

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**1. Project Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**2. Project Name:** Topsey Road@Rock Creek **3. County:** Klamath

**4. Project Sponsor:** BLM \_\_\_\_\_ **5. Date:** 06/28/02 \_\_\_\_\_

**6. Sponsors Phone #** 541-885-4110

**7. Sponsor's E-mail:** wwatkins@or.blm.gov

**8. Project Location** (attach project area map)

a. 4<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Upper Klamath River 18010206

b. 5<sup>th</sup> Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

c. Legal Location:

Township 41 Range 6 Section(s) 9

Township \_\_\_\_\_ Range \_\_\_\_\_ Section(s) \_\_\_\_\_

d. BLM District - Lakeview \_\_\_\_\_

e. BLM Resource Area - Klamath Falls

f. National Forest \_\_\_\_\_ g. Forest Service District \_\_\_\_\_

h. State / Private / Other lands involved?  Yes  No

**9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:**

Reduce sediment input to the stream/ improve water quality, restore wet meadow habitat, public education.

**10. Project Description:** (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Roads in the Klamath River Drainage contribute significant amounts of sediment to fish bearing portions of the river. By improving the drainage and road surface on this portion of Topsy Road, the amount of sediment delivered to the stream can be greatly reduced. The hydrology of the associated wet meadow can be restored, and fish and wildlife habitat can be significantly improved.

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?**

Yes  No This project is coordinated with adjoining land owners, USFWS and ODFW. It is the type of project envisioned in the Klamath River Management Plan.

**12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation?** [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

**13. Project Type** (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]  Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): \_\_\_\_\_ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]  Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
- Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]  Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]  Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
- Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: \_\_\_\_\_

**14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes** [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: 20 b. Total Miles: .5
- c. No. Structures: \_\_\_\_\_ d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): 100 \_\_\_\_\_
- e. No. of Laborer Days: 15
- f. Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date** [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: 1 week, 8/03

**16. Target Species Benefited** (if applicable) : redband trout, suckers, other wildlife  
species \_\_\_\_\_

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved?** [Sec. 2(b)(3)] This project will demonstrate cooperation between BLM, Klamath County, US Fish and Wildlife Service and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

**18. How is this project in the best public interest?** [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?** Some immediate short term benefits are expected to the redband trout population and those who fish for them. The improved habitat would also exist for anadromous fish(salmon and steelhead), if they were to have access in the future. Most benefits would be to fisherman in the Klamath Falls, Keno, Ashland, Medford area. Some very limited benefits to downstream water users (improved water quality).

**19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?** The project will improve water quality, fish populations and public recreation. It will also improve the wet meadow habitat and riparian area and stabilize soil.

**20. Status of Project Planning**

- a. NEPA Complete:  Yes  No      b. If No, give est. date of completion: 3/03\_\_\_\_\_
- c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:  Yes  No  Not Applicable
- d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:  Yes  No  Not Applicable
- e. Survey & Manage Complete:  Yes  No  Not Applicable
- f. DSL/ODFW\* Permits Obtained:  Yes  No  Not Applicable
- g. DLS/COE\* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:  Yes  No  Not Applicable
- h. SHPO\* Concurrence Received:  Yes  No  Not Applicable
- i. Project Design(s) Completed:  Yes  No

\* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

**21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment**

- Contract  Federal Workforce
- County Workforce  Volunteers
- Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials?** (Sec. 204(e)(3))

Yes  No

## Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

**23. Anticipated Project Costs** [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$20,000
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request?  Yes  No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_ f. FY05 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- d. FY03 Request: \$ 20,000 g. FY06 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- e. FY04 Request: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

| Item  | Fed. Agency<br>Appropriated<br>Contribution<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Requested<br>County Title II<br>Contribution<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Other<br>Contributions<br>[Sec. 203(b)(4)] | Total<br>Available<br>Funds |
|---|---|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 24. Field Work & Site Surveys                                       | \$500   |  |  |                             |
| 25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation                                   |   |  |  |                             |
| 26. Permit Acquisition  |   |  |  |                             |
| 27. Project Design & Engineering                                    | \$1,500   |  |  |                             |
| 28. Contract Preparation  | \$200   |  |  |                             |
| 29. Contract Administration   | \$500   |  |  |                             |
| 30. Contract Cost   |   | \$20,000   |  |                             |
| 31. Workforce Cost  |   |  |  |                             |
| 32. Materials & Supplies  |   |  |  |                             |
| 33. Monitoring  | \$100   |  |  |                             |
| 34. Other   |   |  |  |                             |
| 35. Project Subtotal  |   |  |  |                             |
| 36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects) |   |  |  |                             |
| 37. Total Cost Estimate   | \$2,800   | \$20,000   | \$   | \$                          |

**38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above** [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

**Title II Project Application**  
**Medford District Resource Advisory Committee**

**39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))**

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

Photo points. conducted by BLM to determine implementation and effectiveness monitoring.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? The contracts will be advertised in the RAC area (Klamath, Jackson and Josephine Counties). BLM will track the contract award process.**

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

**Amount:**   \$100