands there are reaches in which the stream gradient is low and in which there are floodplains adjacent to the streams. The earliest available aerial photographs (1939), historical accounts (BLM 1995a), and the few remaining intact stands indicate that the overstory of the upland riparian community was dominated by Port-Orford-cedar, redcedar, hemlock, and Douglas-fir, with a dispersed mixture of hardwoods. The steep slopes were generally dominated by conifers, whereas the floodplains were mostly dominated by hardwoods. The results of 1994 BLM riparian vegetation surveys on Rowland and Baker Creeks are shown in Tables 18 and 19, respectively.

Table 18. Riparian overstory bordering 3rd order and larger streams in the Rowland Creek drainage (shown as % of ownership).

OWNERSHIP	PASTURE/ MEADOW	CONIFER	HARDWOOD	MIXED
BLM	3	60	23	14
TIMBER COMPANY	16	21	11	52
PRIVATE	19	21	31	29
COMBINED	9	45	23	23

Table 19. Riparian overstory bordering 3rd order and larger streams in the Baker Creek drainage (shown as % of ownership).


OWNERSHIP	UNPLANTED CLEARCUT	CONIFER	HARDWOOD	MIXED
BLM	0	38	4	58
TIMBER COMPANY	14	0	66	20
COMBINED	9	13	45	33

This survey covered approximately 12.7 miles of the 34.4 miles of 3rd order and larger streams in the Rowland and Baker Creek drainages. The area inventoried was comprised of land managed by the BLM (50%), commercial timber company lands (37%), and individually-owned private lands (13%). A 100-foot wide area was inventoried on both sides of the stream. No riparian survey data is available for Salmon Creek or the remainder of the analysis area outside of the Key Watershed. Furthermore, none of the 1992 stream surveys within the analysis area included any riparian information. Therefore, the analysis of current riparian condition in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is limited to the Rowland and Baker Creek drainages.

Approximately 31% of all the inventoried riparian areas had an overstory dominated by coniferous trees. Only a small portion of this overstory was mature conifers - all on BLM land. Approximately 9% of the commercial timber company and privately-owned riparian areas had overstories dominated by conifers, versus approximately 54% on BLM land. Approximately 48% of timber company and privately-owned riparian areas were dominated by hardwoods, primarily alder. One quarter to one third of the riparian areas in each of the three ownerships were dominated by mixed conifer/hardwood stands. Pastures and meadows comprised

approximately 9% of the riparian areas surveyed. However, it should be noted that some of the pasture land in the Rowland Creek drainage is located on floodplains, which extend well beyond the 100-foot wide area on each side of the stream that was inventoried. Hence, the actual proportion of riparian area presently in a pasture/meadow condition is probably higher than 9%. These results reflect a highly disturbed state.

Figure 16. Composition of riparian overstory on 3rd order and larger streams in the Rowland and Baker Creek drainages.




The 1992 aerial photographs indicate that adequate canopy closure exists over most of the fish-bearing reaches in the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed, except portions of the lower four miles of Salmon Creek.

Within the assessment area, the removal of parental trees has left insufficient amounts of conifer seed within some hardwood-dominated riparian areas. Even with seed present, conifer reestablishment is impeded because germination and growth are greatly inhibited by the shading effects of alder and salmonberry. The loss of large coniferous trees from the riparian areas also means diminished large woody debris recruitment over the next several centuries. Conifers can live for several hundred years and, once they fall, can take hundreds of years to decay. Red alder stands, on the other hand, are short lived (approximately 70-100 years) and once fallen only provide woody habitat for 10-15 years.

As shown in Figure 17, approximately 35% of all BLM riparian reserves and 68% of all USFS riparian reserves in the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed presently meet the desired conditions (specified on page 93). The remainder are of insufficient age, width, and/or lack a conifer component.

Additional information on riparian areas within the analysis area is provided in Appendix 13.

Figure 17. Riparian reserves presently meeting desired conditions on BLM and USFS lands in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.



Forest Products

Economically and socially important plants and plant communities, as well as their distribution and relative abundance, have been greatly altered since the beginning of large scale product extraction for forest and agricultural products. This has resulted in the imposition of unnatural vegetation patterns on the landscape. This raises questions as to whether the altered plant communities are sustainable, and whether the watershed can continue to provide all the resources and amenities that it did historically.

The Lower South Fork Coquille is a highly commercialized watershed. The extraction of timber is one indicator of that fact. Nearly all private forest land has been logged at least once in the last 100 years or so. The thousands of acres of agricultural land attest to the watershed's capacity to sustain livestock operations.

These forest and agricultural products provide other social values such as employment, contribution to local economic stability, government revenues, and the satisfaction of the aspirations and needs of numerous private and industrial land owners.

The watershed's continued economic value is based on the plant communities the land is able to support. While great changes in vegetation patterns have occurred in the last 100 years or so, plant communities that have replaced the natural ones appear healthy and sustainable. However, other resources may have been adversely affected by the alteration of the plant communities as discussed in the riparian, wildlife and water quality sections.

Forest products produced in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed include:

Logs for dimensional lumber, plywood, railroad ties, and chips for wood fibre used in paper manufacturing are the principal commercial products derived from the timber resource. The primary species of trees used in approximate order of importance are Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziessii*), western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*), grand fir (*Abies grandis*), Port-Orford-cedar (*Chamaecyparis lawsoniana*), western red cedar (*Thuja plicata*), and various hardwoods such as red alder (*Alnus rubra*), big leaf maple (*Acer macrophyllum*), myrtle (*Umbellularia californica*) and tanoak (*Lithocarpus densiflorus*). Small amounts of Pacific yew (*Taxus brevifolia*), Pacific madrone (*Arbutus menziessi*), golden chinkapin (*Castanopsis chrysophylla*) are also harvested for various reasons.

Firewood- This is an important commodity both for personal and commercial use. Sources include logging debris left after harvesting, windthrow and hardwoods encroaching on road right-of-ways.

Yew bark- The demand for this product has dropped to essentially zero with the development of a synthetic taxol.

Floral greens- Some species of brush and ferns are picked for the floral industry. They

include evergreen huckleberry (*Vaccinium ovatum*), salal (*Gaultheria shallon*), vine maple (*Acer circinatum*), Pacific rhododendron (*Rhododendron macrophyllum*), dwarf Oregon-grape (*Berberis nervosa*), sword fern (*Polystichum munitum*), and various other species of mosses, ferns and forbs.

Tree boughs- The most popular species is Port-Orford-cedar, which is harvested for floral arrangements and wreaths etc. Smaller amounts of western redcedar and Douglas-fir are also used.

Mushrooms- This watershed is within the range of a number of commercially valuable species of mushrooms including hedgehog (*Hydnum repandum*), chanterelle (*Cantharellus cibarius*), matsutake (*Armillaria ponderosa*), and lobster (*Hypomyces lactifluorum*). Few permits have been issued for the analysis area to date, but increasing value could lead to increased demand. No inventories are available on the abundance of any mushroom species.

Christmas trees- The demand on federal ownership is minimal for this product, since most of the federal lands are far removed from any large population center.


Miscellaneous products- These include posts, poles, arrow bolts, shake bolts and various plants used for landscaping.

The large scale harvesting of timber has been occurring in the watershed for about 100 years. Timber harvest has replaced fire as the major factor shaping forest plant communities. Wildfire and uncontrolled human-caused fires began to be aggressively excluded from forests in the early part of the century, as it was seen as a destroyer of the timber resource. Harvesting has been done primarily by clearcutting, in which large blocks of land were cleared of trees, especially on private land, for agriculture and timber production purposes.

Early in the century, these cleared forest areas were left to be reforested by seed trees, trees in the surrounding forests, or seed stored in the soil on site after logging. This method proved to be unreliable in regenerating new coniferous forests, resulting in large stands of hardwoods and/or brush. In the 1950's and 60's, attempts were made to reforest cutover lands by aerial seeding, primarily with Douglas-fir seed. However, this also proved to be unreliable, and by 1970 nearly all cutover areas were being planted with seedlings. The Oregon Forest Practices Act, first passed in about 1971, also required prompt reforestation of clear-cuts on private land, and contributed to the wide-spread use of planting as the most reliable method.

The tree of choice was Douglas-fir. Thousands of acres were planted with millions of Douglas-fir seedlings from the late 1960's to the present. District records show that stands in Coos Bay were comprised of 60% or more of Douglas-fir. Other species have been maintained through natural seeding and are still abundant in the watershed.

Figure 18. Age classes of BLM-administered forest lands in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.



In addition to agricultural grasslands, there appears to be three broad timber types in the watershed; young forest stands (0-40 years old), second growth forests (41-100 years old), and old growth forests (primarily on federal lands). There is no precise inventory of age classes available to quantify the acres on private lands. Figure 18 shows age classes on BLM lands (Forest Service data not available at this writing).

The timber inventory for BLM lands shows the following volumes:


	<u>Gross MBF</u>	<u>Operable MBF*</u>
Entire Watershed	130,817	40,557
Key Watershed	121,048	37,038

*Operable MBF is the volume available for harvest after all lands set aside for uses other than timber production have been withdrawn.

Since 1962 the BLM harvest from the watershed has been approximately 118,325 MBF. There has been no deliberate attempt to maintain a sustainable harvest in this watershed. The sustainable harvest concept was averaged over the entire BLM and Ranger Districts. No reliable estimate can be made about timber volume harvested on private lands, and Forest Service data not available at this writing.

Federal lands will be managed based on the allocations prescribed in the Northwest Forest Plan of 1994. This plan is designed to greatly increase the retention of structural and biological components on all harvest units, and give increased protection to Key Watersheds for old growth dependant species.

Figure 19.



Timber values have risen over the past few years (Figure 19), and are expected to remain relatively high for the foreseeable future, due in part to a timber supply shortage. Volume from federal land in the watershed will be greatly reduced because of the reduced land base available for timber production and the retention requirements imposed on the GFMA.

Wildfires will continue to be suppressed, and prescribed fires will probably be further restricted due to smoke management concerns (see Appendix 5). Private industrial forest lands will probably continue to be managed on short rotations of 35 to 60 years. Other privately-owned lands may have varying rotations based on the needs and values of the

landowner, and no general prediction can be made. However, if timber prices remain relatively high as expected, individual timber holders will also be tempted to manage their lands on short rotations (see Appendix 10).

All private lands harvested in the future will be subject to the new Oregon Forest Practices Act of 1994, which requires such things as riparian management areas designed to retain large trees near fish-bearing streams, retention of two green trees or snags/acre, two down logs/acre, and a spatial requirement of 300 feet and four years between adjacent clearcuts of no larger than 120 acres. In summary, there will be considerably more structure and large green trees left on all private lands than required under the old Act.

Little information is available on the aesthetic, cultural, and/or ecological restoration values of plants. Obviously, people enjoy looking at a landscape containing trees; clearcuts are not a pleasing site to most people. The ecological restoration value of tree species has been recognized and hardwoods and conifers are being used to restore cutover lands and degraded riparian areas (see Riparian section).

Fisheries

Historically, indigenous fish supported the subsistence-based economy of native Americans, early explorers, and settlers of the Coquille Valley. At the turn of this century, salmon and steelhead supported a tremendous commercial river gill-net fishery, which supplied several canneries along the Coquille River and a sizeable export market. In the more recent past, salmon provided considerable revenues to a large ocean-going commercial fishing fleet. Salmon, steelhead, and trout also generate state revenues from the sale of licenses, tags, and permits. While the commercial fishery has been drastically curtailed in recent years, native fish continue to provide recreation to sport anglers. If properly managed, the populations of anadromous salmonids produced in the analysis area have the potential to support a substantial commercial fishery again in the future.

Native fish also play important roles in the food webs of the watersheds that they occupy, serving as both predator and prey. Anadromous fish, in particular, are key factors in the flow of nutrients and energy between the ocean and the generally oligotrophic systems where they spawn (Brown 1995 and Bilby et al. unpublished).

The majority of the following discussion on life histories and habitat requirements of salmonids was taken from USDA (1995).

SPRING CHINOOK


Spring chinook enter the South Fork of the Coquille from June through late August, where they spend the summer maturing in deep pools. Spawning coincides with the onset of the first fall rains. Spring chinook have been observed spawning in the South Fork Coquille

River from the confluence of Rowland Creek upstream to Daphne Grove (upstream of the analysis area). Fry emerge from the redds between February and May, depending on water temperature. The presmolts outmigrate toward the estuary through the summer months and into the fall. They will spend 3 - 5 years in the ocean before returning to their natal streams to spawn. The Coquille River is the only coastal river in Oregon that supports a native run of spring chinook.

