

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): 117-03-13

- 2. Project Name:** Stratton Hog Logs for Fish **3. County:** Josephine, OR
4. Project Sponsor: BLM **5. Date:** 5-29-02
6. Sponsors Phone # : 541-618-2409
7. Sponsor's E-mail: Dave Maurer@blm.gov
8. Project Location (attach project area map)

- a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Middle Rogue (# 17 10 03 10)
b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Rogue-Rec Section (# 17 10 03 10 01)
c. Legal Location:

Township <u>34S</u>	Range <u>7W</u>	Section(s) <u>35</u>
Township <u>35S</u>	Range <u>7W</u>	Section(s) <u>11</u>
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____

- d. BLM District Medford e. BLM Resource Area Grants Pass
f. National Forest _____ g. Forest Service District _____
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

To improve anadromous fish habitat in Hog Creek, a tributary to the Rogue River. The improved habitat would consist of hiding cover and resting areas. The overall goal is to increase fish numbers in this stream.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Placement of cut coniferous trees at two sites located on the attached map. These two sites are adjacent to units 35-9, and 11-4 adjacent to Hog Creek. The goal is to place 18 - 22 trees adjacent to unit 11-4 and 6 - 8 trees adjacent to unit 35-9.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

- Yes No If yes, then describe.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]
- Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]
- Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____
- Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
- Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: _____
- b. Total Miles: _____
- c. No. Structures: 24 - 30
- d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____
- e. No. of Laborer Days: 5
- f. Other (specify): _____

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]:

2 weeks

16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable)

Coho Salmon

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

The public that uses Hog Creek includes fisherman. Fishing will be improved somewhat by the implementation of this project.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?**

It is in the public's interest to improved habitat for the T & E Coho salmon. This is one of many projects that will increase viability of the species population. Added Coho salmon to the

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

Rogue basin will make the area more attractive to tourists, both fisherman and non-fisherman, who will spend more dollars in local Josephine County communities.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

As federal lands give the best opportunities to improve fish habitat within the Rogue Basin, and the Coho salmon are a very important resource within the basin, improved Coho habitat afforded by this project will result in an improved resource benefit on federal lands.

20. Status of Project Planning

- | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| a. NEPA Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| b. If No, give est. date of completion: _____ | | | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce |
| <input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce | <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____ | |

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- Yes No

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 16,500
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ _____ f. FY05 Request: \$ _____
- d. FY03 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____
- e. FY04 Request: \$ _____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys				
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering				
28. Contract Preparation	\$2,000.00			\$2,000.00
29. Contract Administration	\$2,000.00			\$2,000.00
30. Contract Cost		\$15,000.00		\$15,000.00
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring		\$500.00		\$500.00
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$4,000.00			\$4,000.00
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$1,500.00		\$1,500.00
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$4,000.00	\$16,500.00	\$	\$20,500.00

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Logs will be checked annually for two years and every five years thereafter for proper ecological function. This will be BLM responsibility.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

N/A

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

N/A

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

Amount: \$500

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393
Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

