

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): _____

2. Project Name: Umpqua Riparian Reserve & Enhancement	3. County: Douglas
4. Project Sponsor: Umpqua Soil & Water Conservation District	5. Date: 5-24-2002
6. Sponsor's Phone Number: (541) 271-2611	
7. Sponsors E-mail: uswcd@harborside.com	

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): 17100303

b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

c. Legal Location: Township 22S Range 7,8 W Section(s) 19, 24

Township 22S Range 12W Section(s) 33

Township 20S Range 10W Section(s) 34, 35

Township ___ Range ___ Section(s)

Township ___ Range ___ Sections (s)

Township ___ Range ___ Section(s)

Township ___ Range ___ Section(s)

Township ___ Range ___ Section(s)

d. BLM District Coos Bay District

e. BLM Resource Area Umpqua Field Office

f. National Forest

g. Forest Service District

h. This project will occur on private lands. / Other lands involved? No.

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

The objective of this project is to enhance riparian habitat on agricultural lands along streams for the benefit of numerous fish species. Goals are to protect environmentally sensitive land, increase wildlife habitat, safeguard ground and surface water and to sign up at least five landowners to participate in this project.

10. Project Description:

This project will fund a technician to promote and implement the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) in Douglas County. CREP is administered by the USDA's Farm Service Agency and provides cost-share money to landowners to plant trees, shrubs and grass within riparian areas along fish-bearing streams. Conservation practices include filter strips (grass & shrubs), riparian buffers (trees, grass & shrubs), fencing, offsite watering facilities, livestock crossings and wetland restoration. Designs and specifications to be used for this project are outlined in the Natural Resources Conservation Service, Field Office Technical Guide for conservation practices. Once an agreement is reached for each individual conservation plan, designs and specifications for each conservation practice will be finalized. For project locations, see attached maps (actual locations highlighted in yellow).

**Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee**

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?

Several federal and state agencies cooperate together to implement the Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program including the Farm Service Agency, Natural Resources Conservation Service, the Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board and the Oregon Department of Forestry. The BLM and United States Forest Service own and manage numerous acres of land within the Umpqua Basin. All of these agencies work together to promote watershed enhancement.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities.

CREP is a community-based program, centered around local participation and leadership. Project development originates with State and local governments. CREP addresses high-priority conservation issues of State and national significance. Fish habitat for species on the endangered species list will be enhanced and recreational fisheries will provide a real boost to the local economy.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Federal lands are located throughout the Umpqua River watershed. This project specifically targets habitat enhancement and restoration for listed endangered species and will help get these species de-listed.

20. Status of Project Planning

- | | |
|---|----------------|
| a. NEPA Complete: | No |
| Natural Resources Conservation Service completes NEPA upon completion of designs for each individual conservation plan. | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | Yes |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | Yes |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained: | Not Applicable |
| g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | No |
| Concurrence in process/will be completed in mid-July 2002 | |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | No |

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

Contract, Federal Workforce.

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?

No.

**Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee**

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? No.

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	<i>Column A</i> Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column B</i> Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column C</i> Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	<i>Column D</i> Total Available Funds
24. Project Development				
25. Contracting				
26. Monitoring				
27. Overhead				
28. Total Cost Estimate				

29. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above

Federal Agency appropriated contribution:

Natural Resources Conservation Service	\$5,600	Secured
Farm Service Agency	\$134,370	Secured

30. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. **What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The Oregon Watershed Enhancement Board will monitor this project. Landowners are under contract with the USDA to preserve and maintain the conservation practices for 15 years. The Natural Resources Conservation Service will perform status reviews on each conservation practice, which includes recordings of survival rate and health of each species planted.

**Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee**

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The Umpqua Soil and Water Conservation District and the Natural Resources Conservation Service will be responsible for this monitoring item. The number of landowners signed up for the program, the number of acres enrolled, and the amount of conservation practice installation related work performed by local residents, will be used to evaluate how well the project contributes towards local employment.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Not applicable.

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33)**

Amount: \$100.00