Because of their large body size, chinook salmon require greater water depths for upstream migration and holding, compared with other Pacific salmon. The requirement for holding pools is especially critical for spring chinook, which may be in fresh water from 4 to 6 months during summer low-flow conditions prior to spawning (Nickelson et al. 1992). If holding and spawning areas have inadequate cover, spring chinook are vulnerable to disturbance, predation, and harassment over a long time period. Columnaris, a disease which afflicts salmonids in warm water, has also been known to cause mortality in adult spring chinook. Water temperatures in the South Fork Coquille River in the vicinity of Powers regularly exceed 70° F, which is near lethal limits for salmonids. During July of 1994 (a record low-flow year), water temperatures in the South Fork Coquille River exceeded 80° F on seven consecutive days at the confluence of Woodward Creek (see Water Quality section).

Historically, spring chinook were never as abundant on the South Fork Coquille River as their fall counterparts, numbering perhaps as high as 2,000 spawners at the turn of this century (ODFW 1993a). Many of the pools which historically held spring chinook through the summer have been filled by sediments, and no longer provide habitat. Furthermore, summer low-flows exacerbated by irrigation withdrawals have rendered adult spring chinook more vulnerable to snagging by poachers. Spring chinook salmon in the South Fork Coquille River are also threatened by genetic impacts, due to hatchery manipulation of their very small population. The annual spawning escapement for Coquille River spring chinook in recent years is believed to be approximately 200 adults, most of which return to the South Fork Coquille River (ODFW 1993a).

Figure 20. Adult spring chinook counted in 3 index pools in the South Fork Coquille River.



ODFW counts adult spring chinook in three index pools in the vicinity of Powers, Oregon each year to monitor escapement; results are shown in Figure 20. The relatively high return in 1993 correlates to a release of 32,450 hatchery smolts in 1990. The spring chinook hatchery smolt program, which was instituted in 1984, was discontinued in 1993, and replaced by a

hatchbox program. However, the 1994 return was too low to allow broodstock collection for this program. Hatchery releases have included both Coquille River and Rogue River stocks. Currently, Coquille River spring chinook are depressed (Nickelson et al. 1992), and classified as having a "high risk of extinction" (Nehlsen et. al. 1991).

FALL CHINOOK

Fall chinook enter the South Fork Coquille River during the first significant fall rains, usually between October and December, and initiate spawning shortly after their spring counterparts. Spawning has been observed from Broadbent upstream to Island Campground (upstream of the analysis area). Fry emerge from redds between February and May, depending on water temperature. The presmolts outmigrate toward the estuary through the summer months and into the fall, and typically spend 3 - 5 years in the ocean before returning to spawn.

Fall chinook require deep holding pools to rest in during their upstream migration, but do not penetrate tributary drainages to the extent that coho, steelhead and sea-run cutthroat trout do. Fall chinook do not usually encounter the high water temperatures endured by their spring counterparts, due to their relatively late spawning migration. However, water temperature is an important factor in chinook rearing. As noted earlier, mainstem South Fork Coquille water temperatures are quite high during the summer [rearing] period, which forces most juvenile chinook to move downstream to [cooler] tidewater.

Within the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed, fall chinook are known to spawn in Salmon Creek, Dement Creek, and the mainstem of the South Fork Coquille River. Anecdotal accounts (BLM 1995a & BLM 1984) indicate that some fall chinook spawning also occurs at the mouths of tributaries such as Baker and Rowland Creeks. ODFW uses 0.8 miles of lower Salmon Creek and 1.0 mile (below the confluence of Rowland Creek) of the South Fork Coquille River as index reaches for monitoring fall chinook spawning escapement. This data, presented in Figure 21, indicates that the spawning escapement of fall chinook in Salmon Creek and the South Fork Coquille River has increased over the period of record. Additionally, BLM monitored fall chinook spawning in a 0.75-mile reach on Salmon Creek during the fall of 1994. This data, presented in Figure 22, indicates very high spawning density within the survey reach. However, it should be noted that since the 1960's, most fall chinook spawning has occurred downstream of Powers, Oregon, while spawners upstream of Powers declined (Hamilton and Remington 1962 and USDA 1995).

Hatchery releases of Coquille River and Coos River fall chinook stocks occurred during the time that the hatchery on Lower Land Creek was in operation. The extent to which these releases affected the wild fall chinook population in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is not known. Nickelson et al. (1992) listed the status of wild fall chinook in the South Fork Coquille River as "healthy".

Figure 21. Peak counts from ODFW fall chinook spawning ground surveys. (Discontinuities represent data gaps.)





Figure 22. Fall chinook spawning ground surveys on Salmon Creek - 1994.



COHO SALMON

Adult coho migrate into the South Fork Coquille River from September through December, depending on rainfall. Spawning occurs shortly thereafter, primarily in smaller tributary streams. Fry emerge from the redds from January through March, depending on water temperature. Juvenile coho spend one full year in fresh water before outmigrating to sea. During summer months, coho prefer pool habitat associated with cover elements (complex

pool habitat), and utilize off-channel alcoves, ponds, beaver dams, and deep pools with complex cover to escape high water velocities during the winter. Large woody debris plays a critical role in over-winter survival of coho (Nickelson et. al. 1992). Coho smolts head downstream toward the estuary approximately one year after emergence, and typically spend 1 - 2 years in the ocean before returning to spawn.


Hatchery coho from Ten-mile, Coos, and Coquille broodstocks have been released into the South Fork Coquille River in the past. USDA (1995) indicates that a hatchery constructed on Lower Land Creek (upstream of analysis area) during the 1930's adversely affected the wild coho population in parts of the South Fork Coquille River, by excluding them from parts of their historic range, diluting the native gene pool, and inducing competition between wild and hatchery juveniles. However, the extent to which this hatchery affected the coho population in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is unknown.

In anticipation of the construction of the Baker Creek fish ladder (see Aquatic Habitat section) ODFW released hatchery coho fry into Baker Creek in 1991, 1992, and 1993. The winter of 1994/1995 marked the first confirmed coho spawning run in Baker Creek in approximately 40 years.

Coho are known to currently utilize the following tributaries in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed: Warner Creek, Rhoda Creek, Dement Creek, Baker Creek, Woodward Creek, and Salmon Creek. Historically, their range may have also included Long Tom Creek, Rowland Creek, and Mill Creek, but migration barriers now restrict access to these creeks (see Aquatic Habitat section).


ODFW monitors coho escapement on a two-mile index reach on Salmon Creek. The ODFW data from Salmon Creek, presented in Figure 23, indicates highly variable coho spawning escapement over the period of record. ODFW also conducted spawning ground surveys on Dement Creek and Rowland Creek in 1994. Dement Creek had a spawning escapement of approximately 15 coho/mile; no coho were observed in Rowland Creek. BLM and Georgia-Pacific West, Inc. conducted spawning ground surveys on Baker Creek and Salmon Creek in 1994; this data is presented in Figure 24. In summary, analysis

Figure 23. Coho salmon escapement for 2-mile ODFW index reach on Salmon Creek.



of the aforementioned data indicates that desired coho spawning escapement was not achieved on any surveyed reaches in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed in 1994. Furthermore, Salmon Creek did not have the desired coho spawning escapement in nine of the last ten years.


Figure 24. Coho spawning ground surveys from Baker Creek - 1994/1995.



Biologists from the Powers Ranger District (USFS) conducted a fish population survey on Salmon Creek in 1991 (Chen 1991). Their data, which is summarized in Figure 25, indicates that the summer seeding level for coho in Salmon Creek was well below the desired 1 parr/m² in

1991. Such an under-seeded condition might be attributable to low spawning escapement, low egg to parr survival, or a combination thereof. No other summer seeding level survey data pertaining to the analysis area is available at present.

Figure 25. Juvenile fish population inventory of Salmon Creek - 1991.



Information in USDA (1995) indicates that coho utilization throughout the South Fork Coquille River is down from historic levels, and that no coho have been observed upstream of the Siskiyou National Forest boundary since 1989. Coquille River coho are depressed according to Nickelson et. al. (1992), and categorized at "moderate risk of extinction" by Nehlson et al. (1991). Pacific coast coho salmon (including Coquille River stocks) were petitioned for listing under the Federal Endangered Species Act twice in 1993 (first by Oregon Trout, and later by Pacific Rivers Council), and are presently under review [proposed] by the National Marine Fisheries Service.

The last commercial season for ocean harvest of coho was in 1991; total closures were implemented in 1992-1995. In 1994, sport fishing for coho was closed as well. Between 1986 and 1991, the annual harvest rate on coho averaged 50% of all three-year-olds in the ocean, plus 10% of those that entered fresh water (PFMC 1995). From this information and spawning escapement data presented herein, it appears that the coho population experienced a precipitous decline in the last three years; i.e., if no sport or commercial harvest occurred, there should have been a substantial increase in spawning escapement roughly corresponding to the past harvest rate (50-60%), assuming a relatively stable population. The fact that no such increase occurred indicates that the coho population has recently experienced a dramatic decline.

STEELHEAD (WINTER)

Winter Steelhead enter the South Fork Coquille River from November through April. Sexual maturation occurs during their upstream migration, and spawning occurs between December and May. Steelhead fry emerge in late spring, and tend to occupy stream margins at first. Summer rearing takes place in moderate velocity areas within pools, glides, and riffles (Nickelson et. al. 1992). During the winter, juvenile steelhead disperse into a wide variety of refuge habitats, including complex pools, side channels, eddies, and low-order tributaries. Juvenile steelhead spend from 1 - 4 years in fresh water before outmigrating to sea, and then 1 - 4 years in the ocean before returning to spawn. Steelhead that spend two years in fresh water and two years in the ocean predominate the spawning population in the Coquille River (ODFW 1992). Unlike coho and chinook, steelhead are capable of spawning more than once. Approximately one-third of the Coquille River steelhead run is comprised of repeat spawners. Repeat spawners are predominantly female, due to higher post-spawning mortality among males (Busby et. al. 1994).


Steelhead are known to currently utilize the following tributaries in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed: Warner Creek, Rhoda Creek, Dement Creek, Baker Creek, Woodward Creek, and Salmon Creek. Steelhead are also known to utilize Rowland Creek, but the cascade near the confluence with the South Fork Coquille River is a barrier during at least some flow conditions. Historically, their range may have also included Long Tom Creek and Mill Creek, but migration barriers now restrict access to these creeks (see Aquatic Habitat section).

The South Fork Coquille River has historically supported an abundance of winter steelhead. However, this steelhead population has been heavily influenced by hatchery introductions. The first introductions of hatchery steelhead (Coos River stock) took place in 1948. Between 1968 and 1985, imported Alsea River broodstock was used. In 1985, ODFW began releasing steelhead from Coquille broodstock only. In 1990, a program was begun to reduce mixing between wild and hatchery steelhead stocks, and ODFW began using exclusively South Fork Coquille River broodstock for hatchery production. Hatchery smolts are now acclimated in Beaver Creek for 3 weeks prior to release. The percentage of hatchery steelhead in the South Fork Coquille River spawning returns has declined from 83% in the 1980's, to 68% in the 1993/1994 season (ODFW 1995).

In anticipation of the construction of the Baker Creek fish ladder (see Aquatic Habitat section) ODFW released hatchery steelhead fry into Baker Creek in 1985, 1986, 1992, and 1993. The winter of 1994/1995 marked the first confirmed steelhead spawning run in Baker Creek in approximately 40 years.


During the winter of 1994/1995, BLM and Georgia-Pacific West, Inc. conducted steelhead spawning ground surveys on Baker Creek and Salmon Creek. This data is presented in Figures 26a and 26b. No other steelhead spawning data from the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is available at this time.

Figure 26a. Steelhead spawning ground survey data from Baker Creek - 1995.



Fish population survey data from Chen (1991), which is summarized in Figure 25, indicates that the summer loading density of 0+ and 1+ steelhead in Salmon Creek was variable, although generally low, among the six reaches surveyed in 1991. No other summer seeding level survey data pertaining to the analysis area is available at present.

Figure 26b. Steelhead spawning ground survey data from Salmon Creek - 1995.



The population of winter steelhead in the South Fork Coquille River is listed as “healthy” in Nickelson et al. (1992), and at a “low probability of extinction” in Nehlson et al. (1991). However, coastal steelhead (including Coquille River stocks) were petitioned for listing under the Endangered Species Act by the Oregon Natural Resources Council in 1994.

CUTTHROAT TROUT

Sea-run cutthroat trout enter the South Fork Coquille River from June through October. Spawning occurs from December to May. Fry emerge from redds from March to June. Juveniles spend from 1 - 4 years in fresh water before migrating to the ocean. Adults typically spend less than one year in the ocean before returning to spawn. As with steelhead, sea-run cutthroat trout may spawn more than once in their lifetime.

Sea-run cutthroat trout tend to spawn in many first and second order streams. This selection of small tributaries for spawning and early rearing may help reduce the competitive interactions between cutthroat, steelhead, and coho (Nickelson et al. 1992). Culvert design on smaller tributaries may be limiting sea-run cutthroat access within the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed. During the winter, juvenile cutthroat trout use low-velocity pools and side channels with complex cover created by large woody debris (Trotter 1989). Both sea-run and resident cutthroat trout appear to be highly vulnerable to logging activities (Behnke 1992).

