

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): _____

2. Project Name: Information Repository Development	3. County: Douglas
4. Project Sponsor: Umpqua Basin Watershed Council	5. Date: 5/23/02
6. Sponsor s Phone Number: (541)673-5756	
7. Sponsors E-mail: ubwc@yahoo.com	

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

c. Legal Location: Township 18 – 33 S Range 6.5E – 13W Section(s)

d. BLM District Coos Bay, Roseburg, Medford

e. BLM Resource Area all within the Umpqua Basin

f. National Forest Umpqua

g. Forest Service District all within the Umpqua Basin

h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

The goals are two-fold: First, to create a summary of reported restoration activities from the entire Umpqua Basin. Second, to make local data available to schools and residents for education and decision-making. This data will enable teachers to connect principles with local examples.

The objective is to create one common geographic database of restoration and data collection activities in the Umpqua Basin by combining federal, state, and private project information, and linking the collected data to the projects.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

The first phase of the project will be to design a translation process between federal and Oregon Plan (state and private projects) reporting systems. The second phase of the project will be to create the database, and to collect and import the project related information. The third phase of the project will be to create UBWC standard data sheets for those fish or water quality related attribute which will be stored in the database. At this time it will be important to investigate which data fields are collected and what common fields can be preserved in the UBWC standard data sheets. The fourth phase of the project will be to gather the data from different data collecting entities and transfer them to the new database. This process will begin with those attributes collected while monitoring current projects (such as fish presence).

This is a multi-year process, with the focus of the first year on parts one and two. During all phases, a database linked to the website will be established. At the beginning of the project, a workstation and a server will be purchased to facilitate the data collection and presentation. The workstation will serve

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

as public access to view and download data and will be used for data management. Until the website contains the database, individuals can make their requests to the UBWC, who will send them the information in a digital form. The server will house the data which are too numerous to be stored on a workstation.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

Yes No **If yes, then describe**

It will be coordinated with the Fish Passage Inventory Project, which is an effort to create a geographic database of culverts and other potential barriers with information about their fish passage capabilities.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]

Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]

Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]

Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]

Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]

Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]

Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]

Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]

Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

a. Total Acres: 3 million

b. Total Miles:

c. No. Structures:

d. Est. People Reached

(for environmental education projects): Identified groups who will provide/use data are:

- 5 classrooms
- 3 watershed councils
- 4 agencies

many private companies

e. No. Laborer Days:

**Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee**

f. Other (specify): Project accomplishment of phases 1 and 2 will be a geographic database of restoration activities in the Umpqua Basin. Project accomplishment will be linked data to the project database.

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]

Phase 1 – Translation process:	October, 2002 – January, 2003
Phase 2 – Project database:	February, 2003 – June, 2003
Phase 3 – Data sheets:	July, 2003 – future
Phase 4 – Data linkage:	August, 2003 – future

16. Target Species Benefited: (if applicable)

N/A

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

There is enthusiasm among the agencies and local private organizations to participate in this project. Letters of support show the interest of varying people who will come together through the watershed council due to this project. The BLM, USFS, DEQ, and ODFW are also interested in participating in this project. Other supporters include the Umpqua Community College, Douglas High School, Douglas Timber Operators, and the City of Myrtle Creek. This project will make one dataset of currently differing styles of information and make it available to all.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities.**

It is in the best public interest because teachers, agency staff, industry leaders, and landowners will have access to local data that can be used for education, making decisions, and creating a more complete picture of restoration in the Umpqua Basin.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

The federal lands will benefit through access to more data, a better understanding of the watershed, and another mechanism for public outreach.

20. Status of Project Planning

Not Applicable to this Project

a. NEPA Complete:	Yes	No	
If no, give est. date of completion:			
c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	Yes	No	
d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	Yes	No	
e. Survey & Manage Complete:	Yes	No	Not Applicable
f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained:	Yes	No	Not Applicable
g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	Yes	No	Not Applicable
h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	Yes	No	Not Applicable

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393**

**Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee**

- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Yes No
i. Project Design(s) Completed: Yes No

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- Contract Federal Workforce
County Workforce Volunteers
X Other (specify): UBWC Staff

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

- Yes X No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 3,000.00 (\$19,000 from 3 RACs, see 29.)
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes X No, although funds will be requested in the future as a continuation of this project. If yes, then display by fiscal year
c. FY02 Request: f. FY05 Request:
d. FY03 Request: g. FY06 Request:
e. FY04 Request:

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Agency Staff	\$10,000			10,000
25. Contracting		\$9,500.00		9,500
26. Monitoring				
27. Overhead		\$1,009.00		1,009
27a. Materials/Supplies (computer/server)		\$8,491.00		8,491
28. Total Cost Estimate	\$10,000	\$19,000.00		29,000

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

29. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Funding has been requested from three Payments to Counties Resource Advisory Committees:

Anticipated Federal Participation from USFS and BLM: \$10,000

Roseburg BLM RAC: \$8,000.00

Coos Bay BLM RAC: \$3,000.00

USFS Rogue/Umpqua RAC: \$8,000.00

Amounts of future funding request will be based on the needs discovered in the first year of the project (FY 2003).

30. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

N/A

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The UBWC staff will make yearly phone calls to people who sent letters of support to check how the information is being used to educate students and workers.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

N/A

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33)**

Amount: in-kind

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

County Commissioner Concurrence
(Majority Required per charter)

A majority of the county commissioners of _____ County have reviewed this proposed Public Law 106-393 project for the BLM Coos Bay District Advisory Council and agree with the proposal as submitted, except for the comments noted below:

Attested by Commissioner

Date

Comments/Rational: