

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): _____

2. Project Name: Tucker Flat Camp Ground Water System **3. County:** Curry

4. Project Sponsor: BLM Glendale **5. Date:** 07-13-2001

6. Sponsors Phone # : 541-618-2297

7. Sponsor's E-mail: lwittenb@or.blm.gov

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): 17100310 Lower Rogue

b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): 1710031004 Rogue, BLM wild section

c. Legal Location:

Township	<u>33S</u>	Range	<u>10W</u>	Section(s)	<u>10</u>
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____
Township	_____	Range	_____	Section(s)	_____

d. BLM District Medford e. BLM Resource Area Glendale

f. National Forest _____ g. Forest Service District _____

h. State / Private / Other lands involved? Yes No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

- ** To provide sanitary and safe drinking water to the Tucker Flat campground.
- ** To enhance recreation opportunities for the public.
- ** To be in compliance with the Department of Environmental Quality standards.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

We would like to purchase and install (or contractor provided) a chlorination system for the existing spring-fed water supply for the Tucker Flat Campground and construct a building to house the new equipment. Tucker Flat Campground has been in existence for more than 30 years. It is a popular, yet dispersed site, located within the Wild and Scenic Rogue River Corridor and provides an entrance trailhead to the Rogue Wilderness. The campgrounds water system is seriously outdated and was recently decommissioned due to its poor condition. At this time the nearest potable water for visitors is located over 2 hours away. Visitors to this campground must either haul their own drinking water over a rough road taking almost 3 hours to drive, or rely upon the river water.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

Yes No If yes, then describe.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)]
- Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
- Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)]
To upgrade existing spring-fed drinking water system.
- Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)]
- Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)]
- Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] Control of Noxious Weeds [Sec. 2(b)(2)(F)]
- Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:

To ensure public safety and comply with State and Federal regulations.

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- a. Total Acres: _____
- b. Total Miles: _____
- c. No. Structures: 1
- d. Estimated People Reached (for environmental education projects): _____
- e. No. of Laborer Days: 10
- f. Other (specify): _____

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 203(b)(2)]: Duration = 10 days, Project completion date= Sept 2002

16. Target Species Benefitted: (if applicable) Humans

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

As this has been a popular recreational and camping area for over 30 years the upgrading of the spring-fed drinking water system at Tucker Flat Campground will enhance the relationship between the public who use the campground and the federal managers responsible for the campground. In providing safe drinking water at the campground, the site itself becomes much more accommodating to the public, in-turn creating a positive atmosphere which will be recognized as being provided by the Bureau of Land Management. We believe visitors to the site will be appreciative of the new water system and extend this appreciativeness towards a renewed stewardship awareness of all public lands.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

Currently there are no public drinking water facilities located within two hours of this site. Visitors to the site must haul in their drinking water over a long rough road or rely upon the river to provide them with water. The proposed upgrade to the potable water system at Tucker Flat Campground will ensure a safe source of drinking water for the hundreds of visitors to site each year. There are no communities located within a many hours of this site therefore no direct benefit to a community is anticipated.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

The upgrade of the water system at Tucker Flat Campground will benefit federal lands in that it completes this recreation site providing our customers with a beautiful setting and accessible conveniences such as campsites, parking areas, restrooms, trails, river access, and safe drinking water.

20. Status of Project Planning

- | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| a. NEPA Complete: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| b. If No, give est. date of completion: _____ | | | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Contract | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal Workforce |
| <input type="checkbox"/> County Workforce | <input type="checkbox"/> Volunteers |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____ | |

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? (Sec. 204(e)(3))

- Yes No

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$ 13,627.
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? Yes No If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request: \$ 13,627. f. FY05 Request: \$ _____
- d. FY03 Request: \$ _____ g. FY06 Request: \$ _____
- e. FY04 Request: \$ _____

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		0		
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation		0		
26. Permit Acquisition		0		
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$500.		\$500.
28. Contract Preparation		\$500.		\$500.
29. Contract Administration		\$600.		\$600.
30. Contract Cost		\$10,000.		\$10,000.
31. Workforce Cost		0		
32. Materials & Supplies		0		
33. Monitoring		\$ 250.		\$250.
34. Other		0		
35. Project Subtotal		\$11,850.		\$11,850.
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per year for multiple year projects)		\$1,777.		\$1,777.
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$	\$ 13,627.	\$	\$ 13,627.

Title II Project Application
Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

N/A

39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6))

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The site will be monitored on a monthly basis over the year following the water system upgrade to ascertain if there has been an increase in visitors to the site due to the convenience and renewed safety of the water system. The Glendale RA recreation staff will be responsible for the monitoring of the site and will include their evaluation results in their annual recreation report.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

It will be our intention to hire a local contractor to perform the work thus contributing to the local economy. It is not anticipated that there will be a need for any other laborers for this small contract job however, the contractor will be encouraged to hire, if needed, any laborers from the local workforce in rural communities.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

No products will be removed from the forest due to this project however, we estimate an increase in visitation to the site once the water system upgrades are in place. Visitor days will be monitored for the first season after installation of the upgraded water system to ascertain expected increases in visitor traffic to the site due to the upgrade. The Glendale RA recreation staff will be responsible for the monitoring of the site and the visitor numbers. The results of the monitoring will be included in the annual recreation report.

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)**

Amount: \$ 250