Little historical information is available regarding sea-run cutthroat trout populations in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed. However, anecdotal accounts suggest that their numbers have declined since the 1940's (BLM 1995a). Hatchery introductions of sea-run cutthroat trout began in the 1950's, and continued until 1985. Initial hatchery releases consisted of Coquille River stock, while those occurring between 1975 and 1985 were comprised of Alsea River stock. The impacts of these hatchery releases on the wild sea-run cutthroat trout population in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is unknown (ODFW 1993a).

Nehlsen et al. (1991) reported Coquille River stocks of sea-run cutthroat trout to be "at risk" of extinction as a result of habitat degradation, over fishing, and other factors. The National Marine Fisheries Service is presently conducting comprehensive status reviews on coho and chinook salmon, steelhead, and sea-run cutthroat trout. These reviews are scheduled to be completed by early 1996, and should provide additional insight on the current condition of these species, including populations within the Coquille River basin.

Resident rainbow and cutthroat trout (found above barriers to anadromous fish passage) spawn in first and second order streams in early spring when water temperatures start to rise. Fry emerge from redds during late spring. Some first and second order streams are important rearing areas and winter refugia for resident trout (Frissell 1992, Trotter 1995). Thus, access to small tributaries is an important factor affecting the viability of resident trout populations. At one or two years of age, trout tend to seek out larger streams and establish a territory. Resident rainbow and cutthroat trout reach sexual maturity at three or four years of age.

Hatchery introductions of rainbow and cutthroat trout occurred from the 1950's through the mid-1980's. The extent to which these releases affected the wild trout population in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is not known, but genetic studies currently being conducted by ODFW may provide some answers.

Cutthroat trout densities appear to be directly related to the amount of available cover (Wesche 1974). Thus, it may be inferred from the habitat simplification noted in the Aquatic Habitat section that the resident cutthroat trout population in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is depressed. However, no historical trout population data from the analysis area is available to empirically verify this conclusion.

PACIFIC LAMPREY

Pacific lamprey are anadromous, and like Pacific salmon die shortly after spawning. Spawning occurs in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed in April and May. Unlike juvenile salmonids, Pacific lamprey ammocetes spend up to seven years in fresh water before migrating to the ocean. Due to this protracted freshwater development, Pacific lamprey are an important indicator species in evaluating the health of the fluvial systems they inhabit. However, Pacific lamprey have received relatively little attention from fisheries managers, so the status of the Coquille River stocks are unknown. Increased predation on anadromous salmonids may be partially attributable to the decreased availability of Pacific lamprey, a preferred prey item for marine pinnipeds (Parker et al. 1995).

Adult Pacific Lamprey were observed in Salmon Creek in 1995 and are known to use the lower South Fork Coquille River as a migration corridor, but beyond this their distribution

within the analysis area is not documented. No empirical data is available from which to assess Pacific lamprey populations in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed. However, anecdotal information in BLM (1995a) and USDI (1995) suggests that the numbers of spawning Pacific lamprey are well below historic levels in at least some tributaries.

No data is available from which to assess the status or distribution of speckled dace, stickleback, largescale sucker or sculpin populations in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed. Additional information on fish populations within the analysis area is provided in Appendix 6.

Wildlife Populations and Habitat Conditions

Wildlife are valued for recreation activities and aesthetics. The human benefits from wildlife include the harvest of game, fur, recreational wildlife watching, artistic and other commodity values. Both game and non-game species, provide ecological functions of seed/spore dispersal, nitrogen cycling, and links to the spacial and temporal condition of the forest. Flying squirrels and many small birds connect the canopy of the forest with the forest floor, providing a critical ecological link within the forest. Big game species, many furbearers, and rodents are generally mobile and move horizontally through the forest. These vertical and horizontal links provide connections to all habitats within the forest.

The ecological role of birds is described in the FEMAT, FSEIS and associated documents for the old growth related species. The early and mid seral conditions for this watershed provide habitats for different species of birds. These birds function ecologically by significantly contributing to nutrient dynamics and seed distribution in the watershed, thereby establishing the foundation for future function in later seral stages.

Small mammals distribute seeds and spores, plant some seeds, forage on crop-damaging and other insects, and assist in soil aeration and mixing. Historically, small mammals provided furs, created instream structure and established wetland and related habitats which supported a wide variety of fish and wildlife resources useful to humans.

Where Special Status species exist, there is the potential for the remaining populations to recover (given sufficient time and habitat) to a level where they may be removed from the threatened or endangered species list. The specific values of these species have not been expressed in monetary or other terms relevant to standard human values. These species often fill unique functional positions in the forest ecosystem, some of which are not fully understood at present. Known beneficial uses of some of these species include nutrient cycling, aesthetic values, and stability of a late seral forest condition. Some species may also hold keys to medical or other knowledge useful to humankind.

HABITAT

Before the presence of a European-based society, fire, rain, wind, and to a lesser extent insects and disease, were key natural processes which formed the structure of the forest. Today, disturbances are somewhat more wide-spread, because humans impose greater amounts of habitat alteration, and are doing this alteration more frequently on the same sites. This creates a long-term, early seral condition on the landscape. This affect is seen on agricultural, pasture, and short-rotation timber lands.

Modern forest management on private lands often utilize chemical herbicide application. On all timber producing lands, there is a general trend of increasing numbers and miles of roads, human-caused changes in fire frequency and intensity, and planting a single species of conifer trees for reforestation. The practice of falling “hazardous” snags is changing, but was frequently used in recent years. Some previously forested lands have been converted to open grassland for livestock pasturing in the last 130 years; however, this trend has started to reverse in the past decade. These practices have altered forage sources for species like the band-tailed pigeon, increased the disturbance affects of motor vehicle traffic, increased access for harvest of game animals, and altered the vegetative pattern, proportions and character of the forest. These practices have also accelerated the rate of habitat change in and adjacent to the forest, and some wildlife species are unable to adapt at the same rate. Certain areas of the Lower South Fork Coquille analysis area fit the descriptions prepared in the FSEIS. However, much of this analysis area is not currently in late-successional condition, and it is not proposed to be in the future. Therefore, many of the wildlife resource values for this watershed have not been adequately analyzed, and a local description of the resources is needed.

This watershed was exposed to large scale logging operations in the early 1900's, as described in the Aquatic Habitat section of this watershed analysis, resulting in rapid changes to forest environments. Since that time, some of the area has developed in somewhat of a predictable ecological sequence, provided human activities did not further alter the rate or occurrence of succession. This ecological development should provide a variety of structure within the forest complex, adding to habitat diversity. However, without a habitat inventory, the number and types of habitats cannot be quantified. Habitat locations and distributions across the landscape have also not been clearly defined beyond the general and regional natural history and maps, although a few spot checks and “grab” samples have provided some specific information.

Cavity structure in the forest directly affects the productivity of some bird and mammal populations. Fire and disease are important factors in creating snags for cavity production. There have been no inventories of cavity structures in the analysis area, but it is assumed that private timber production lands, agricultural lands, public or private forested lands harvested within the last 50 years, roads and road prisms, ponds, streams, and old forest stands adjacent to harvest units, contain few or no snags. The remaining forested lands have been subject to salvage, theft, and windthrow influenced by adjacent disturbances. This leaves precious few areas where snags could persist. There is the possibility of cavities in living trees, but the

probability of this in highly-managed, Douglas fir forests is low. Thus, although no inventory has been conducted, it appears that there are few structures with cavities available.

Relative differences between habitat qualities in 1943 and 1992 were observed from aerial photographs. Both sets of photos show general vegetative patterns, and also show clearly the areas and amounts of human development. It appears that in 1943 there were substantial timber harvest activities in the Key Watershed. At that time, the northern and western portions of the watershed were not well developed or heavily disturbed. In the 1992 photos there is a similar estimate, but the harvested areas are 50 years older in the Key Watershed, and there is substantially more disturbance in the Dement and Eckley Creek drainages. This suggests a high level of vegetative and habitat fragmentation, and persistence of an unusually high rate of disturbance, unlike any which occurred prior to the influences of European settlers. The fragmentation of contiguous late seral habitat is far greater in 1992 than in 1943. It is estimated that overall this watershed generally favors early to mid successional wildlife species. These species tolerate smaller units of contiguous habitats, or thrive with high levels of "edge" (Hansen and Urban 1989). This condition favors the wildlife species which are habitat generalists and discourages or excludes species which are habitat specialists. The rate of habitat destruction often can exceed the rate of desired habitat development. The current rate of habitat change is not well understood, because some of it occurs on private lands and fluctuates with market conditions.

The aerial photograph interpretation process was non-quantitative, yielded an "above-canopy" perspective only, and should be further supported through a more intensive on-the-ground inventory. Resource decisions based on this information should be made with caution.

It is felt that the Forest Operations Inventory (FOI) for the District is inadequate to describe vegetative communities or wildlife habitats. In order to more accurately describe habitats, a polygon map showing plant communities by seral stage was produced from 1992 aerial photographs. The vegetation map is not included in this Watershed Analysis because it was not produced in the Geographic Information System (GIS). A copy of the map is available in the permanent file of this analysis. This map was **only** produced for the key watershed portion of the analysis area. Seral stages were determined by the Coos Bay District RMP and vegetative communities were established using Appendix 8 of Brown (1985). The "None Determined" communities (containing 701 acres, 9 %), have no seral description because there are not reliable methods to categorize these sites. Other communities were estimated by the following seral stages:

		Approximate <u>Acres</u>	Approximate % of Total <u>Key Watershed</u>
Early Seral	(ES)	269	4
Mid Seral	(MS)	1597	22
Late Seral	(LS)	3041	43
Mature	(MA)	1542	22
Old Growth	(OG)	677	10

This information suggests that the Key Watershed provides a very large amount of late seral to old growth habitats, but it should be understood that late seral habitats are defined in the RMP as between 40 and 100 years old. Age is only one factor in determining whether late seral condition is functioning within an ecosystem. Other factors may include, the presence or absence of structures (snags) which may have been influenced by human activities, lichen development affected by management or temporal/spacial factors, or other physical or biological affects on the community. This means that the functional late seral condition may not have true representation in this table, and a false impression may be reached if this table is the only data used to evaluate habitats for late seral condition species. This table **should only** be used to evaluate the very general conditions of the Key Watershed.

Within these seral stages there are eight communities identified. Names of these and total acres for all seral stages are:

		Approximate <u>Acres</u>	Approximate % of Total <u>Key Watershed</u>
Grass Forb	(GF)	677	9 %
Red Alder	(RA)	921	12 %
Conifer/Hardwood	(CH)	1834	23 %
Temperate Coniferous	(TC)	2467	32 %
Deciduous Hardwood	(DH)	1152	15 %
Evergreen Hardwood	(EH)	752	10 %
Hardwood	(HW)	2	0 %
Non-Resource	(NR)	22	0 %

Acres and acreage percentages for communities and seral stages are shown in Table 20.

Table 20. Acreages and Percentages of Communities and Seral Stages.

Seral Stage	Habitat	Acres	% Total Acres	% of this Seral Stage
None Determined	GF (Grass/Forb)	667	8.64 %	96.57 %
	HW (Hardwood)	2	0.02 %	0.29 %
	NR (Non-resource)	22.0	0.28 %	3.14 %
Totals		701	8.9% of all Seral Stages	
Early Seral	CH (Conifer Hardwood)	2	0.02 %	0.74 %
	EH (Evergreen Hardwood)	24	0.3 %	8.92 %
	RA (Red Alder)	3	0.03 %	1.12 %
	TC (Temperate Conifer)	240	3.07 %	89.22 %
Totals		269	3.43% of all Seral Stages	
Mid Seral	CH	438	5.59 %	27.43 %
	DH (Deciduous Hardwood)	203	2.59 %	12.71 %
	EH	68	0.86 %	4.26 %
	RA	31	0.39 %	1.94 %
	TC	857	10.95 %	53.66 %
Totals		1597	20.4% of all Seral Stages	
Late Seral	CH	345	4.4%	11.34%
	DH (Deciduous Hardwood)	949	12.12%	31.21%
	EH (Evergreen Hardwood)	660	8.43%	21.17%
	RA	887	11.33%	29.17%
	TC	200	2.55%	6.58%
Totals		3041	38.85% of all Seral Stages	
Mature Seral	CH		8.07 %	40.99 %
	TC	910	11.62 %	59.01 %
Totals		1542	19.7% of all Seral Stages	
Old Growth	CH	417	5.32 %	61.6 %
	TC	260	3.32 %	38.4 %
Totals		677	8.64% of all Seral Stages	

This table shows that the majority of the public land in the Key Watershed is in the mid, late and mature seral conditions, but there is a very low percentage in the old growth condition, particularly in comparison with historic abundance (Teensma et. al. 1991). The mid seral is defined by forests 15 to 40 years old, late seral as 40 to 100 years, mature as from the culmination of mean annual increment to 200 years, and old growth as 200 years and older (USDI 1994a). Table 20 indicates that there is a potential concern about the number of acres in non-classified seral (including grass-forb, and rock quarries) early seral, and old growth seral conditions. It is **cautioned** that this table only represents the Key Watershed and a similar analysis of these conditions should be completed for the entire analysis area to determine the conditions on a larger scale. Within the entire watershed, there seems to be an abundance of early seral habitats provided by recently harvested forest lands and grazing lands. There also seems to be enough quarries to satisfy this unique habitat condition. However, it is recommended that the spacial arrangement of all early seral habitats be analyzed relative to the development of old growth habitats. The above information on **only** the Key Watershed suggests that it is probably not providing the types and amounts of habitats needed to support all the objectives of a Key Watershed, and that specific management is needed to modify the seral conditions in certain areas (i.e. old growth areas).

