

DAVID REED
GRAZING PERMIT
RENEWAL

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
OR-025-03-015

Bureau of Land Management
Burns District Office
28910 Hwy 20 West
Hines, Oregon 97738

April 16, 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter I. Introduction: Purpose of and Need for Action.....	1
Chapter II. Alternatives Including the Proposed Action	1
A. Description of the Proposed Action.....	1
B. No Grazing Alternative.....	2
Chapter III. Description of the Affected Environment.....	2
Chapter IV. Environmental Consequences.....	2
A. Proposed Action.....	2
1. Anticipated Impacts	2
2. Cumulative Impacts	3
Chapter V. Persons and Agencies Consulted.....	3
Chapter VI. Participating Staff	3

DAVID REED
GRAZING PERMIT RENEWAL
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
OR-025-03-015

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION: PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION

Grazing permittees who graze their livestock on Bureau of Land Management (BLM) land shall have grazing permits issued to them under the grazing regulations (43 CFR 4130.2(a)). Grazing permits shall be issued for a term of 10 years unless there is some reason which requires a term of less than 10 years under the grazing regulations (43 CFR 4130.2(d)). In this case, the David Reed grazing permit will be issued for a period of 10 years.

The Three Rivers Resource Management Plan (RMP) of 1992, identified land that was available for livestock grazing. The land in the Soldier Creek Allotment (#5104), the Camp Harney Allotment (#5105), and the Reed Fenced Federal Range (FFR) (#5588), which is within the David Reed grazing permit, meets the criteria set forth in the Three Rivers RMP under GM 1.4, Page 2-37. This action is also in conformance with applicable Tribal, State, and County Land Use Plans. (This analysis is tiered to the Three Rivers RMP and incorporates by reference, the information and analysis contained within the RMP.)

There are currently sage-grouse and/or its habitat present in one or more of the allotments under this term grazing permit. The current grazing system addresses the needs of sage-grouse and, therefore, should not be in conflict with the needs for sage-grouse.

An analysis of the grazing systems used on the Soldier Creek Allotment (#5104), the Camp Harney Allotment (#5105), and the Reed FFR (#5588) for compliance with the Standards for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Livestock Grazing Management was completed in 2001. All three allotments are meeting the standards and guidelines under current management practices.

CHAPTER II: ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE PROPOSED ACTION

A. Description of the Proposed Action

The proposed action is to issue to the current permittee (David Reed) a term livestock grazing permit to graze livestock on public land for a period of 10 years. This would continue the existing grazing management and would be the same as a no action alternative. Therefore, both alternatives will be analyzed under the proposed action. There has been monitoring of the effects of the current livestock grazing system in place within the Soldier Creek Allotment for a number of years. These study sites have been read periodically for more than 14 years. Monitoring data collected was last formally analyzed in 2001. The data analyzed indicates that allotment objectives are currently being met.

There has been monitoring of the effects of the current livestock grazing system in place within the Camp Harney Allotment for a number of years. These study sites have been read periodically for more than 16 years. Monitoring data collected was last formally analyzed in 2001. The data analyzed indicates allotment objectives are currently being met. The Reed FFR will be evaluated within the next 2 years.

B. No Grazing Alternative

The proposed action would continue current terms and conditions. Monitoring has shown that allotment resource objectives and Standards for Rangeland Health are being met. Therefore, while a "No Grazing Alternative" has been considered, for the above reasons this alternative will not be addressed further in this document.

CHAPTER III: DESCRIPTION OF THE AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

The following critical elements of the human environment, have been analyzed in the Three Rivers RMP/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), are not present in the project area, or will not be affected by the proposed action or no action alternative: Prime or Unique Farmlands, Floodplains, Hazardous Materials, Special Management Areas (Research Natural Areas, Areas of Critical Environmental Concern, Wild and Scenic Rivers, Wilderness or Wilderness Study Areas), Air Quality, Water Quality, Cultural Heritage, American Indian Religious Concerns, Noxious Weeds, Paleontology, Special Status Fauna, and Special Status Flora.

Migratory Birds: Some migratory birds are known to use the project area for nesting, foraging, and resting as they pass through on their yearly migrations.

Environmental Justice: There are no economically disadvantaged or minority populations present within the project area.

Adverse Energy Impacts: No known adverse impacts would occur to energy development, production or distribution as a result of the proposed action.

CHAPTER IV: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

A. Proposed Action

1. Anticipated Impacts

Grazing use for David Reed would be authorized under a new term grazing permit. The level and timing of use by livestock in the allotment would not change from what was authorized at the time the Three Rivers RMP was adopted. Since the writing of the RMP/EIS, the following resources have been recognized and were not analyzed in the RMP/EIS:

- a. Migratory Birds: There would be no known effect on migratory birds by the issuing of a livestock grazing permit.
- b. Environmental Justice: There are no economically disadvantaged or minority populations which would be disproportionately affected by issuance of a livestock grazing permit.
- c. Adverse Energy Impacts: No known adverse impacts would occur to energy development, production, or distribution as a result of issuing a livestock grazing permit.

2. Cumulative Impacts

There are no known cumulative impacts, either positive or negative, that would occur if a grazing permit is issued.

CHAPTER V: PERSONS AND AGENCIES CONSULTED

David Reed

CHAPTER VI: PARTICIPATING STAFF

Bill Andersen, Acting Natural Resource Supervisor
Gary Foulkes, District Planning/Environmental Coordinator
Terri Geisler, District Geologist
James A. King, Range Management Specialist, Lead Preparer
Fred McDonald, District Recreation Specialist.
Glenn Patterson, District Range Management Specialist
Lesley Richman, Natural Resource Specialist Weeds
Fred Taylor, Wildlife Biologist
Nora Taylor, District Botanist
Scott Thomas, Archaeologist
Michael Weston, Fisheries Biologist