

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): 115-403
\$22,000

2. Project Name: Roadside Brushing **3. County:** Jackson
4. Project Sponsor: Randy Bryan **Date:** 3-28-03
6. Sponsors Phone # 618-2322
7. Sponsor's E-mail: r1bryan@or.blm.gov

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

- a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Middle Rogue, Upper Rogue
- b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Evans, Rogue, Trail, Butte
- c. Legal Location:

Township <u>Various</u>	Range <u>Various</u>	Section(s) <u>Many</u>
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____

- d. BLM District Medford e. BLM Resource Area: Butte Falls
- f. National Forest _____ g. Forest Service District _____
- h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: This project will provide Roadside Brushing of BLM controlled roads in the Butte Falls R.A.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)
Provide roadside brushing of BLM controlled roads. Includes removing Brush growing in from both sides of the road. Arterial and Collector roads are becoming clogged with undergrowth, creating driving conditions more difficult and dangerous to drive due to the narrowed traveled ways.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

Yes No If yes, then describe.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]
- Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]
- Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____
- Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
- Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: _____
- b. Total Miles: 200
- c. No. Structures: _____
- d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____
- e. No. of Laborer Days: 100
- f. Other (specify): _____

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: Year round, / Through 2006

16. Target Species Benefited (if applicable) :

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)] Brushing of BLM Roads will benefit use by keeping them open for travel. Administrative and Recreation use, and Commercial Hauling would benefit directly from well maintained road systems. Traffic speed and driver safety would be improved by keeping roads free from encroaching vegetation.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?** The motoring public will benefit from road systems that are not overgrown by trees and brush, are easily maintained, and are safe to drive.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Roads that are free from brush provide a safe environment for travel that occurs on and across Federal lands. Brushed roads are easier for crews to inspect and perform road maintenance.

20. Status of Project Planning

- a. NEPA Complete: Yes No b. If No, give est. date of completion: _____
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: Yes No Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: Yes No Not Applicable
- h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: Yes No Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed: Yes No

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- Contract Federal Workforce
- County Workforce Volunteers
- Other (specify): _____

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- Yes No

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 22,000
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ 20,000
- d. FY03 Request: \$ 20,000
- e. FY04 Request: \$ 22,000
- f. FY05 Request: \$ 22,000
- g. FY06 Request: \$ 22,000

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation				
29. Contract Administration	\$3,000		\$3,000	\$3,000
30. Contract Cost		\$20,000		\$20,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$3,000	\$20,000	\$3,000	\$26,000
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$2,000		\$2,000
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$3,000	\$22,000	\$3,000	\$28,000

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. **What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

BF RA Lead Engineer or designate will be responsible for monitoring success of project work.

- b. **How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Contractor would be required to provide answers about local employment. No real training opportunities, other than managing a small business is apparent. BF RA Lead Engineer or designate will be responsible for monitoring success of project work.

- c. **What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Current yearly meetings with Agreement Companies would be a forum in which to discuss road maintenance and roadside brushing conditions.

- d. **Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

Amount: \$5,000