The habitats of the watershed are becoming more fragmented and diverse, but each habitat unit is also increasingly smaller in size. This trend is expected to produce habitats suitable to "generalist" species, who's populations increase with habitat disturbance, and is expected not to produce habitats for species which require mature to late-successional characteristics. On public lands, the habitat trends are estimated to be currently static within the Key Watershed, with the potential for this trend to slightly decline with the implementation of the District harvest plan under the Northwest Forest Plan. This is a difficult evaluation because the LSR is already providing good habitats for old growth related species. The connectivity block is not providing the maximum potential habitat for these species, because much of the area was recently harvested (within the last 20-30 years). The connectivity area has the potential to provide upward trends for habitat production for many of these species in the next 100 to 200 years. Currently, there are habitats for old growth related species in the matrix portion of the Rowland Creek drainage . The matrix lands and private lands are not likely to produce late seral habitats. These lands are expected to produce habitats of 60 to 80 years old or less. The loss of any of the old growth habitat would mean a reduction in trend for old growth related species until the habitats in the LSR and connectivity areas can replace that habitat. For more details refer to the Coos Bay District and the Northwest Forest Plan - FSEIS ROD (USDI 1994a and USDI 1994b).

BIRDS

Nationwide there is concern for the declining numbers of neotropical migratory birds (Terbough 1989, Line 1993, Peterjohn and Sauer 1993). This concern often stems from the alterations to habitats as described in the FEMAT, FSEIS, and associated documents. The current population conditions of these species within the Lower South Fork Coquille analysis area are not

inventoried or monitored to any recognized standard. Habitats for these species are also not inventoried or monitored to any recognized standard. As described in general terms in the FSEIS, FEMAT and associated documents, these animals require a variety of upland and wetland habitats to provide nesting, hiding cover, and foraging sites within the watershed. Vegetative diversity and health is one key to providing habitat to reverse downward trends in the populations. It is said that the population numbers and species richness is lower in the western United States than in the east for the neotropical migrants. This suggests that the western species are more vulnerable to poor habitat conditions than other species, which have been monitored closely and have been shown to be declining (Terbough 1989). It is estimated that 25% of the breeding bird communities occur in mature and older vegetation habitat conditions. For those species which require cavity structures, habitat conditions are probably well below what is needed to maintain minimum viable populations. There are no snag inventories to describe habitat conditions on public land for these species. Public lands, in general, are probably in better habitat condition for cavity nesters than private lands. However, the public lands are expected to be well below the target (USDI 1994a) of providing sufficient habitat to maintain 40% cavity nester population levels. Few, if any, snags were left in harvest units in recent years, and the few green trees left on harvest units since the late 1980's have not been converted to snags. Fire generally creates snags, but fire suppression efforts have reduced the frequency of fires in recent history, and snag falling contracts to reduce fire and safety hazards in forest stands were implemented during the early to mid part of this century.

Riparian areas provide some of the most valuable habitats for many of the neotropical migrants. These habitats often are not the only ones used by these species on a daily basis. Therefore, good linkages to other habitats are essential to support the full life-needs of these species.

A greater portion of the watershed is associated with grass/shrub dominated habits today than in 1943. Shrub habitats are preferred by species which are habitat generalists, such as sparrows, towhees, and certain warblers. It is estimated that less than 40% of the neotropical migratory bird species will be associated with early successional habitats (Morrison & Meslow 1983). Although total bird numbers are generally nearly equal in shrub dominated habitats when compared to those in older forests, species diversity is lower and comprised primarily of those species using brush and ground-use strategies (Morrison & Meslow 1983), rather than canopy strategies. Results from breeding bird surveys in the Upper South Fork Coquille watershed, show that songbird populations along that route appear to be healthy (Shea 1995a). However, it has been shown that bird surveys along roadways are biased toward species which are tolerant of vehicle activity and noise (Salathe' 1991).

Numerous game-bird species occur within the watershed. Band-tailed Pigeons (*Columba fasciata*) occur, but are depressed in this watershed as they are regionally (ODFW 1993b). The reasons for this depressed condition are not completely understood, but there is evidence that herbicide and pesticide use, reduced forage and mineral sites, reduced nesting habitat in the United States and increased pressure from agricultural interests and hunting on the winter ranges

all may be affecting populations . California Quail (*Callipepla californica*) and Mountain Quail (*Oreortyx pictus*) both appear to have fair to good population levels. Blue Grouse (*Dendragapus obscurus*) occur mostly on the ridges and Ruffed Grouse (*Bonasa umbellus*) mostly occur in the drainages. Both of these species are in a depressed period of their natural population dynamics, but are generally considered at healthy population levels. There are several populations of introduced Wild Turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*), which primarily occur on private lands. There has been much discussion about the possibility of Wild Turkeys adversely affecting the native grouse population. However, the present understanding is that while individual game bird populations are density dependant, they are not interdependent on other game bird species (Toman 1995). Wild Turkeys may have other indirect affects on other species, which are currently not detected or monitored.

Waterfowl occur throughout the watershed, using private ponds, beaver impoundments (see section on Beaver) and open streams. It is believed these populations are in relatively good condition, despite active management to reduce beaver pond development on many private lands. It is common to observe species such as Mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*), Wood Duck (*Aix sponsa*), and Common Merganser (*Mergus merganser*) among others.

Other notable species of birds which have documented occurance include the hawks and owls. Associated with this group of hawks are: Northern Goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*), Osprey (*Pandion haliaetus*), Coopers Hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*), Sharp-shinned Hawk (*Accipiter striatus*), American Kestrel (*Falco sparverius*), Peregrine Falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), Red-tailed Hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), Bald Eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*), and Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*). One species of raptor which is apparently expanding its range by following the development of pasture lands along the coastal regions is the White-Tailed or Black-Shouldered Kite (*Elanus caeruleus*). Owls include: Barn Owl (*Tyto alba*), Great Horned Owl (*Bubo virginianus*), Northern Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis*), Western Screech Owl (*Otus kennicottii*), Flammulated Owl (*Otus flammeolus*), Northern Pygmy Owl (*Glaucidium gnoma*), and Northern Saw-whet Owl (*Aegolius acadicus*). Barred Owls are also expanding their range into this watershed as a result of increased fragmentation of forest habitats and increased development of “edge” habitats.

There are five species of swallows within the watershed, and several species of warblers including the Wilson’s (*Wilsonia pusilla*), Orange-crowned (*Vermiora celata*), Yellow-rumped (*Dendroica coronata*), and the MacGillivray’s (*Oporornis tolmiei*), as well as the Yellow-breasted Chat (*Icteria virens*). Allen’s (*Selasphorus sasin*) and Rufous (*Selasphorus rufus*) Hummingbirds are often found near flower gardens on private property as are Lesser (*Carduelis psaltria*) and American Goldfinches (*Carduelis tristis*). The Downy (*Picoides pubescens*), Hairy (*Picoides villosus*), Pileated (*Dryocopus pileatus*) and other woodpeckers are also found in the watershed (Cape Arago Audubon Soc. 1995). Several of these are special status species of local significance, and need further analysis for future decisions on the management of this watershed.

HERPTILES

Reptiles and amphibians, collectively called herptiles, are unique because of their required habitats, secretive nature in the forest, and for some amphibians, their split aquatic/terrestrial life histories. These animals play a significant ecological role in forest communities, and they are often indicators of ecological health in these systems, because of their vulnerability when habitats are altered or eliminated. Generally, none of these species are very mobile and do not re-inhabit extirpated sites quickly. Herptiles need certain conditions in both the riparian and upland habitats to survive. Amphibians often forage and breed in the streams or the adjacent high-moisture micro-habitats within the riparian areas. Many amphibians also migrate from these breeding sites and use riparian habitats to disperse. This dispersal is not exclusively conducted in the riparian habitats, but reaches out into the uplands in apparently random patterns (Applegarth 1992). Some species of amphibians use upland habitats for major portions of their life functions, and rely on coarse woody material of specific dimension, or rocks of certain characteristics, in order to survive. Amphibians must keep their skins moist from their environment in order to breathe. In many cases, logs act as water storage facilities and are the only habitat wet enough (during the dryer seasons) to allow amphibians to survive. Coarse woody debris and moist talus are crucial habitat for several species of salamander (Bury et.al. 1991, Corn and Bury 1991a). Management of a land base can affect the production of prey for these species. It has been recorded that burning for site preparation after logging left conditions unsuitable for herptile prey base 15 years post burn (Langenstein 1993). One species, the Del Norte salamander (*Plethodon elongatus*), is endemic to a small area in the Pacific Northwest, and probably reaches its northern range limit within the South Fork Coquille Watershed.

Reptiles often use dryer sites across the landscape and will frequently use rocks, talus and/or down logs as habitat sites. These animals often forage on invertebrates which become available because of specific habitat conditions. These animals also provide a prey base for many larger species like mammalian predators and raptors.

Preliminary results of a June 1994 herptile survey in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed revealed 11 species of herptiles within the watershed. Of these 11 species, four are categorized as special status species: the Red-legged Frog, S. Torrent Salamander, Foothills Yellow-legged Frog, and the W. Pond Turtle (Wright & White 1995).

There are only general statewide range maps and general natural histories of species to describe habitat areas for these species. There have been no intensive inventories conducted by BLM for herptiles, so detailed information is not available. Spot checks and random "grab" sampling has confirmed that there is a variety of herptiles in the watershed; however there is a poor understanding of their population densities, distributions and trends. According to literature, there should be approximately 15 species of amphibians (14 native, 1 exotic) and 14 (all native) species of reptiles occupying the habitats within the watershed (Nussbaum et. al. 1983 and Webb & Shea 1990a). During the 1995 field season, extensive surveys were conducted (Wright & White 1995) for herptiles in proposed FY 1991 sold-unawarded timber sales in the Eckley Creek area and in portions of the Key Watershed. These very general surveys took approximately 20

hours of survey time. Results show that the proposed “Ugly Eckley” timber sale, contained: (9) specimens of Ensatina (*Ensatina eschscholtzi*), (4) Clouded (*Aneides ferreus*), (3) Western Red-backed (*Plethedon vehiculum*), and (1) Dunn’s salamanders (*Plethedon dunni*), (2) Northern Alligator lizards (*Elgaria coerulea*), (1) Northwestern Garter snake (*Thamnophis ordinoides*), (3) Pacific Tree frog (*Hyla regilla*), and (3) Red-legged frogs (*Rana aurora*) and many egg masses. Both riparian and upland habitats were surveyed. This information shows that only 40% of the expected amphibians and 14% of the expected reptile diversity was observed in the area. Random observations of herptile habitat during a variety of field exams, shows that Tailed Frogs (*Ascaphus truei*), Southern Torrent salamander (*Rhyacotriton variagatus*), Foothills Yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei*), and Gopher snake (*Pituophis melanoleucus*) also occur in the watershed. Western Pond turtle (*Clemmys marmorata*) are known to occur in the Powers pond. Additional information may be available in the near future from an ODFW and USFS cooperative effort to inventory herptiles in the South Fork Coquille, and from Georgia Pacific Corporation biologists also conducting inventories within the analysis area (VanDyke 1995 and Roberts 1995).

Bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) have been observed in several locations within the South Fork Coquille watershed (VanDyke 1995). This species has been documented on USFS lands and along the river, so it is likely to occur on private and BLM administered lands. This is significant because this exotic species preys heavily on native birds, fish and herptiles, including special status species.

There is little information from which to evaluate trends for most herptiles. Several species have been identified as special status species (see Special Status Species section), suggesting that these populations could be declining. There is general concern that many amphibian populations may be declining (Wake & Morowitz 1990). This is a data gap which could be filled through intensive local inventory and monitoring.

