Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application

Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number: _____

2. Project Name: Saddle Draw Road Decommissioning **3. County:** Klamath
4. Project Sponsor:__BLM_____ **5.Date:**07/08/02_____
6. Sponsors Phone # 541-885-4110
7. Sponsor's E-mail:wwatkins@or.blm.gov

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): Upper Klamath River 18010206
b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):
c. Legal Location:

Township __39s_____	Range _6e_____	Section(s) __15____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section(s) _____

d. BLM District - Lakeview___ e. BLM Resource Area - Klamath Falls
f. National Forest _____ g. Forest Service District _____
h. State / Private / Other lands involved? ❑ Yes X No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:
Improve water quality by reducing the amount of sediment contributed to the watershed from this poorly designed and poorly located road..

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)
Approximately .4 mile of road surface will be ripped and recontoured. A cat and excavator will be used to accomplish the work.

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

☒ Yes ❑ No This project is coordinated with adjoining land owners, USFWS and ODFW. It is the type of project envisioned in the Klamath Falls Resource Area Management Plan.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

❑ Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]

☒ Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]

☒ Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]

☒ Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

❑ Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

❑ Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

☒ Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

❑ Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

❑ Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): _____ [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]

❑ Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)]

☒ Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]

☒ Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)]

☒ Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

❑ Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]

❑ Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]

❑ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]

❑ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]: _____

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

a. Total Acres: _____ b. Total Miles: ____.4_____

c. No. Structures: _____ d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects):_____

e. No. of Laborer Days:__4_____

f. Other (specify): _____

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]**:** _3 months 6/03_____

16. Target Species Benefited (if applicable) **:** __redband trout, other wildlife species_____

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)] This project will demonstrate cooperation between BLM, US Fish and Wildlife Service and Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities?** Long term benefits are expected to be for redband trout population and those who fish for them. Some limited benefits to downstream water users (improved water quality).

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources? The project will improve water quality, fish populations and public recreation. It will also improve forest health and wildlife habitat within the riparian area and stabilize soil.

20. Status of Project Planning

a. NEPA Complete: ☒ Yes ☐ No b. If No, give est. date of completion:3/03_____

c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable

d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable

e. Survey & Manage Complete: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable

f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable

g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Applicable

h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable

i. Project Design(s) Completed: ☒ Yes ☐ No

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept.of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

☒ Contract ☐ Federal Workforce

☐ County Workforce ☐ Volunteers

☐ Other (specify): _____

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

❑ Yes ☒ No

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: $10,000

b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, then display by fiscal year

c. FY02 Request: $_____
d. FY03 Request: $___10,000_____
e. FY04 Request: $_____
f. FY05 Request: $_____
g. FY06 Request: $_____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys	$500			
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation				
26. Permit Acquisition				
27. Project Design & Engineering	$500			
28. Contract Preparation	$200	$500		
29. Contract Administration		$500		
30. Contract Cost		$9,000		
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring	$100			
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal				
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)				
37. Total Cost Estimate	$1,300	**$10,000**	**$**	**$**

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6)

a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Photo points. conducted by BLM to determine implementation and effectiveness monitoring. Stand exam plots conducted post treatment and compared to Stand exams done pretreatment.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? The contracts will be advertised in the RAC area (Klamath, Jackson and Josephine Counties). BLM will track the contract award process.

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**N/A**

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: __$100_____