

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

We are unaware of any other watershed improvement projects in this vicinity. This road receives a substantial amount of traffic; provisions will be made for a bypass road around the construction.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- X Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- X Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] |
| X Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |
| X Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____ | |

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: _____
- b. Total Miles: 1.7
- c. No. Structures: ___ 1 _____
- d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____
- e. No. of Laborer Days: ___ 45 _____
- f. Other (specify): _____
- g. Program Element: JH

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]:

3 weeks in July, August or September 2004

16. Target Species Benefited (if applicable) :

The project would benefit steelhead and cutthroat trout and other aquatic species.

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Due to the highly visible nature of the project (on 1 of 2 access routes between the Grave Creek boat ramp and Interstate 5), the public would become more aware of BLM's multiple responsibilities in managing

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

its lands. Higher awareness will hopefully encourage private and corporate landowners to enter into partnerships with BLM in order to improve fish and wildlife habitat and watershed health near their communities.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

The project would complement objectives of the Oregon Salmon Plan and help to increase production of anadromous fish, including opportunities for recreational fishing.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Anadromous fish passage would be improved and stream sedimentation would be reduced.

20. Status of Project Planning

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| a. NEPA Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce |
| <input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce | <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____ | |

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- Yes No

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$125,000
b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No If yes, then display by fiscal year
c. FY02 Request: \$ _____ f. FY05 Request: \$ _____
d. FY03 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____
e. FY04 Request: \$ _____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$1500			
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation	\$1000			
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$2500		
28. Contract Preparation		\$2500		
29. Contract Administration		\$5000		
30. Contract Cost		\$4000		
31. Workforce Cost		\$25,000		
32. Materials & Supplies		\$60,000		
33. Monitoring		\$1000		
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead for A&E, BLM Overhead, etc.) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$25,000		
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$2500	\$125,000	\$	\$

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

A fish biologist, hydrologist and engineer would inspect the project several times during the first winter with typical peak stream flows and periodically thereafter to evaluate if objectives have been met, including adequacy of fish passage, erosion control and to determine maintenance needs (if any).

- b. **How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The number of laborers required would be determined through the survey and design process. It will be up to the contractor to hire the number of people with appropriate skills that are needed to complete the project according to design specifications within the required time frame.

- c. **What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Not applicable

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$1000

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**



Rock Creek culvert on the Lower Grave Creek Road. A partial barrier to adult steelhead and a total barrier to small fish due to a 2 foot drop at the outlet and excessive water velocity inside the culvert. April 2003



Rock Creek - looking downstream through the culvert.