MAMMALS

A survey of the literature indicates that the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed is within the historic (Maser et. al. 1981) or present (Webb & Shea 1990b) ranges of 66 species of mammals. The results of the survey are shown in appendix Table 2-1. This table indicates that there are fewer mammalian species presently occupying the analysis area than probably occurred historically (prior to European cultural influences). While some species have been extirpated, several exotic mammals have been introduced into the area. The observed changes in mammalian species ranges are related to environmental changes which favor habitat generalists, as noted above.

There have been no specific inventories on mammalian populations. The current monitoring for mammals includes aerial and spotlight counts by ODFW, recognition of complaints for depredation or damage by ODFW, a short-term extensive bat survey by BLM (summer and fall

1995), and incidental observations by BLM, landowners, ODFW and U.S. Forest Service. Trends for most of the mammals are not known due to the lack of inventory and monitoring data. It is suspected that late seral related species are decreasing substantially, that early and mid seral related species are stable or increasing in trends as a result of habitat alteration from human developments. The population trends are very likely to follow the habitat trends within the watershed. Habitat loss is a major problem, particularly with later forest condition habitats.

The big game species occurring in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed include Roosevelt elk, black-tailed deer, black bear and mountain lion. All of these species are somewhat adaptable to human development, and may thrive in its presence. In the past few years there have been increased reports of mountain lion and bear sightings in southwestern Oregon, and big game harvests have been very favorable. This suggests that habitats for these species are doing well, although there is only general data (Toman 1995 and VanDyke 1995) for the watershed. Increased management is under way for the big game populations in the watershed. Roosevelt elk and Black-tailed deer have caused fence, pasture and tree damage at several locations on private lands. This has prompted changes in harvest seasons for both species. Within the ODFW Sixes hunt unit (approximately south of highway 42 and west of the Powers Highway), there is an effort to reduce both elk and deer populations on private lands and a desire (by ODFW) to increase populations on public lands. This desire is not supported by the final RMP for Coos Bay District. Specifically, there have been emergency hunts in the unit for elk in the areas of Powers Ranch/Salmon Creek, and for deer in the Dement, Catching and Baker Creek drainages on private lands during 1994 and 1995. In all, elk populations are increasing in both the Powers and Sixes big game units, while deer populations show a slight decline in the Powers unit and an increase in the Sixes unit (Toman 1995).

Mountain lions are tightly controlled by the private land owners because of livestock depredation problems. Black bear densities in the Sixes unit are high, and have caused significant damage to trees. Georgia Pacific Corp. is conducting "bear control" efforts in Baker Creek, where foraging and denning opportunities seem to be favorable at this time. Bear populations and densities seem to be lower in the Powers unit, where there are fewer damage complaints. Private landowners believe that hounding and poaching activities are still practiced on black bear in this area. Black Bear and Mountain Lion populations are increasing (Toman 1995), which may also be a response to the livestock conditions, the rising deer populations, and other factors.

There are at least two exotic species of mammals in the watershed. There is a small population of feral pigs (last estimate =11 animals) on private lands, approximately 4 miles up Dement Creek, near the community of Broadbent. These lands are not close to public lands and pose no immediate threat to the public. There is also a population of Fallow deer which is not considered to be feral but domestic. These animals are believed to be contained within fenced private lands (Toman 1995).

Beavers are an example of a “keystone” species which affect many other species through habitat development. Beaver fall trees and store limbs of shrubs and trees in streams, providing habitat for many vertebrate and invertebrate aquatic species. They also pool water, creating aquatic habitats that expand shorelines, promoting habitats for avian species. Pooling water also creates watering sites for large mammals and supports wetland vegetation for many small mammals. Beaver are a major forage for carnivores in the ecosystem. Trapping of beaver occurred at relatively low levels in early settlement years, and beaver seemed to be easily displaced from their traditional numbers (Root 1995).

Beaver still occur in the watershed, but use bank burrows along streams more commonly than developing dam complexes. Several factors may have contributed to this. In addition to early market trapping, beaver are considered a nuisance by many agricultural operators (Toman 1995), and are shot or trapped by the government animal damage control agent or private land owners to limit the amount of flooding of agriculture land. Additionally, the upper portions of many streams have been de-stabilized by past logging activities, rendering some areas less suitable to beaver complex development. Once these sites are broken down, it often takes substantial amounts of time and the proper vegetative condition to reestablish beaver complexes. Beaver are persistent in the watershed, but their complex developments have not fared as well. Fisheries monitoring has provided some data on public lands, but little quantified data has been assembled for the entire watershed. There is no recognized management plan for beaver other than to eliminate animals from problem areas.

River Otter have been observed at the bridge across the South Fork Coquille River near Baker Creek (Root 1995). This species is considered common along the South Fork Coquille River and throughout the Powers Ranger District (Shea 1995b). There has been no monitoring program on these species other than anecdotal comments from fisheries biologists in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.

There are up to ten species of bats occurring within the watershed, some of which are on the Forest Plan - ROD “survey and manage” list (Maser et.al. 1981). A level 1 survey is currently being implemented to examine if there are high activity areas of bats along one road corridor within the Key Watershed. These animals have not been inventoried or monitored in this watershed in the past, and a substantial data gap exists. There are two abandoned tunnels on private lands within the watershed. A large rock formation (Virginia Rock) also contains suitable habitat for a small population of bats. California Myotis has been observed along the river and has been killed by house cats (Shea 1995b).

Bobcat and their tracks are regularly seen within the analysis area (Root 1995), including the Key Watershed. There are minor efforts to manage this species through Animal Damage Control, and fur trapping does take some (Toman 1995). Little is known about this species in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed, but they are considered to be common in the Powers Ranger District (Shea 1995b).

Remote station photographic surveys have been conducted within the Key Watershed, primarily to survey for American Marten or Fisher (BLM 1994b). Neither of these species were photographed during the surveys, so it is believed there is little chance that they still occur in the watershed with any regularity. Camera surveys suggested a high occurrence of opossum, striped and spotted skunks, coyote, raccoon and black bear.

Red Tree voles (special status, ROD C-3) have not been surveyed by BLM, but should regularly occur in some of the forest habitat areas of the watershed. Older forests are expected to contain more dense populations than young forests (Corn and Bury 1991b).

Little is known about the White tree vole, except it tends to occur in red alder sites. There have been no surveys for this species.

SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES

The species composition in the Key Watershed is probably about the same today as it was in 1943. However, changes in the relative abundance of each species have probably occurred with canopy and understory development. It is highly unlikely that the present relative abundance of species in the Key Watershed represents the historic condition, because the proportion of late successional habitat has been diminished. The expected species ratios in the remainder of the watershed are probably similar to that of early times when substantial agricultural/grazing development on private land occurred in the area. In most of the analysis area, there is increased fragmentation and maintenance of earlier seral conditions. Species adaptable to disturbances and early to mid-seral habitats are likely to be increasing, while those needing the older habitats are probably decreasing. Thus, habitat alteration may be creating a problem for some species dependant on late seral conditions. These concerns have been recognized by many public and private land managers, and as a result local watershed associations, the State Forest Practices Act, and the Northwest Forest Plan are changing forest management.

For special status species which use other than just old growth habitats (ie. Del Norte salamander, American marten etc.) trends are probably going to fluctuate slightly, but will generally remain static within the Key Watershed. In the remainder of the watershed, there is the potential for long-term and persistent disturbances. This suggests that there will be an downward trend for special status species on these sites.

Additional information on birds, herptiles, mammals, special status species and their habitats is provided in Appendices 2, 8, 11, and 15, respectively.

Desired Conditions

Soils

For site productivity, the desired future conditions in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed are:

- To retain as much of the existing organic matter in the soil as possible during management activities in order to preserve the nutrient base and cycling processes within the organic matter.
- During site disturbing activities, minimize the loss of soil and nutrients to ensure continued growth of future forests without reduction in site index.
- During maintenance and construction of road surfaces, avoid sensitive locations or build accordingly to reduce landsliding or mass movement.
- Over the portion of the watershed under federal management, have a level of compaction that is less than 12 percent of the land surface.

Water Quality/Quantity

The desired water condition for the South Fork Coquille watershed, including the Salmon-Rowland-Baker Key Watershed, is for the discharge at the confluence with the North Fork Coquille and other key points in the watershed to meet State water quality standards (OAR Ch. 340-41), antidegradation policy, and fully support beneficial uses. Water quantity should be sufficient during the summer/fall period to maintain fish and aquatic resources.

Botanical Resources

The vegetative communities should promote native species and gene pools, while maintaining the structure, diversity, continuity and character of past forest communities, and providing for some sustainable level of human use. The watershed should contain all the various plants and plant communities in all stages of development necessary to support the full array of aquatic and terrestrial organisms it historically supported and in an abundance that does not threaten their long term existence in this watershed.

Specific strategies for meeting the above condition are contained in the Record of Decision/Standards and Guidelines (USDI 1994a). The document recognizes that the botanical resource is of fundamental importance in sustaining all organisms, and through a series of land use allocations, prescribes various management practices, and levels of retention designed to mitigate many of the adverse effects of resource extraction.

Some examples include:

- 15% of federal ownership or 1740 acres in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed are to remain in old growth condition.
 - riparian reserves varying between one site potential tree for non fish-bearing streams to two trees for fish-bearing streams. The average site potential tree height for BLM lands in the Lower South Fork Coquille is equal to 220 feet.
 - adherence to the aquatic conservation strategy in all timber management, road management and forest development activities.
 - retention of 6-8 green trees/acre on matrix lands and 12-18 trees/acre on connectivity lands.
 - retention of 120 linear feet of downed logs per acre in harvest units.
 - no harvest in stands over 80 years old in late successional reserves.
 - the Baker-Rowland-Salmon Key Watershed may have additional restrictions on product extraction.
- Inhibit, or at least slow, the introduction and spread of POC root-rot in the short-term, by implementing management strategies consistent with the Port-Orford-cedar Management Guide (POCMG). The long term goal is the elimination or control of the disease, or the development of a resistant strain of POC, which would allow it to be reestablished in previously infested areas.
 - In the short-term, minimize the risk of the introduction of new noxious weed species by human activities, and curtail or slow the spread of species currently present. The control or virtual eradication of noxious weeds is the long-term goal.

Fisheries

The desired condition of the fish community in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed is self-sustaining populations of native anadromous and resident species. For coho salmon, this means minimum summer seeding levels of approximately 1 coho parr/m² pool (Nickelsen et. al. 1992) and adult spawning escapement of at least 42 fish/mile (ODFW 1992). For other anadromous species, this means consistent or increasing annual spawning escapement as determined by spawning surveys (in lieu of numerical escapement goals, which have not been established for anadromous species other than coho). For resident fishes (cutthroat trout, suckers, and sculpins), this means consistent or increasing population estimates obtained via seining/electro-fishing (with the exception of speckled dace, which may decline as water quality improves).

The desired condition for the fish community in the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Tier 1 Key Watershed is that it functions as a "seed source" for the recolonization of adjacent basins; particularly with respect to at-risk stocks.

Aquatic Habitat

The desired condition for aquatic habitat in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is to meet or exceed the ODFW (1994) criteria for "good" habitat with respect to all parameters in all fish-bearing reaches, as verified by stream habitat surveys.

Furthermore, the desired condition for the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed is that it provide the best and most resilient aquatic habitat in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed, in order to sustain healthy populations of indigenous fish species and facilitate their dispersal and recolonization throughout their historic range in the watershed.

Riparian Condition

The desired riparian vegetation community in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed will be comprised of two somewhat distinct types. The lowland type will apply to riparian areas along the mainstem of the South Fork Coquille River from its confluence with the Middle Fork Coquille River upstream to the confluence of Mill Creek. The upland type will apply to the tributaries of the Lower South Fork Coquille River.

The lowland riparian community inhabits the floodplain and terraces along the lower mainstem South Fork Coquille River. As such, it is subjected to episodic flooding, as reported in BLM (1995a). Historical accounts of the area suggest that, at the time of first settlement, this area was dominated by mixed stands of myrtle, maple and ash, with widely dispersed cedar (Stickroth 1992, Wooldridge 1971, Hermann 1956). The desired condition would mimic this historic condition to the extent possible. Specifically, the desired condition of the lowland riparian areas along the Lower South Fork Coquille River is a mixed hardwood stand extending on both sides of the stream in accordance with the riparian reserve widths specified in USDI (1994a), and forming a canopy over the stream channel with at least 60% crown closure. The understory should include a lush profusion of native shrubs and herbaceous species. This condition will help restore natural hydrologic function, provide bank stability, enhance water quality and fish habitat, and support beaver and other native wildlife species.

