

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

- 1. Project Number** (Assigned by federal unit): 118-408 **AMOUNT REQUESTED** \$47,520
2. Project Name: Roadside Brushing **3. County:** Douglas
4. Project Sponsor: Delbert Longbrake **5. Date:** 03/28/03
6. Sponsors Phone #: (541)618-2469
7. Sponsor's E-mail: Delbert_Longbrake@Or.blm.gov
8. Project Location (attach project area maps showing general and specific locations of project.)

- a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): **Cow Creek (17100302)**
b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): **Middle Cow Creek (1710030207)**

c. Legal Location:

Township 32	Range 4	Section(s) 8,20,30,32
Township 32	Range 5	Section(s) 8,20,23,35
Township 32	Range 6	Section(s) 17,29
Township 32	Range 7	Section(s) 17,19,21
Township 32	Range 8	Section(s) 4,30,31
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____

- d. BLM District Medford e. BLM Resource Area Glendale
f. National Forest _____ g. Forest Service District _____
 h. State / Private / other lands involved? Yes No
Many roads cross over lands owned by others but BLM has Control or rights to use the road

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: : Remove brush from roadsides in order to increase visibility for improved safety and to allow easier access for fire fighting equipment.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

This project would consist of cutting and removing trees and other vegetative growth along both sides of roadways including bridges, for a distance of 4 feet on the fill slope beyond the outside shoulder, 4 feet on the cut slope beyond the center line of the ditch. From the centerline of the ditch to the inside shoulder a variety of brush cutting equipment would be used.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

- Yes No If yes, then describe.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

13. Project Type (*check one*) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] | |

Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: Public Safety

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

(Use workload measures used for the budget process)

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| a. Total Acres: <u>40</u> | b. Total Miles: <u>40</u> |
| c. No. Structures: _____ | d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____ |
| e. No. Of Laborer Days: <u>200</u> | |
| f. Other (specify): _____ | |
| g. Program Element: _____ | |

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 200 days, 12-31-04

16. Target Species (plants/wildlife etc.) Benefited: (if applicable) N/A

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

All people who drive roads on Public lands will be safer. Public lands will be more accessible.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

By removing brush from the edges of roads, visibility is increased making the possibility of motor vehicle accidents much less for those who use these roads. By "opening up" these roads, fire fighting access is improved as well as helping to create fuel breaks.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Federal lands and resources will be benefited when access to these lands is increased for land management such as fire fighting, silviculture, and maintenance.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

20. Status of Project Planning

- a. NEPA Complete: Yes No
- b. If No, give est. date of completion: _____
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: Yes No Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: Yes No Not Applicable
- h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: Yes No Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed: Yes No Not Applicable

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- Contract Federal Workforce
 County Workforce Volunteers
Other (specify): _____

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- Yes No

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 47,520
 b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No If yes, then display by fiscal year
 e. FY04 Request: \$ 47,520
 f. FY05 Request: \$ 47,520
 g. FY06 Request: \$ 47,520

***** Note: If you have a complex budget, add it as an appendix. The Resource Advisory Committee will want to know specifically how the funds will be spent.**

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	\$2,500.00	\$3,100.00		\$5,600.00
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation	\$2,500.00	\$2,600.00		\$5,100.00
29. Contract Administration	\$2,500.00	\$7,000.00		\$9,500.00
30. Contract Cost		\$30,000		\$30,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	\$500.00	\$500.00		\$1,000.00
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$8,000.00	\$43,200		\$51,200
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$4,320		\$4,320
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$8,000	\$47,520	\$	\$55,520

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203 (b)(6))

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The BLM will inspect the project during its duration, to ensure work meet the contract specifications. Routine observations will be made after project completion as part of ongoing maintenance of access routes.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The contractor hired would be encouraged to employ laborers from the local workforce in rural communities if at all possible. If the contractor employs local people, it will be noted in his contract performance evaluation. Glendale engineering will monitor this item.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Through providing a more safe and secure road system visitors to the area will have access to a large variety of forest products for personal and small business uses thus adding to the value of the quality of life for the public. The BLM Project Inspector will be responsible to monitor the work of the contractor, and decide if the specifications of the contract were met and if these specifications are adequate.

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

Amount: \$1,000.00