

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): **117-03-09**

2. Project Name: Thompson Creek Rd. # 38-7-34 Chip Seal

3. County: Josephine

4. Project Sponsor: Jim Roper GPR

5. Date: 5/29/02

6. Sponsors Phone # 541-471-6979

7. Sponsor's E-mail: jroper@or.blm.gov

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Thompson Creek

c. Legal Location:

Township 38 Range 7 Section(s) 34

Township _____ Range _____ Section(s) _____

d. BLM District Medford

e. BLM Resource Area Grants Pass

f. National Forest _____

g. Forest Service District _____

h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

Coho salmon and Steelhead trout will benefit by this project from reduced sediment reaching Thompson Creek. BLM will benefit with a better road surface and safer access. Road upgrade will reduce sediment BLM maintenance.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Chip Seal segment A of the North Fork Thompson Creek Road (#38-7-34A). Chip seal with two (2) applications of CRS-2 and 3/8 inch rock 18 feet wide. This segment is 1.16 miles in length. The road prism will be graded, spot rock applied as needed and pot holes repaired. All work will be confined to the road prism.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

Yes No If yes, then describe.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]
- Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]
[Sec.2(b)(2)(E)]
- Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____
- Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Forest Health Improvement [Sec.2(b)(2)(C)]
- Wildlife Habitat Restoration
- Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: _____ b. Total Miles: 1.16
- c. No. Structures: _____ d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): 50
- e. No. of Laborer Days: 10
- f. Other (specify): _____

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]:

15 days - Completion 6/15/02

16. Target Species Benefited (if applicable) :

Coho Salmon and Steelhead Trout

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

This road provides access to residences and is used by the general public. The road would be upgraded and renovated back to safer standards.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

It would improve Coho Salmon habitat and improves public safety. The public has expressed a concern about the condition of the road.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat and water quality by reducing the movement of sediment.

20. Status of Project Planning

- a. NEPA Complete: Yes No b. If No, give est. date of completion: 2/1/02
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete: Yes No Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: Yes No Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: Yes No Not Applicable
- h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: Yes No Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed: Yes No

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- Contract Federal Workforce
- County Workforce Volunteers
- Other (specify): _____

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- Yes No

**Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393**

**Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$48,400
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ _____ f. FY05 Request: \$ _____
- d. FY03 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____
- e. FY04 Request: \$ _____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		\$500		\$500
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation		\$500		\$500
26. Permit Acquisition	0			
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$3,000		\$3,000
28. Contract Preparation	\$2,500			\$2,500
29. Contract Administration	\$2,500			\$2,500
30. Contract Cost		\$40,000		\$40,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$5,000	\$44,000		\$49,000
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$4,400		\$4,400
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$5,000	\$48,400	\$	\$53,400

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

None

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

BLM's engineering staff and fisheries biologists will conduct condition surveys each year to determine ecological conditions.

- b. **How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps?** [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

The report will be filed with Contracting Officer who tracks local employment.
This is not a youth employment opportunity project.

- c. **What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act?** [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] **Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Roads are monitored by via the BLM's O&C permit system which documents hauling on Public lands.

- d. **Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

Amount: \$300.00

THOMPSON CREEK CHIP SEAL