The upland riparian community is associated with the higher gradient, more constrained tributaries of the Lower South Fork Coquille River. Anecdotal accounts (BLM 1995a), aerial photography, and the few remaining intact stands indicate that the overstory in this community was dominated by Port-Orford-cedar, redcedar, hemlock, and Douglas-fir, interspersed with hardwoods. Again, the desired condition should mimic this historic assemblage. Specifically, the desired condition is a mixed conifer overstory with at least 75% crown closure, consisting of

at least 75% conifers with an average age of at least 160 years, extending on both sides of the stream in accordance with the riparian reserve widths specified in USDI (1994a). The understory should include a mixture of native shrub species, varying with site conditions. This condition would help to restore the natural sediment regime and hydrologic function, provide a reliable source of large woody debris, enhance water quality and fish habitat, and support native wildlife species.

Riparian widths may be modified in certain areas (along intermittent streams) through the interdisciplinary team (NEPA) process when site-specific adjustments of riparian reserve boundaries would provide adequate habitat for species identified in Appendix J2 (FSEIS 1994), without compromising the Aquatic Conservation Strategy objectives.

Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat

The desired condition for all endemic wildlife species is to maintain a variety of seral conditions, each in sufficient quantity to sustain biologically diverse, and healthy ecosystems, by establishing a "management threshold" level above the "biological threshold". The "biological threshold is a point at which an irreversible change in a population or ecosystem may occur". The "management threshold" is a level of population above the minimum viable population level, which does not pose a risk to the population (Noss and Cooperrider 1994). This cannot be done solely on the public lands within the watershed and must be done through a cooperative effort. The full extent of this diversity can only be established by working with the private property owners in this watershed. The desired condition should provide habitat for the 147 species of birds, 14 species of herptiles, and approximately 64 species of mammals indigenous to the analysis area.

The local ecology is expected to affect the provincial ecological condition analyzed in the FSEIS and related documents. For example, riparian reserve widths retained during forest harvesting practices need to emulate as closely as possible normal disturbance patterns. These patterns may reduce or increase the width of riparian reserves in specific areas, leaving other acres of forest matrix to connect important wildlife habitats in adjacent drainages or watersheds. Several key species or groups of animals use riparian habitats for critical portions of their lives. American Marten, Red tree voles and bats are examples of species or groups of mammals for which site specific riparian reserve widths and other habitat needs should be determined during the forest management process. Key songbird and herptile production sites and habitat connectivity areas should also be considered.

Another desired future condition of the area is to provide and maintain suitable habitats (with respect to size, location, distribution, quality and quantity) in the watershed which will at least maintain existing special status species populations, or will encourage the de-listing or reduction in the special status categorization of any special status wildlife species. Such efforts need to consider linkages with adjacent or connected watersheds.

Fire and Disturbance

Modify fuel loading and continuity to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire. Wildfires that occur will be less severe, resulting in less impact to site productivity.

Disturbance is a normal part of a functioning ecosystem. Managed disturbances should emulate or re-establish natural disturbance regimes, and be used to obtain desired conditions for wildlife habitats, and modify vegetation composition and structure.

Additional information on fire and disturbance within the analysis area is provided in Appendix 5.

Transportation System

The desired condition for road management in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed is to have a road system which fulfills the management objectives set forth in the RMP, and which ensures the health and safety of its users. The TMO's will help reduce the risk potential of existing roads. Future management decisions concerning roads will use an interdisciplinary team approach to evaluate risks, control and prevent road-related runoff and sediment production, identify new road locations as well as those existing roads to be closed, and identify culverts blocking fish passage through the NEPA process.

The Transportation Management Objectives (TMO's) presented throughout this analysis are only recommendations on how to achieve the desired condition. These recommendations have to be brought forth as proposed projects and carried through the environmental analysis (NEPA) process. Once that decision making process is completed, funding must be available.

Additional information on the transportation system within the analysis area is provided in Appendix 14.

Restoration Opportunities & Project Recommendations


Soils

- When installing new culverts or building new roads, provide for subsurface drainage (i.e. rock blanket or percolation pipe) at culvert areas or saturated ground conditions to minimize earthflow movements and minimize swelling of clay soils.
- For new road construction, avoid high hazard areas (as indicated on Figure 27). End haul materials to stable land surfaces. Provide rip-rap structures to the toe of cut banks to reduce earth flow and slumping.
- Examine existing roads for potential land sliding or movement hazards. Consider rerouting road segments determined to be in high-hazard or actively moving locations.
- Maintain a buffer strip of at least 25 to 75 feet of live vegetation on each side of first and second order draws. Where possible, leave live vegetation in ditchlines, especially where roadside ditches meet stream channels.
- Manage fire intensity during burning for site preparation to minimize loss of organic matter and limit sediment delivery to stream channels.
- Employ harvest systems that fully suspend or at least provide one-end suspension to prevent compaction. Use ground based systems only where the level of compaction of the land surface will remain below the 12 percent limit after the harvest activity.
- Leave a higher density of trees (108-134 tpa) during commercial thinning in the Dement, Rowland and Baker Creek drainages to reduce effects of windthrow.

Water Quality/Quantity

- Further collaborative management by offering information and technical assistance to local watershed associations.
- Update BLM's GIS hydrography theme so that it accurately represents the stream network on the ground, and code attributes to reflect real conditions (i.e. accurate stream order).
- Develop a watershed restoration project inventory to identify problems and trends, plan treatments, and catalog completed projects. Successes, failures and recommended changes in treatment methods could be documented. Consider adding a watershed restoration theme as a GIS layer.

Figure 27. Soil hazard map for the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed.



TURBIDITY/SEDIMENT

- Inventory the road system and drainage paths to channels in Salmon-Baker-Roland Key Watershed. Determine sediment sources and high risk of failure areas. (Example: Pacific Watershed Associates' Budd Creek Watershed Assessment Report - 1993.)
- Correct areas where sediment is entering streams from roads and other compacted or nonvegetated surfaces.
- When decommissioning roads, reestablish natural drainage by removing all culverts and fill material.

LOWFLOW

- Determine low flow needs for fish and aquatic life, wildlife and recreation on BLM administered lands.
- Work with ODFW to obtain instream flow water rights where necessary.
- Accelerate red alder stand conversions and conifer release along mainstem streams in the watershed through riparian silviculture treatments. Careful temporal and spacial scheduling of treatments, and monitoring may be necessary to prevent stream temperature increases above DEQ criteria for the critical summer period.
- Reconstruct channel features in step/pool and pool/riffle stream types, where they do not meet the desired conditions. This may include gradient control log/rock steps to create pools and aid near surface groundwater recharge.
- Look for opportunities where floodplain connectivity could be regained.

Botanical Resources

- Restoration activities should be concentrated on the oak woodlands, the vegetative type most in danger of disappearing. A prescribed burn would favor the ecology of these prairies. When planning management actions, refer to the botany map and note the proximity of any native prairies that may be treated in the same or concurrent action. The prairie in the Rowland Creek drainage (T31S R12W Sec. 6 S½ S½) is the only oak woodland on BLM land. Therefore, it would be prudent to treat this prairie with a prescribed burn to ensure this vegetation type does not vanish from the watershed. This area was last burned in 1932 in the large Rowland Creek fire. The Rowland Creek road (31-12-34.0), which is just south of the prairie, could be made passable to allow access for equipment. Alternately, a burn plan could be written that would accept some underburn in the timber, negating the need to bring equipment directly under the prairie. The prairie currently has some introduced species, but is

mostly native. Burning would recede the conifer, hardwood, and brush encroachment, and encourage new oak seedlings.

- In accordance with Coos Bay District policy, future restoration projects should include developing a comprehensive list of native plant species, including grass, forb, shrub, and tree species that would be appropriate for the various types of restoration projects, and identifying seed or plant material sources for propagation within the watershed. Planners should arrange for a nursery to propagate material in advance of projects, so there will be enough plant material to complete the project without relying entirely on wild seed/propagules.
- It is assumed that private landowners manage their lands in the early seral condition (<60 years old). A majority of BLM-administered lands may need to be managed in mid to late seral conditions to ensure the survival of native plant species, which depend upon the habitat provided by mature forests.

Noxious Weeds

- Inventory entire watershed for location of noxious weeds by species and land ownership.
- Input inventory data into the GIS database and create a Noxious Weed and Disease layer.
- Develop a cooperative Noxious Weed Management Plan specific to the Lower South Fork Coquille.
- Increase the noxious weed control budget from \$260,000 to \$2,535,000 annually.
- Develop washing stations, or require cleaning of all heavy equipment for removal of soil contaminated with seeds, before moving into or within the watershed.
- Remove broom species by mechanical and chemical means. Initiate biological control of all broom species.
- Revegetation of disturbed sites by a complex of native species should be a required part of a comprehensive reclamation strategy.
- Develop educational programs to disseminate the knowledge of the species' threat, control, and impacts to human activities.

Fisheries

- Projects geared toward enhancing fish populations in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed are primarily addressed under the Aquatic Habitat and Riparian Condition issues.

- Coordinate with ODFW in the development of steelhead spawning escapement and summer seeding level goals by providing survey data, map information, and analysis.
- Coordinate with ODFW in developing a population database for non-salmonid fish species.
- Work with the U.S. Forest Service, ODFW, ODF, Coquille Watershed Association, and private landowners to verify (field check) the upstream limits of resident and anadromous fish within the analysis area.
- Assist ODFW with field sampling for genetic analysis of native populations.

Aquatic Habitat

PROTECT EXISTING HABITAT

- Complete an inventory of sediment sources in the Rowland and Baker Creek drainages, and treat identified sources to reduce fine sediment delivery.
- Encourage and facilitate measures to reduce impacts of livestock to riparian areas on private lands within and outside the Key Watershed, through cooperative efforts such as Bring Back the Natives and the Coquille Watershed Association.
- Cooperate with ODFW and Georgia Pacific Corp. in regular monitoring and maintenance of the Baker Creek fish ladder.
- Require all mining claimants to submit a detailed Plan of Operation, including reclamation measures, which demonstrates how Aquatic Conservation Strategy objectives will be met.

RESTORE DEGRADED HABITAT

- An excellent opportunity exists to do fish habitat restoration work on Salmon Creek within the 420-acre BLM parcel in T31S-R12W-Sec23. This reach is nearly devoid of large woody debris. There is sufficient standing timber adjacent to the channel from which to select whole trees for in-stream placement. Similar opportunities may also exist on Salmon Creek in T31S-R12W-Sec27 SW¼. Another restoration opportunity exists in the BLM parcels on mainstem Rowland Creek (T31S-R12W-Sec5 &7), where large logs from two old log stringer bridges, cull logs purchased from area mills, or standing trees in the immediate vicinity could be used for in-stream placement to address the large woody debris deficit. Such structures would enhance scour and create much-needed complex rearing habitat in these areas.
- Because the BLM manages only a small fraction (approximately 25%) of the fish-bearing reaches in the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed, and an even smaller proportion of the Lower South Fork Coquille sub-basin, most of the needed stream habitat restoration work will involve private landowners. The BLM should encourage and facilitate cooperative efforts

between ODFW and private landowners in the interest of comprehensive watershed restoration, through Bring Back the Natives and other such groups.

- Opportunities exist for re-establishing beaver complexes, particularly in the Rowland Creek drainage, where additional water storage would augment critically low summer flows.
- Cooperate with ODFW and private land managers in completing comprehensive stream habitat inventories on Warner Creek, Rhoda Creek, Yellow Creek, Beaver Creek, Long Tom Creek, Mill Creek, Woodward Creek, and the Lower South Fork Coquille River. Additionally, expand the present stream habitat inventory of Salmon Creek to include at least the fish-bearing reaches of the following tributaries: Deep Creek, Waterpipe Creek, 2X4 Creek, Pyburn Creek, Slide Creek, Fuzzy Gulch, Riggs Creek, Flannigan Creek, Dude Creek, and Tim Creek. Use the resulting data to direct future stream habitat restoration work.
- Evaluate all culverts on federally-administered roads in the Lower South Fork Coquille sub-basin for fish passage, beginning with the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed, and using the fish distribution map to prioritize the review process. Encourage, through collaborative management, a comprehensive culvert inventory on State, County, commercial and private roads throughout the remainder of the watershed. Correct passage problems identified through this process to facilitate recolonization.
- Cooperate with ODFW and private landowner in determining the feasibility of restoring Mill Creek to its historic channel (in T31S-R12W-Sec13 SW¹/₄) and evaluating the impacts of doing so.
- Cooperate with ODFW and private landowner in evaluating the cascade near the mouth of Rowland Creek, in order to determine the extent to which human disturbance has created or compounded the obstruction, and the feasibility of restoring fish passage through it.

Riparian Areas

RESERVE BOUNDARIES

- Any modifications to the interim riparian reserve widths should be done through the NEPA process with full interdisciplinary consensus. Flexibility is available in the designation and design of the riparian reserves and should be used for the benefit of both fish and wildlife resource values.

RESTORATION

- The restoration of riparian areas in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed cannot be accomplished by the creation of an end product. Rather, it is a matter of curtailing further deterioration of this watershed, while putting it on a trajectory of improved health that will, in time, result in the watershed functioning in a manner similar to the way it did historically.
- The first step is the identification and conservation of the most intact portions of the watershed, such areas include those shown in Figure 17. These areas are important as reference sites, which can be further studied to discern historical conditions, functions, and process rates. They act as refugia for organisms which have suffered as a result of human disturbances throughout the rest of the watershed. They also act as source areas from which organisms can disperse once conditions are more suitable in the rest of the watershed. Reference sites identified during this analysis are 2X4 Creek T31S-R12W-Sec. 21, and Baker Creek T31S-R12W-Sec. 16 NW¹/₄.
- Once refugia have been protected, work in the riparian area itself can begin. As stated, most of the riparian areas in the upper portions of the watershed, which historically contained numerous mature conifers, now contain hardwoods. Few of the existing hardwoods are mature. The historical condition may eventually return if these hardwood-dominated areas are left unmanaged. However, the process can be greatly accelerated by actively managing the riparian area. In general, a buffer should be left along the stream to provide shade, anchor points for mobile woody debris, and refugia for organisms which may be displaced by management activities. The stability of a site should never be jeopardized to establish conifers.
- An attempt should be made to identify those sites which were dominated by conifers, hardwoods, or both. Since myrtle and bigleaf maple tend to resprout following killing of the stem, their presence now, especially as multiple-stem trees or large single-stem trees, indicates they occupied a given site historically. Also, it should be recognized that there may have been mature conifers scattered among these hardwoods. This may be determined by the presence of stumps. In cases where there are young, multiple-stem myrtles and maples present, conifers can be established by removing several stems from multiple stem trees and planting conifers in the created gaps. Mature myrtles and bigleaf maples should never be removed. Conifers can be established in areas that are dominated by alder by girdling or removing small patches of alder and planting conifers in the created gaps. In stands dominated by a mixture of hardwoods, alders should be preferentially removed to create the canopy gaps, below which conifers can be planted. Appropriate consideration should be given to follow-up maintenance of project sites. Some sites may require multiple treatments to sustain their recovery.
- Ample opportunity exists in the Rowland-Baker-Salmon Key Watershed for silvicultural management of riparian areas on public land, to accelerate progression toward desired conditions. The extensive riparian survey completed by BLM in 1994 indicates that there are sections of Rowland Creek in T31S-R12W-Sec. 7, and Baker Creek in T31S-R12W-Sec. 17

where management (e.g., hardwood conversion/conifer release) would be appropriate. The goal of such an effort would be to link the isolated riparian areas currently meeting desired condition (Figure 17).

- The 1994 BLM riparian survey also indicates numerous restoration opportunities on private land. BLM should encourage cooperative riparian restoration efforts between ODFW and private/corporate landowners in the Key Watershed.

Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat

There is some uncertainty for this section of the watershed analysis, because there are no inventories to determine what specifically may be wrong (if anything), or what components of wildlife habitats are in need of restoration or projects. Prior to any wildlife habitat restoration efforts:

- Complete needed inventories, including species inventories, establishing wildlife/habitat guilds, identification of specific resource deficiencies or problems.
- Initiate intensive monitoring procedures to record habitat distribution and assess trends.
- Complete habitat mapping to show the types, locations and amounts of habitats (Brown 1985) for the entire watershed. Use this habitat map to develop guilds for wildlife habitat analysis and to conduct a “Fragstats” (McGarigal & Marks 1993) type of spacial habitat analysis.
- Established reference sites (one of which will probably include at least a portion of the 2X4 Creek drainage), and establishment of suitable monitoring to evaluate the habitat and population trends within the watershed (see Appendix 8).
- Establish a GIS habitat database to store information collected during the proposed inventory and monitoring projects.
- Establish a “management threshold” for at least the Key Watershed, but also through the entire watershed if possible, which is higher than the “biological threshold”. This means that a biological threshold should be established prior to other management actions. After establishing these thresholds, manage the wildlife resources at, or above, the respective minimum management thresholds.
- Following intensive inventories and spacial analysis, set specific management objectives for wildlife habitat in the Key Watershed and other lands within this subbasin.

These recommendations should provide opportunities to initiate projects which apply the proper scientific and engineering techniques for restoration, and the measurable objectives necessary to evaluate them.

- Probably the greatest opportunity the BLM has for ecological restoration is in developing cooperative partnerships with all landowners in the watershed. If all landowners are able to see the entire watershed and its functions together, all parties may be able to see how they each play a part in how well it is able to function. The BLM may be able to provide cost share money and leadership/facilitator resources toward this effort.
- Maintain connectivity to essential habitats and avoid creation of dispersal barriers by managing for good distribution of habitat materials. Manage for suitable micro-site habitats across the landscape by maintaining supplies of coarse woody material in all decay classes for the long term and connection to usable habitat sites (ie: uplands). Modification of the Standard FEMAT recommendations for buffer widths should be used in this effort by using the full range of flexibility in determining the width of riparian buffers and using the allocated acres to approximate normal disturbance patterns on the landscape.
- Provide adequate coarse woody material across the landscape, on uplands and in riparian areas. Sites for this action may include past or future timber harvest units, or timber salvage areas and should be specifically identified throughout the above inventory process.
- Provide adequate numbers and sizes of snags with sufficiently diverse decay classes, across the landscape, on upland and riparian area habitats. Sites for this action may include past or future timber harvest units, or areas where snag falling contracts occurred, and should be specifically identified through the above inventory process.
- Provide seedings and/or plantings (forage seeding, multi-species tree planting, and under planting of tree and shrub species) to produce habitat for special status animal species. Sites for this action may include past or future timber harvest units, and should be specifically identified through the above inventory process. This action will require close coordination with the resource area silviculturist.
- Complete forage seeding with native seed on portions of timber sale units. This may be considered to be in direct competition with growing Douglas fir, however edges of units (the first 100 to 150 feet) may provide sufficient forage for mitigation. These are generally considered to be the highest use areas of any opening for large ungulates.
- Other potential projects may include constructing brush piles for small mammal cover along the edges and away from roads at new regeneration harvest units.
- Encourage scientific research and scientifically credible silvicultural projects to produce needed late seral habitats outside of the matrix lands.

- Protect and/or restore deteriorated seeps to good condition.
- Minimize barriers to wildlife created by roads, thinnings, and other management features.
- Promote stream habitat restoration to include terrestrial wildlife considerations.
- Work with all landowners to promote holistic management approach.

Fire and Disturbance

- Fire should be used as a disturbance tool to promote the desired vegetative composition and associations within the watershed. However, efforts should be made to better understand the historical role of fire in creating, maintaining and restoring the vegetative composition (eg. meadows).
- Inventory and map areas of the watershed for accumulations of down woody debris. By knowing this information, the potential fire severity and impacts to site productivity associated with those accumulations can be understood, and strategies developed to minimize fire severity and adverse impacts to site productivity.

Transportation System

- Conduct a comprehensive culvert inventory to identify failed or undersized culverts which are in need of replacement. Culverts should be sized to pass a 100-year theoretical flood.
- Stabilize and close, or decommission by subsoiling, approximately 6.9 miles on road within the Lower South Fork Watershed based on the TMO's.
- Reconstruct roads and associated drainage features on approximately 1.7 miles of road which pose a substantial risk.
- Upgrade road surfacing by rocking approximately 2.7 miles of dirt road to reduce sedimentation.
- Encourage and cooperate with adjacent landowners in the basin to provide adequate road closure, decommissioning, and weather proofing to roads to reduce erosional processes and sediment yield.

Forest Products

When considering future timber harvest activities in the Lower South Fork Coquille watershed, the team started with a base map (Figure 18) showing stand ages on lands managed by the BLM. The team then proceeded to review recent aerial photographs of all sections containing stands with commercial thinning opportunities (40-60 years old), hardwood conversion opportunities,


and regeneration harvest opportunities (>60 years old). During this process, each potential harvest area was evaluated under the following criteria:

- Does the stand provide critical wildlife habitat connectivity or refugia?
- Is the stand within a spotted owl core area, or occupied by marbled murrelets?
- Is the stand in an area that is heavily impacted by recent timber harvest?
- Would harvest of the stand conflict with other activities or plans?
- Is harvest of the stand precluded at this time due to operational infeasibility?
- Is the stand situated on hazardous soils?

Through this systematic process, some stands were eliminated from consideration at this time. The remainder were carried forward as proposed harvest units (Figure 28) for consideration in the EA process for timber sales in the next ten years, with the following recommendations:

- Concentrate harvest on those areas proposed for commercial thinnings.
- When considering regeneration harvest units, preferentially select those outside the Key Watershed.
- In regeneration harvest areas, consider thinning trees at least 10 years prior to harvest to produce wind firmness.

Figure 28. Proposed harvest units on BLM-administered lands in the Lower South Fork Coquille Watershed for this decade (1996-2006).



Silvicultural opportunities to restore or move vegetation toward desired conditions on federal lands include:

- Inventory stands less than 80 years old in LSR's to determine appropriate treatments that will accelerate the development of old growth characteristics.
- Density management in riparian reserves and LSR stands less than 80 years old to meet objectives of accelerating the production of large trees.
- Density management to increase light levels at the forest floor to stimulate herb and shrub production.
- Density management combined with site preparation and underplanting of shade tolerant species to help establish a multi-storied stand.
- Perform needed maintenance on recently logged units and riparian reserves to ensure they maintain a trajectory toward the desired conditions.
- Continue to monitor harvest units for species composition and stand health through stocking surveys and stand exams.
- Fertilize thinned stands to increase tree growth.
- Restore stands that have been converted from conifers to primarily brush or hardwoods through past human activities.
- Implement Port-Orford-cedar management guidelines.

References

- Agee, James K. 1993. *Fire Ecology of Pacific northwest Forests*. Island Press, Washington D.C. p. 205-225.
- Applegarth, J. 1992. BLM Wildlife Biologist - Eugene District, Presentation to Coos Bay District on Herptile life histories and characteristics, 1992.
- Bakke, P. 1993. Water temperature monitoring report, Powers Ranger District. Siskiyou National Forest, Powers, OR.
- Behnke, R. J. 1992. Native trout of western North America. American Fisheries Society Monograph 6. American Fisheries Society. Bethesda, Maryland.
- Beschta, B.L., Bilby, R.E., Brown, G.W., Holtby, L.B., and Hofstra, T.D. 1987. Stream temperature and aquatic habitat: fisheries and forestry interactions. Chapter Six In: *Streamside Management, Forestry and Fishery Interactions*. Edited by E.O. Salo, and T.W. Cundy. Contribution No. 57. Institute of Forest Resources. University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. pp. 191-232.
- Bilby, R.E., Fransen, B.R., and Bisson, P.A. Unpublished. Role of coho salmon carcasses in maintaining stream productivity: evidence from nitrogen and carbon stable isotope analysis. Research presented at AFS Conference, Sun River, Oregon, February, 1994.
- BLM. 1984. Stream habitat inventory of Rowland Creek. Conducted by Mike Wisdom. Coos Bay District BLM, North Bend, Oregon.
- BLM. 1994a. Stream temperature monitoring report, summer 1994, MWRA. Coos Bay District BLM, North Bend, Oregon.
- BLM. 1994b. Coos Bay District, American Marten and furbearer photographic monitoring surveys in the Lower South Fork Watershed Analysis Area. Field notes and photo record.
- BLM. 1995a. Oral histories of the Lower South Fork Coquille. Compiled by Michael S. Kellett. Coos Bay District BLM, North Bend, Oregon.
- BLM. 1995b. Turbidity sampling report, winter 1994/1995, MWRA. Coos Bay District BLM, North Bend, Oregon.
- Brown, B. 1995. Deep time and shallow history: wild salmon in the ecology and history of the Pacific Rim. Oregon Chapter American Fisheries Society 1995 annual meeting and symposium, February 15-17, Ashland, Oregon.
- Brown, R. E., ed. 1985. *Management of Wildlife and Fish Habitats in Forests of Western Oregon and Washington*. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest and USDI, Bureau of Land Management.
- Bury, R. B., P. S. Corn and K.B. Aubry. 1991. Regional Patterns of Terrestrial Amphibian Communities in Oregon and Washington., L.F. Ruggiero, K.B. Aubry and M.H.Huff, Tech. Coordinators., "Wildlife and Vegetation of Managed Douglas-fir Forests.", USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station., PNW-GTR-285., Portland, OR. P 341-350.
- Busby, P. J., Wainwright, T. C., and Waples, R. S. 1994. Status review for Klamath Mountains Province steelhead. U.S. Dep. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-19, 130 p.
- Cape Arago Audubon Society and Bureau of Land Management. 1995. Birding the Southern Oregon Coast, review manuscript, pp.38 - 41.

Cheadle, V. I. 1957. Research in weed control in California. Proc. Ninth Annual California Weed Conference. Fresno, CA. January 22-24, pp. 44-51.

Chen, G. K. 1991. Summary report: Salmon Creek Basin fisheries and habitat survey, July 1991. Powers Ranger District, Siskiyou National Forest, Powers, Oregon.

CH2MHILL. 1993. Coos County water supply plan.

Corn, P. S. and R.B. Bury. 1991a. Terrestrial Amphibian Communities in the Oregon Coast Range., page 305-317.

Corn P. S. and R.B. Bury. 1991b. Small Mammal Communities in the Oregon Coast Range., Wildlife and Vegetation of Unmanaged Douglas-Fir Forests. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. PNW-GTR 285. Portland, OR. pp. 241-253

DEQ. 1988. 1988 Oregon Statewide Assessment of Nonpoint Sources of Water Pollution. Portland, Oregon: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.

DEQ. 1993. South Fork Coquille Turbidity Data. Unpublished information, Southwest Regional Office, Coos Bay, OR.

DEQ. 1994. Coquille River & Estuary Water Quality Report Total Daily Maximum Load Program. Portland, Oregon: Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.

Dodge, O. 1969. *Pioneer History of Coos and Curry Counties*. Western World, Bandon, Oregon.

FEMAT. 1993. Forest Ecosystem Management: An ecological, economic, and social assessment. Report of the Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team. July 1993.

Forsberg, B. O. 1992. *Oregon Rivers Information System (ORIS) version 2.2*. Portland, Oregon: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

Fowells, H.A. 1965. *Silvics of Forest Trees of the United States*. USDA Handbook 271, 762 p.

Franklin, J. F. and C.T.Dyrness. 1988. *Natural Vegetation Of Oregon And Washington.*, Oregon State University Press, ISBN 0-87071-356-6.

Frissell, C. 1992. Cumulative effects of land use on salmon habitat in southwest Oregon coastal streams - Ph.D. thesis. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon.

Guetterman, John. 1993. *Special Status Species List for Coos Bay District*. USDI, Bur. of Land Management, Coos Bay District.

Hamilton, J. A. R. and Remington, J. D. 1962. Salmon and trout of the South Fork Coquille River in relation to the Eden Ridge hydroelectric project. Pacific Power & Light Co., Portland, Oregon.

Hansen A. J. and D. L. Urban. 1989. "Avian Response to Landscape Pattern: The Role Of Species Life Histories." *Landscape Ecology*. Forest Science Department , Oregon State University , Hatfield Science Center, Newport, OR.

Hansen, E. M., M. Wilson, and D. Zobel. 1994. Roadside surveys for Port-Orford-cedar root disease on the Powers Ranger District, Siskiyou National Forest. Oregon State University project report to the USDA Forest Service, February 14, 1994.

Harr, R.D. 1976. Forest Practices and Streamflow in Western Oregon. PNW-49.

- Hermann, B. 1959. *The Baltimore Colony and Pioneer Recollections*. Baltimore Colony Centennial Committee, Oregon.
- Isaacson, D. 1992. History of biological control of gorse. *Broom/Gorse Quarterly*, Spring 1992, Vol. Issue 2.
- Langenstein, S. 1993. BLM Wildlife Biologist-Coos Bay District, Personal observation on the "Wish Ten" timber sale units, U.S.D.I., BLM, Coos Bay District., unpubl.
- Line, L. and Goldsmith, S. 1993. Silence of the songbirds. *National Geographic Society*, Vol. 183(6), pp. 68-90.
- Marcus, M.D., Young, M.K., Noel, L.E., and Mullen, B.A. 1990. Technical Report RM-188 - Salmonid-habitat relationships in the Western United States. USDA, USFS, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experimental Station, Fort Collins, Colorado 80526.
- Maser, C., B. R. Mate, J. Franklin and C.T. Dyrness. 1981. Natural History of Oregon Coast Mammals. USDA Forest Service Gen. Tech. Rpt. PNW-133.
- McGarigal, K. and B. Marks. 1993. Fragstats: Spatial Pattern Analysis Program for Quantifying Landscape Structure., Forest Science Department, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR.
- Miller, G. 1991. Weedy brooms of Oregon. Oregon Interagency Noxious Weed Symposium. Interagency Noxious Weed Symposium Proceedings. Corvallis, OR.
- Miller, G. 1992. Scotch broom seed production and apion fuscirostre. *Broom/Gorse Quarterly*. Fall 1992, Vol. 1 Issue 4.
- Morrison, M. L., and E. C. Meslow. 1983. *Avifauna Associated with early Growth Vegetation on Clear cuts in the Oregon Coast Range*. U.S.D.A. Forest Service research paper., PNW-305, March 1983.
- National Geographic Society. 1993. *Field Guide to Birds of North America*. Second edition.
- Nehlsen, W., J. E. Williams, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1991. Pacific salmon at the crossroads: stocks at risk from California, Oregon, Idaho, and Washington. *Fisheries*. 16(2): 4-21.
- Nickelson, T. E., J. W. Nicholas, A. M. McGie, R. B. Lindsay, D. L. Bottom, R. J. Kaiser, and S. E. Jacobs. 1992. Status of anadromous salmonids in Oregon coastal basins. ODFW Report.
- Noss, R. F. and A. Y. Cooperrider. 1994. *Saving Nature's Legacy Protecting and Restoring Biodiversity*. Washington, D.C. and Covelo, California: Island Press.
- Nussbaum, R. A., E. D. Brodie and R. M. Storm. 1983. *Amphibians & Reptiles of the Pacific Northwest*. Moscow, Idaho: University of Idaho Press.
- ODFW. 1992. Draft: Coquille basin fish management plan. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Charleston, Oregon.
- ODFW. 1993a. Review of T & E, Sensitive and Stocks of Concern. Southwest Regional Fish Management Meeting. February 9-10, 1993.
- ODFW. 1993b. Silent Spring revisited - What's happening to the migatory birds? *Wild Flyer*, Vol. 4(2), pp. 1-4.
- ODFW. 1994. *Aquatic inventory project habitat benchmarks*. Corvallis, Oregon: Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

- ODFW. 1995. An evaluation of winter steelhead hatchery-to-wild ratios on the South Fork Coquille River in the run year 1992-93. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Charleston, Oregon.
- Oregon Forest Industries Council. 1993. 1992 Oregon Stream Monitoring Project.
- Oregon State University (OSU). 1982. Average dry-season precipitation in southwest Oregon, May through September. OSU Extension Service, EM 8226.
- Oregon Water Resources Dept. (OWRD). 1963. South Coast Basin. State Water Resources Board, Salem, OR.
- OWRD. 1994. Water rights database, Coos County. Watermaster District XIX.
- Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC). 1995. Preseason report 1 - stock abundance analysis for 1995 ocean salmon fisheries. Pacific Fisheries Management Council. Portland, Oregon.
- Parker, B. L., Hatch, D. R. and James, G. 1995. Current status of Pacific lamprey in the Columbia Basin and recommendations for recovery. Oregon Chapter American Fisheries Society 1995 annual meeting and symposium, February 15-17, Ashland, Oregon.
- Peterjohn, B. G. and J. R. Sauer. 1993. "North American Breeding Bird Survey Annual Summary 1990-1991." Reprinted from *Bird Populations*. Vol. 1. 1-15.
- Peterson, E. R. and A. Powers. 1952. *A Century of Coos and Curry*. Coos - Curry Pioneer & Historical Assoc. Caldwell, Idaho: Caxton Printers Ltd.
- Pike, L. H. 1978. The importance of epiphytic lichens in mineral cycling. *Bryologist* 81(2): 247-257.
- Raphael, M. G. 1988. "Long-term Trends in Abundance of Amphibians, Reptiles, and Mammals on Douglas-Fir Forests of Northwestern California." Proceeding of the Symposium: Management of Amphibians, Reptiles and Small mammals in North America. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report RM-166.
- Roberts, K. 1995. Wildlife Biologist - Georgia Pacific Corporation, Personal Communication.
- Root, R. and E. 1995. Powers residents, Personal Comm. with Steve Langenstein.
- Salathe', T., ed. 1991, Conserving Migratory Birds, International Council for Bird Preservation, Technical Publication No. 12.
- Shea, D. 1995a. U.S. Forest Service Wildlife Biologist, Personal Communication with Steve Langenstein.
- Shea, D. and V.V. 1995b. Powers area resident and Wildlife Biologist with U.S. Forest Service Powers Ranger District., Personal Communication with Steve Langenstein.
- Shorb, W. 1995. Personal communication during informal interview at Coquille Watershed Association Office, Coquille, Oregon.
- Stickroth, R.W. 1992. *Captain L. L. Williams*. Myrtle Point Printing, Myrtle Point, Oregon.
- Strahler, A. N. 1957. Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. *Transactions of the American Geophysical Union*, 38p.
- Taylor, R. L. and P. W. Adams. 1986. Red Alder Leaf Litter and Streamwater Quality in Western Oregon. *Water Resources Bull.* 22(4):629-635

Teensma, P. D. A., J. T. Rienstra and M. A. Yeiter. 1991. Preliminary Reconstruction and Analysis of Change in Forest Stand Age Classes of the Oregon Coast Range From 1850 to 1940. USDI Bureau of Land Management. Technical Note T/N OR-9.

Terbough, J. 1989. *Where have all the Birds Gone*. Princeton University Press. Chapter 5, 40 - 58. Chapter 7.

Thompson, K.E., A.K. Smith and J.E. Lauman. 1972. Fish and Wildlife Resources of the South Coast Basin, Oregon, and their Requirements. Portland, Oregon: Oregon State Game Commission.

Toman, J. 1995. ODFW Biologist, personal communication with Steve Langenstein.

Trotter, P. C. 1989. Coastal cutthroat trout: a life history compendium. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 118:463-473.

Trotter, P. C. 1995. Letter to Washington Trout, dated June 14, 1995.

USDA. 1994. Reply to Port-Orford-cedar and the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species. Letter to Chief, Office of Scientific Authority, Washington, D.C.

USDA. 1995. Upper South Fork Coquille subbasin watershed analysis. Siskiyou National Forest, Powers, Oregon.

USDI. 1987. Supplement to the Northwest Area noxious weed control program. Final Environmental Impact Statement.iii.

USDI. 1994a. *Record of Decision for Amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management Planning Documents Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl and Standards and Guidelines for Management of Habitat for Late-Successional and Old-Growth Forest Related Species Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl*.

USDI. 1994b. *Final Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Habitat for Late Successional and Old-Growth Forest related Species Within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl*. Vol. I.

USDI. 1994c. Federal Register Notice, Part IV, Fish and Wildlife, 50 CFR Part 17 Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Animal Candidate Review for Listing as Endangered or Threatened Species; Proposed Rule., Nov. 15, 1994.

USDI. 1994d. Coos Bay District Proposed Resource Management Plan Environmental Impact Statement, Vol 1-3. And Record of Decision.

USDI. 1994e. Middle Fork Coquille Watershed Analysis. Myrtlewood Resource Area, Coos Bay District.

VanDyke, D. 1995. ODFW-Wildlife Biologist, Personal Communication with Steve Langenstein.

Wake, D.B., and H.J. Morowitz. 1990. Declining Amphibian Populations-A Global Phenomenon?. A report by the board on Biology, National Research Council, Irvine, CA. as cited in J.H.K. Pechman , D.E. Scott, R.D. Semlitch, J.P. Calwell, L.J. Vitt, J.W. Gibbons., Declining Amphibian Populations : The Problem of Separating Human Impacts from Natural Fluctuations. Science., Vol. 253. 892-895., 1991.

Webb, L. and D. Shea. 1990a. Checklist of Amphibians and reptiles on the Powers Ranger District., U.S.D.A. Forest Service - Powers Ranger Station.

Webb, L. and D. Shea. 1990b. Checklist of Mammals on the Powers Ranger District., U.S.D.A. Forest Service - Powers Ranger Station.

Webb, L. and D. Shea. 1991. Birds of the Powers Ranger District Siskiyou National Forest, Oregon. USDA, Forest Service Pacific Northwest Region, February 28, 1991.

Wellman, R.E., J.M. Gordon and R .L. Moffatt. 1993. Statistical Summaries of Streamflow Data in Oregon. USGS Open-File Report 93-63.

Wesche, T. A. 1974. Relationship of discharge reductions to available trout habitat for recommending suitable streamflows. University of Wyoming, Water Resources Research Institute. Water Resources Series 53, Laramie, Wyoming.

Wooldridge, A. H. 1971. *Pioneers and Incidents of the Upper Coquille Valley*. Myrtle Creek, Oregon: The Mail Printers.

Wright, A. and R. White. 1995. Ugly Eckley Timber Sale Herptile Surveys, U.S.D.I , Bur. Of Land Mgmt, Coos Bay District., unpubl. June 1995.